STATE OF CALIFORNIA

NATURAL RESOURCES AGENCY DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION BOATING AND WATERWAYS COMMISSION

--000--

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT FROM AUDIO

Boating and Waterways Commission Workshop

September 25, 2019

Held at

One Capitol Mall, Suite 500

Sacramento, CA 95814

--000--

Transcribed by CHERYL L. KYLE, CSR No. 7014

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING Certified Shorthand Reporters 2207 J Street Sacramento, CA 95816

916-492-1010

FAX 916-492-1222

			-
	Page 2		Page 4
1	INDEX OF APPEARANCES	1	our Parks staff. We have Lisa Mangat, Director of
2	000		our Department of Parks and Recreation. Is Lisa
3	Douglas Metz, Vice Chair Katherine Pettibone, Commissioner		here? Okay.
4	Lisa Mangat, Director Department of Parks & Recreation	4	DIRECTOR MANGAT: Yes, I am.
5	Amanda Martin, Water Resources Agency	5	VICE CHAIR METZ: And we have Ramona, who
6	Ramona Fernandez, Acting Deputy Director Marivel Barajas, Deputy Director	6	is our acting deputy director and Will Schaafsma.
7	Will Schaafsma, Deputy Director		Will, glad to see you again. Anyone else I left
8	Kathryn Tobias, Counsel		out? Hearing none, then let's begin.
9	Edward J. Hard, MPPA, Chief	9	I do want to stress, as I had a sidebar
10	Keren Dill, Manager	10	conversation with Ramona a few minutes ago, I would
11	Other staff and members of the Public		like really our first order of business to be: Do
12	000		we have requests for data that either have not been
13			able to be prepared to date or that we need?
14		14	Because our next commission meeting, which
15		15	will be in October in conjunction with Marine
16		16	
17		17	
18		18	
19		19	I recall that on August 25, I sent an
20		20	
21		21	follows:
22		22	"To assist the Boating and
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	consists of my colleague here,
25			, , ,
	Page 3		Page 5
1	(Beginning of audio.)	1	Commissioner Pettibone and myself
2	000	_	-
		2	"in its review of issues related to
3	VICE CHAIR METZ: invite you here to	3	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the
3 4	the California Boating and Waterway Commission	3 4	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving
3 4 5	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado.	3 4 5	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is
3 4 5 6	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I	3 4 5 6	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the
3 4 5 6 7	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum	3 4 5 6 7	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than
3 4 5 6 7 8	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal.	3 4 5 6 7 8	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal. There is no decision-making involved in our	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation for an additional meeting of the
3 4 5 7 8 9	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal. There is no decision-making involved in our discussions, and it's purely a give-and-take request	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation for an additional meeting of the Commission to be devoted to that
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal. There is no decision-making involved in our discussions, and it's purely a give-and-take request for data that we need for future discussions of this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation for an additional meeting of the Commission to be devoted to that subject to be held later that month."
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal. There is no decision-making involved in our discussions, and it's purely a give-and-take request for data that we need for future discussions of this type and for future formal actions for various	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation for an additional meeting of the Commission to be devoted to that subject to be held later that month." Well, it's going to be October.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal. There is no decision-making involved in our discussions, and it's purely a give-and-take request for data that we need for future discussions of this type and for future formal actions for various divisions that we talk with within Parks and	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation for an additional meeting of the Commission to be devoted to that subject to be held later that month." Well, it's going to be October. "Number one, the date of statutory
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal. There is no decision-making involved in our discussions, and it's purely a give-and-take request for data that we need for future discussions of this type and for future formal actions for various divisions that we talk with within Parks and Recreation.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation for an additional meeting of the Commission to be devoted to that subject to be held later that month." Well, it's going to be October. "Number one, the date of statutory creation of the Harbors and
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal. There is no decision-making involved in our discussions, and it's purely a give-and-take request for data that we need for future discussions of this type and for future formal actions for various divisions that we talk with within Parks and Recreation. So I call it to order again. And we're	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation for an additional meeting of the Commission to be devoted to that subject to be held later that month." Well, it's going to be October. "Number one, the date of statutory creation of the Harbors and Watercraft Fund and its predecessor,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal. There is no decision-making involved in our discussions, and it's purely a give-and-take request for data that we need for future discussions of this type and for future formal actions for various divisions that we talk with within Parks and Recreation. So I call it to order again. And we're recording this workshop. It will be transcribed	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation for an additional meeting of the Commission to be devoted to that subject to be held later that month." Well, it's going to be October. "Number one, the date of statutory creation of the Harbors and Watercraft Fund and its predecessor, the Small Craft Harbor Revolving
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal. There is no decision-making involved in our discussions, and it's purely a give-and-take request for data that we need for future discussions of this type and for future formal actions for various divisions that we talk with within Parks and Recreation. So I call it to order again. And we're recording this workshop. It will be transcribed after the fact, and it will be posted on DBW	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation for an additional meeting of the Commission to be devoted to that subject to be held later that month." Well, it's going to be October. "Number one, the date of statutory creation of the Harbors and Watercraft Fund and its predecessor, the Small Craft Harbor Revolving Fund; two, chronological dates when
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal. There is no decision-making involved in our discussions, and it's purely a give-and-take request for data that we need for future discussions of this type and for future formal actions for various divisions that we talk with within Parks and Recreation. So I call it to order again. And we're recording this workshop. It will be transcribed after the fact, and it will be posted on DBW Commission page for meetings on www.parks.ca.gov.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation for an additional meeting of the Commission to be devoted to that subject to be held later that month." Well, it's going to be October. "Number one, the date of statutory creation of the Harbors and Watercraft Fund and its predecessor, the Small Craft Harbor Revolving Fund; two, chronological dates when financial beneficiaries of the SCHRF
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal. There is no decision-making involved in our discussions, and it's purely a give-and-take request for data that we need for future discussions of this type and for future formal actions for various divisions that we talk with within Parks and Recreation. So I call it to order again. And we're recording this workshop. It will be transcribed after the fact, and it will be posted on DBW Commission page for meetings on www.parks.ca.gov. And please keep in mind we're going to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation for an additional meeting of the Commission to be devoted to that subject to be held later that month." Well, it's going to be October. "Number one, the date of statutory creation of the Harbors and Watercraft Fund and its predecessor, the Small Craft Harbor Revolving Fund; two, chronological dates when financial beneficiaries of the SCHRF and its successor, the HWRF, in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal. There is no decision-making involved in our discussions, and it's purely a give-and-take request for data that we need for future discussions of this type and for future formal actions for various divisions that we talk with within Parks and Recreation. So I call it to order again. And we're recording this workshop. It will be transcribed after the fact, and it will be posted on DBW Commission page for meetings on www.parks.ca.gov. And please keep in mind we're going to adjourn the workshop at noon. Please keep your	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation for an additional meeting of the Commission to be devoted to that subject to be held later that month." Well, it's going to be October. "Number one, the date of statutory creation of the Harbors and Watercraft Fund and its predecessor, the Small Craft Harbor Revolving Fund; two, chronological dates when financial beneficiaries of the SCHRF and its successor, the HWRF, in addition to DBW were added including
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal. There is no decision-making involved in our discussions, and it's purely a give-and-take request for data that we need for future discussions of this type and for future formal actions for various divisions that we talk with within Parks and Recreation. So I call it to order again. And we're recording this workshop. It will be transcribed after the fact, and it will be posted on DBW Commission page for meetings on www.parks.ca.gov. And please keep in mind we're going to adjourn the workshop at noon. Please keep your questions and comments concise and remember to state	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation for an additional meeting of the Commission to be devoted to that subject to be held later that month." Well, it's going to be October. "Number one, the date of statutory creation of the Harbors and Watercraft Fund and its predecessor, the Small Craft Harbor Revolving Fund; two, chronological dates when financial beneficiaries of the SCHRF and its successor, the HWRF, in addition to DBW were added including for each beneficiary the designated
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal. There is no decision-making involved in our discussions, and it's purely a give-and-take request for data that we need for future discussions of this type and for future formal actions for various divisions that we talk with within Parks and Recreation. So I call it to order again. And we're recording this workshop. It will be transcribed after the fact, and it will be posted on DBW Commission page for meetings on www.parks.ca.gov. And please keep in mind we're going to adjourn the workshop at noon. Please keep your questions and comments concise and remember to state your name so that we have that on the transcript	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation for an additional meeting of the Commission to be devoted to that subject to be held later that month." Well, it's going to be October. "Number one, the date of statutory creation of the Harbors and Watercraft Fund and its predecessor, the Small Craft Harbor Revolving Fund; two, chronological dates when financial beneficiaries of the SCHRF and its successor, the HWRF, in addition to DBW were added including for each beneficiary the designated purpose of the use of funds and the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal. There is no decision-making involved in our discussions, and it's purely a give-and-take request for data that we need for future discussions of this type and for future formal actions for various divisions that we talk with within Parks and Recreation. So I call it to order again. And we're recording this workshop. It will be transcribed after the fact, and it will be posted on DBW Commission page for meetings on www.parks.ca.gov. And please keep in mind we're going to adjourn the workshop at noon. Please keep your questions and comments concise and remember to state your name so that we have that on the transcript before you make a comment or ask a question.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation for an additional meeting of the Commission to be devoted to that subject to be held later that month." Well, it's going to be October. "Number one, the date of statutory creation of the Harbors and Watercraft Fund and its predecessor, the Small Craft Harbor Revolving Fund; two, chronological dates when financial beneficiaries of the SCHRF and its successor, the HWRF, in addition to DBW were added including for each beneficiary the designated purpose of the use of funds and the responsible state agency; the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal. There is no decision-making involved in our discussions, and it's purely a give-and-take request for data that we need for future discussions of this type and for future formal actions for various divisions that we talk with within Parks and Recreation. So I call it to order again. And we're recording this workshop. It will be transcribed after the fact, and it will be posted on DBW Commission page for meetings on www.parks.ca.gov. And please keep in mind we're going to adjourn the workshop at noon. Please keep your questions and comments concise and remember to state your name so that we have that on the transcript before you make a comment or ask a question. I understand we have some very	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation for an additional meeting of the Commission to be devoted to that subject to be held later that month." Well, it's going to be October. "Number one, the date of statutory creation of the Harbors and Watercraft Fund and its predecessor, the Small Craft Harbor Revolving Fund; two, chronological dates when financial beneficiaries of the SCHRF and its successor, the HWRF, in addition to DBW were added including for each beneficiary the designated purpose of the use of funds and the responsible state agency; the specific authority cited for the use
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the California Boating and Waterway Commission hailing from Coronado. The purpose of this workshop and I stress it's a workshop, and we do not have a quorum of the Commission available, but very informal. There is no decision-making involved in our discussions, and it's purely a give-and-take request for data that we need for future discussions of this type and for future formal actions for various divisions that we talk with within Parks and Recreation. So I call it to order again. And we're recording this workshop. It will be transcribed after the fact, and it will be posted on DBW Commission page for meetings on www.parks.ca.gov. And please keep in mind we're going to adjourn the workshop at noon. Please keep your questions and comments concise and remember to state your name so that we have that on the transcript before you make a comment or ask a question.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"in its review of issues related to the financial condition of the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, the following information is requested to be provided to the Commission no later than September 14, 2019, in preparation for an additional meeting of the Commission to be devoted to that subject to be held later that month." Well, it's going to be October. "Number one, the date of statutory creation of the Harbors and Watercraft Fund and its predecessor, the Small Craft Harbor Revolving Fund; two, chronological dates when financial beneficiaries of the SCHRF and its successor, the HWRF, in addition to DBW were added including for each beneficiary the designated purpose of the use of funds and the responsible state agency; the specific authority cited for the use

	Page 6		Page 8
-	could be by administrative order	-	is that a total as to when that appropriation was
1	could be by administrative order, statute, budget appropriation,	2	is that a total as to when that appropriation was. And then when I was looking at the budget
3	et cetera; and, C, the cumulative		online, this year's budget, I saw that there were
4	dollar total received by each from		some departments that had money that weren't
5	its inception as a financial		necessarily on there. So then I was, you know, we
6	beneficiary. Thanks."		definitely want to have that re-looked at as far as
7	Has that been done?		completion.
8	: I believe that we had sent	8	: This was from information
-	this was our response to our consent to you from	-	from 1988 to present.
	Lane Massey: We have received that.	10	: So that amount, like total
11	VICE CHAIR METZ: I recall some, the Davis		from '88 to (inaudible), so that doesn't speak to
12	Stellwag and others, were all listed. I didn't		us in like this year or each year how much was taken
	see beach replenishment and erosion, I didn't see		in total.
	there. I didn't see the amount for Parks, other	14	: Correct, yes.
15	beneficiaries. Hold on, I would like a full listing	15	VICE CHAIR METZ: For which departments
	as I requested here of every.	16	that you (inaudible)?
17	So we assume I'm assuming the fund when	17	: I saw one that wasn't on
18	it was created in '55 or '56, its primary	18	there was wait a second here. There was Delta, I
19	beneficiary was the then Department of Boating and	19	think. Hang on. It was the Delta Protection
20	Waterworks. There may have been one or two others.	20	Commission. No, it is here. No, you're right, that
21	So I want to see since that time what other agencies	21	is there. And then the Natural Resources Agency in
22	have been authorized and by what authorization they	22	general, is that state outright? So that was like
23	were to have a share in the particular fund and what	23	operations and a note where that kind of comes into
24	the cumulative amount has been. Forget the amount	24	here. Is that just part of is that separate and
25	that Governor Schwarzenegger borrowed from the fund,	25	apart?
	Page 7		Page 9
1	Page 7 which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the	1	Page 9 LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources
	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the	2	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources
2 3	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations.	2 3	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the
2 3 4 5	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here	2 3 4 5	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources.
2 3 4 5 6	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and	2 3 4 5	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record?
2 3 4 5 6 7	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of	2 3 4 5	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of the pie, here are all of the people that are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey. VICE CHAIR METZ: Are there any comments?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of the pie, here are all of the people that are appropriate have portions of it, and the piece of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey. VICE CHAIR METZ: Are there any comments? I've indicated what at least the chairman or my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of the pie, here are all of the people that are appropriate have portions of it, and the piece of the pie that DBW has.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey. VICE CHAIR METZ: Are there any comments? I've indicated what at least the chairman or my data requests are. To what extent, Ramona, have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of the pie, here are all of the people that are appropriate have portions of it, and the piece of the pie that DBW has. That's basically the type of information I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey. VICE CHAIR METZ: Are there any comments? I've indicated what at least the chairman or my data requests are. To what extent, Ramona, have they been satisfied and when was the date for
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of the pie, here are all of the people that are appropriate have portions of it, and the piece of the pie that DBW has. That's basically the type of information I think is important for us to have as we go into our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey. VICE CHAIR METZ: Are there any comments? I've indicated what at least the chairman or my data requests are. To what extent, Ramona, have they been satisfied and when was the date for supplying the balance?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of the pie, here are all of the people that are appropriate have portions of it, and the piece of the pie that DBW has. That's basically the type of information I think is important for us to have as we go into our second Commission meeting on or about October,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey. VICE CHAIR METZ: Are there any comments? I've indicated what at least the chairman or my data requests are. To what extent, Ramona, have they been satisfied and when was the date for supplying the balance? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: Remaining
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of the pie, here are all of the people that are appropriate have portions of it, and the piece of the pie that DBW has. That's basically the type of information I think is important for us to have as we go into our second Commission meeting on or about October, whatever this is, 14th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey. VICE CHAIR METZ: Are there any comments? I've indicated what at least the chairman or my data requests are. To what extent, Ramona, have they been satisfied and when was the date for supplying the balance? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: Remaining balance for that from the Budget Office
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of the pie, here are all of the people that are appropriate have portions of it, and the piece of the pie that DBW has. That's basically the type of information I think is important for us to have as we go into our second Commission meeting on or about October, whatever this is, 14th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey. VICE CHAIR METZ: Are there any comments? I've indicated what at least the chairman or my data requests are. To what extent, Ramona, have they been satisfied and when was the date for supplying the balance? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: Remaining balance for that from the Budget Office (inaudible) further update for you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of the pie, here are all of the people that are appropriate have portions of it, and the piece of the pie that DBW has. That's basically the type of information I think is important for us to have as we go into our second Commission meeting on or about October, whatever this is, 14th. : Did you want to talk about that or go further down and answer questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey. VICE CHAIR METZ: Are there any comments? I've indicated what at least the chairman or my data requests are. To what extent, Ramona, have they been satisfied and when was the date for supplying the balance? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: Remaining balance for that from the Budget Office (inaudible) further update for you. VICE CHAIR METZ: For October.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of the pie, here are all of the people that are appropriate have portions of it, and the piece of the pie that DBW has. That's basically the type of information I think is important for us to have as we go into our second Commission meeting on or about October, whatever this is, 14th. : Did you want to talk about that or go further down and answer questions? VICE CHAIR METZ: No, go ahead. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey. VICE CHAIR METZ: Are there any comments? I've indicated what at least the chairman or my data requests are. To what extent, Ramona, have they been satisfied and when was the date for supplying the balance? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: Remaining balance for that from the Budget Office (inaudible) further update for you. VICE CHAIR METZ: For October. DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: For October,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of the pie, here are all of the people that are appropriate have portions of it, and the piece of the pie that DBW has. That's basically the type of information I think is important for us to have as we go into our second Commission meeting on or about October, whatever this is, 14th. : Did you want to talk about that or go further down and answer questions? VICE CHAIR METZ: No, go ahead. I think it's important that we get our data requests	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey. VICE CHAIR METZ: Are there any comments? I've indicated what at least the chairman or my data requests are. To what extent, Ramona, have they been satisfied and when was the date for supplying the balance? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: Remaining balance for that from the Budget Office (inaudible) further update for you. VICE CHAIR METZ: For October. DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: For October, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of the pie, here are all of the people that are appropriate have portions of it, and the piece of the pie that DBW has. That's basically the type of information I think is important for us to have as we go into our second Commission meeting on or about October, whatever this is, 14th. : Did you want to talk about that or go further down and answer questions? VICE CHAIR METZ: No, go ahead. I think it's important that we get our data requests clarified, whether they've been met or can be met,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey. VICE CHAIR METZ: Are there any comments? I've indicated what at least the chairman or my data requests are. To what extent, Ramona, have they been satisfied and when was the date for supplying the balance? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: Remaining balance for that from the Budget Office (inaudible) further update for you. VICE CHAIR METZ: For October. DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: For October, yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: To the extent you can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of the pie, here are all of the people that are appropriate have portions of it, and the piece of the pie that DBW has. That's basically the type of information I think is important for us to have as we go into our second Commission meeting on or about October, whatever this is, 14th. : Did you want to talk about that or go further down and answer questions? VICE CHAIR METZ: No, go ahead. I think it's important that we get our data requests clarified, whether they've been met or can be met, and when they (inaudible).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey. VICE CHAIR METZ: Are there any comments? I've indicated what at least the chairman or my data requests are. To what extent, Ramona, have they been satisfied and when was the date for supplying the balance? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: Remaining balance for that from the Budget Office (inaudible) further update for you. VICE CHAIR METZ: For October. DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: For October, yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: To the extent you can have it to us in advance, we would appreciate that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of the pie, here are all of the people that are appropriate have portions of it, and the piece of the pie that DBW has. That's basically the type of information I think is important for us to have as we go into our second Commission meeting on or about October, whatever this is, 14th: Did you want to talk about that or go further down and answer questions? VICE CHAIR METZ: No, go ahead. I think it's important that we get our data requests clarified, whether they've been met or can be met, and when they (inaudible): So on the I appreciate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey. VICE CHAIR METZ: Are there any comments? I've indicated what at least the chairman or my data requests are. To what extent, Ramona, have they been satisfied and when was the date for supplying the balance? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: Remaining balance for that from the Budget Office (inaudible) further update for you. VICE CHAIR METZ: For October. DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: For October, yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: To the extent you can have it to us in advance, we would appreciate that very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of the pie, here are all of the people that are appropriate have portions of it, and the piece of the pie that DBW has. That's basically the type of information I think is important for us to have as we go into our second Commission meeting on or about October, whatever this is, 14th. : Did you want to talk about that or go further down and answer questions? VICE CHAIR METZ: No, go ahead. I think it's important that we get our data requests clarified, whether they've been met or can be met, and when they (inaudible).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey. VICE CHAIR METZ: Are there any comments? I've indicated what at least the chairman or my data requests are. To what extent, Ramona, have they been satisfied and when was the date for supplying the balance? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: Remaining balance for that from the Budget Office (inaudible) further update for you. VICE CHAIR METZ: For October. DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: For October, yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: To the extent you can have it to us in advance, we would appreciate that very much. I'll just rush ahead. Ultimately, if we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of the pie, here are all of the people that are appropriate have portions of it, and the piece of the pie that DBW has. That's basically the type of information I think is important for us to have as we go into our second Commission meeting on or about October, whatever this is, 14th. : Did you want to talk about that or go further down and answer questions? VICE CHAIR METZ: No, go ahead. I think it's important that we get our data requests clarified, whether they've been met or can be met, and when they (inaudible). : So on the I appreciate those answers. I just was trying to I saw on it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey. VICE CHAIR METZ: Are there any comments? I've indicated what at least the chairman or my data requests are. To what extent, Ramona, have they been satisfied and when was the date for supplying the balance? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: Remaining balance for that from the Budget Office (inaudible) further update for you. VICE CHAIR METZ: For October. DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: For October, yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: To the extent you can have it to us in advance, we would appreciate that very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	which has been repaid, that sort of thing, just the ongoing appropriations. Then, finally, there was a recent request, namely, Monday teleconference, was to have a pie chart: 1955 here is the Boating and Waterways, here is the revolving fund, here is the Division's and the Department's share today, here is the size of the pie, here are all of the people that are appropriate have portions of it, and the piece of the pie that DBW has. That's basically the type of information I think is important for us to have as we go into our second Commission meeting on or about October, whatever this is, 14th. : Did you want to talk about that or go further down and answer questions? VICE CHAIR METZ: No, go ahead. I think it's important that we get our data requests clarified, whether they've been met or can be met, and when they (inaudible). : So on the I appreciate those answers. I just was trying to I saw on it, like where there was the authorization like 1990	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LANE MASSEY: So the Natural Resources Appropriations Act I think was new for 1920, but the items listed as TURPA was also rolled up under Natural Resources. : Would you say your name for the record? : Would you say your name for the record? : LANE MASSEY: Lane Massey. VICE CHAIR METZ: Are there any comments? I've indicated what at least the chairman or my data requests are. To what extent, Ramona, have they been satisfied and when was the date for supplying the balance? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: Remaining balance for that from the Budget Office (inaudible) further update for you. VICE CHAIR METZ: For October. DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: For October, yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: To the extent you can have it to us in advance, we would appreciate that very much. I'II just rush ahead. Ultimately, if we find that the original purpose of the Harbors and

		November 25,	
	Page 10	Pa	ge 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	problem. The last person, department, division that should be affected or impacted would be DBW. They were the ones who started it. So the ultimate question may be restrained upon the agencies that have since established new funds have done have participated in actually in it. They may need to scale back in order to assure that this division, the original purpose that the boaters when they paid into their taxes into a vehicle, that we have kept faith with them. Anyway, that's I don't know what the other line is going to be, but just projecting out, it may be the public policy projection. : Commissioner Metz, this is more a question for staff. I just want to make sure that I'm clear on exactly. Are we I just want to make sure that we memorialize what data request is outstanding. So what is the difference I'm not tracking what is the difference between what Commissioner Metz is requesting and what was provided here.	 important, the original authorization to have a share in or came from, the appropriated form. Again, we're looking at that's the whole point. We're doing some financial planning here. One other point and I'll need your help because I've done the research. In 2011/'12 who the additional motor vehicle tax was appropriated and enacted, was there a change in the formula that particular time. In other words, my question is this: Back to 2011/'12, the gasoline tax was increased by X percent. Does the statutory provision deal only with the distribution of that net additional revenue from the increase in the motor vehicle tax, or does that provision operate retroactively and applied to the entire proceeds, the net additional cause the base on which the additional was (inaudible). Do you have that provision? VICE CHAIR METZ: Would you read it? Yes, it looks like it's just 	en
23	DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: That was going	23 the additional, so it should leave everything out.	
24	to be my next question because I think that DB	24 VICE CHAIR METZ: If you would just read	
	(inaudible) said there was some missing.	25 it so we have it for the record, please.	
	good. It was more like trying to figure out where	1 : Yes. This was Revenue 2 Taxation Code 8352.4 (a), is where you talk abort	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15			ut n ve I ng es

	Page 14		Page 16
1	So I guess there's just kind of a question	-	expenditures.
	of it seems like only those additional taxes went	2	
	elsewhere and everything else remained the same, but		commission that we had about a third of a billion
	Craig wants to say something.		dollars outstanding in terms of receivables from
5	CRAIG: Yeah, I wish I had my base excise		loans that were made, which, of course, then
	tax chart to show folks because I think it breaks it		depending on your interest rate is the source of
	down pretty well.		income for the fund itself.
	I'm kind of going off memory here, but I	8	I have not since that time seen a fund
8	• • •	-	
	would like to respond in writing to that question to	9	
	make sure I get everything exactly correct, and make		today? What are the receivables in terms of loans
	sure I'm interpreting the tax code correctly. But as I understand it for fuel-based		outstanding, that sort of thing? I don't know
12			whether that is kept. Certainly, most organizations
	excise tax, you've essentially got three components,		if you have a fund of any magnitude in all
	right? You got a base that's around 30 cents or something. You've got your 12 cents, which is the		budgets (inaudible) you would know what the fund balance was, and then you could trace that over
			time. So, again, I'd like to make that request too.
	SB 1, and that's the piece that goes into SPURT now.		
	And then you've got another portion, which I think is the 2012 tax increase.	17 18	Because certainly one of the things the Commission has been thinking about and occasionally
18	So of that amount, the percentage and		talking about is the fact that today we are not
	actually they calculate it based on the number of	20	
	registered boats that is attributable to gasoline		Long Beach, to San Francisco East Harbor, West
	usage by registered vessels. That is then sent to		Harbor, Dana Point, you mentioned Santa Barbara.
	Harbors and Watercraft, as well as SPURT, so that's		And, therefore, that alone is a policy question:
	the 26 one that goes to the State Parks. So that		Why? The question of the interest rate that is
	all comes off that, I think, 2012. But I want to go		being charged, how did we come to that?
25	an comes on that, I think, 2012. But I want to go	25	being charged, now did we come to that:
	Page 15		Page 17
1		1	
	back and just confirm that.	1	But, nevertheless, it's important I think
2	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I	2	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of
2 3	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have	2 3	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we
2 3 4	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one	2 3 4	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in
2 3 4 5	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund	2 3 4 5	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's
2 3 4 5	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing.	2 3 4 5 6	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been
2 3 4 5 6 7	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing. CRAIG: Right. So essentially it gets	2 3 4 5 6	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing.	2 3 4 5 6 7	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid. So our flexibility even though the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing. CRAIG: Right. So essentially it gets split three ways, Harbors and Watercraft use and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid. So our flexibility even though the legislature has to say yes to Long Beach or yes to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing. CRAIG: Right. So essentially it gets split three ways, Harbors and Watercraft use and General Fund usage.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid. So our flexibility even though the legislature has to say yes to Long Beach or yes to harbor, nevertheless, the funds are there from which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing. CRAIG: Right. So essentially it gets split three ways, Harbors and Watercraft use and General Fund usage. : Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid. So our flexibility even though the legislature has to say yes to Long Beach or yes to harbor, nevertheless, the funds are there from which an authorized appropriation can be made for a
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing. CRAIG: Right. So essentially it gets split three ways, Harbors and Watercraft use and General Fund usage. : Yes. CRAIG: And I have a chart that breaks it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid. So our flexibility even though the legislature has to say yes to Long Beach or yes to harbor, nevertheless, the funds are there from which an authorized appropriation can be made for a
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing. CRAIG: Right. So essentially it gets split three ways, Harbors and Watercraft use and General Fund usage. : Yes. CRAIG: And I have a chart that breaks it all down. I just don't have it with me today, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid. So our flexibility even though the legislature has to say yes to Long Beach or yes to harbor, nevertheless, the funds are there from which an authorized appropriation can be made for a particular public purpose that's been approved.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing. CRAIG: Right. So essentially it gets split three ways, Harbors and Watercraft use and General Fund usage. : Yes. CRAIG: And I have a chart that breaks it all down. I just don't have it with me today, but I can get that out to you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid. So our flexibility even though the legislature has to say yes to Long Beach or yes to harbor, nevertheless, the funds are there from which an authorized appropriation can be made for a particular public purpose that's been approved. : So I'm not sure exactly what fund you're referring to, other than the Harbors and
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing. CRAIG: Right. So essentially it gets split three ways, Harbors and Watercraft use and General Fund usage. : Yes. CRAIG: And I have a chart that breaks it all down. I just don't have it with me today, but I can get that out to you. : I think that helps with that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid. So our flexibility even though the legislature has to say yes to Long Beach or yes to harbor, nevertheless, the funds are there from which an authorized appropriation can be made for a particular public purpose that's been approved. : So I'm not sure exactly what fund you're referring to, other than the Harbors and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing. CRAIG: Right. So essentially it gets split three ways, Harbors and Watercraft use and General Fund usage. : Yes. CRAIG: And I have a chart that breaks it all down. I just don't have it with me today, but I can get that out to you. : I think that helps with that historical context as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid. So our flexibility even though the legislature has to say yes to Long Beach or yes to harbor, nevertheless, the funds are there from which an authorized appropriation can be made for a particular public purpose that's been approved. : So I'm not sure exactly what fund you're referring to, other than the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Yes, we have a fund
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing. CRAIG: Right. So essentially it gets split three ways, Harbors and Watercraft use and General Fund usage. : Yes. CRAIG: And I have a chart that breaks it all down. I just don't have it with me today, but I can get that out to you. : I think that helps with that historical context as well. VICE CHAIR METZ: I think the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid. So our flexibility even though the legislature has to say yes to Long Beach or yes to harbor, nevertheless, the funds are there from which an authorized appropriation can be made for a particular public purpose that's been approved. : So I'm not sure exactly what fund you're referring to, other than the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Yes, we have a fund
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing. CRAIG: Right. So essentially it gets split three ways, Harbors and Watercraft use and General Fund usage. : Yes. CRAIG: And I have a chart that breaks it all down. I just don't have it with me today, but I can get that out to you. : I think that helps with that historical context as well. VICE CHAIR METZ: I think the Commission one final item, just to recapitulate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid. So our flexibility even though the legislature has to say yes to Long Beach or yes to harbor, nevertheless, the funds are there from which an authorized appropriation can be made for a particular public purpose that's been approved. : So I'm not sure exactly what fund you're referring to, other than the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Yes, we have a fund balance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing. CRAIG: Right. So essentially it gets split three ways, Harbors and Watercraft use and General Fund usage. : Yes. CRAIG: And I have a chart that breaks it all down. I just don't have it with me today, but I can get that out to you. : I think that helps with that historical context as well. VICE CHAIR METZ: I think the Commission one final item, just to recapitulate my request of August 25th that I read, number two,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid. So our flexibility even though the legislature has to say yes to Long Beach or yes to harbor, nevertheless, the funds are there from which an authorized appropriation can be made for a particular public purpose that's been approved. : So I'm not sure exactly what fund you're referring to, other than the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. : So the fund balance, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing. CRAIG: Right. So essentially it gets split three ways, Harbors and Watercraft use and General Fund usage. : Yes. CRAIG: And I have a chart that breaks it all down. I just don't have it with me today, but I can get that out to you. : I think that helps with that historical context as well. VICE CHAIR METZ: I think the Commission one final item, just to recapitulate my request of August 25th that I read, number two, I'd like the pie chart approach before and today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid. So our flexibility even though the legislature has to say yes to Long Beach or yes to harbor, nevertheless, the funds are there from which an authorized appropriation can be made for a particular public purpose that's been approved. : So I'm not sure exactly what fund you're referring to, other than the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Yes, we have a fund balance : So the fund balance, it's actually VICE CHAIR METZ: which includes the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing. CRAIG: Right. So essentially it gets split three ways, Harbors and Watercraft use and General Fund usage. : Yes. CRAIG: And I have a chart that breaks it all down. I just don't have it with me today, but I can get that out to you. : I think that helps with that historical context as well. VICE CHAIR METZ: I think the Commission one final item, just to recapitulate my request of August 25th that I read, number two, I'd like the pie chart approach before and today. Also, we used to when I came on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid. So our flexibility even though the legislature has to say yes to Long Beach or yes to harbor, nevertheless, the funds are there from which an authorized appropriation can be made for a particular public purpose that's been approved. : So I'm not sure exactly what fund you're referring to, other than the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Yes, we have a fund balance : So the fund balance, it's actually : To the fund balance, it's is not are outstanding. : it's in the Governor's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing. CRAIG: Right. So essentially it gets split three ways, Harbors and Watercraft use and General Fund usage. : Yes. CRAIG: And I have a chart that breaks it all down. I just don't have it with me today, but I can get that out to you. : I think that helps with that historical context as well. VICE CHAIR METZ: I think the Commission one final item, just to recapitulate my request of August 25th that I read, number two, I'd like the pie chart approach before and today. Also, we used to when I came on the Commission in 2006 it was a long time ago,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid. So our flexibility even though the legislature has to say yes to Long Beach or yes to harbor, nevertheless, the funds are there from which an authorized appropriation can be made for a particular public purpose that's been approved. : So I'm not sure exactly what fund you're referring to, other than the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Yes, we have a fund balance VICE CHAIR METZ: which includes the loans that are outstanding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing. CRAIG: Right. So essentially it gets split three ways, Harbors and Watercraft use and General Fund usage. : Yes. CRAIG: And I have a chart that breaks it all down. I just don't have it with me today, but I can get that out to you. : I think that helps with that historical context as well. VICE CHAIR METZ: I think the Commission one final item, just to recapitulate my request of August 25th that I read, number two, I'd like the pie chart approach before and today. Also, we used to when I came on the Commission in 2006 it was a long time ago, 2006 we would get fund balance. We would say,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid. So our flexibility even though the legislature has to say yes to Long Beach or yes to harbor, nevertheless, the funds are there from which an authorized appropriation can be made for a particular public purpose that's been approved. : So I'm not sure exactly what fund you're referring to, other than the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Yes, we have a fund balance : So the fund balance, it's actually : To the fund balance, it's is not are outstanding. : it's in the Governor's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	back and just confirm that. : Yeah, because when I looked you know, in like many of these, you have to do the chase through the codes, there was one that goes to that State Parks and Recreation Fund and then one that goes to the General Fund existing. CRAIG: Right. So essentially it gets split three ways, Harbors and Watercraft use and General Fund usage. : Yes. CRAIG: And I have a chart that breaks it all down. I just don't have it with me today, but I can get that out to you. : I think that helps with that historical context as well. VICE CHAIR METZ: I think the Commission one final item, just to recapitulate my request of August 25th that I read, number two, I'd like the pie chart approach before and today. Also, we used to when I came on the Commission in 2006 it was a long time ago, 2006 we would get fund balance. We would say, okay, here is the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	But, nevertheless, it's important I think to understand and be able to tell the boaters of California or anyone else for that matter is that we have these receivables that have not been paid in terms of loans and in our understanding was that's multiple funds for additional funds that have been repaid. So our flexibility even though the legislature has to say yes to Long Beach or yes to harbor, nevertheless, the funds are there from which an authorized appropriation can be made for a particular public purpose that's been approved. : So I'm not sure exactly what fund you're referring to, other than the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Yes, we have a fund balance : So the fund balance, it's actually VICE CHAIR METZ: which includes the loans that are outstanding. : it's in the Governor's Budget, but we also keep apart from that a separate

	Page 18		Page 20
	Fage 10		Fage 20
1	that the fund provides. So we keep a full	1	important the loan program is for actually the
2	accounting of that. We know repayment dates. We	2	longevity fiscal welfare.
3	know by which year we'll be gathering interest, and	3	VICE CHAIR METZ: Okay. Before we turn to
4	we update that fund balance every year. The fund	4	our regular agenda, are there any other data needs,
5	balance obviously only goes to the budget here,		data requests, to be put on the table as we go
	which for this year was 1920, so it doesn't show the	6	
	respective payback of all of those outstanding	7	
	loans.		of the Commission? Or can you state right now it's
9	But last time I looked at it, it looked		
		9	
10	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		October the 12th and 13th, our expectations are
	per year and then about another \$5 million in		not beyond realities. I would hope not.
	principal per year. So we do keep track of that.	12	· · · ·
	That's all reflected in the fund balance we see		so I must confess, I'm still not clear as I'm
14	here.		looking at folks. If you folks are clear, then I
15	When the legislature looks at the fund	15	trust we're clear.
16	balance to determine how much to appropriate for	16	Can we take a crack at articulating what
17	these loans, they're simply going to look at the	17	we believe that Commissioner Metz is requesting to
18	Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund's balance as	18	confirm so that I understand?
19	shown in the Governor's budget. So to the extent	19	VICE CHAIR METZ: I can read again.
20	there is enough money or cash in that fund to do	20	DIRECTOR MANGAT: If you could just ask
21	those loans, they will appropriate money. We've	21	what agency or what you are looking for in
	asked for it every year, \$5.5 million this year to		addition
	make those loans.	23	VICE CHAIR METZ: Let's assume do a
24	And, actually, Keren has a presentation	_	hypothetical. Again, hypothetical, I wasn't there
	today on loans. And one thing we also wanted to do		in 1955 or '56 when the voters in California said
	loady officiallo. And one aming we also wanted to de	2.5	
	Page 19		Page 21
	Page 19		Page 21
	at this workshop today was try to determine what the		instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for
2	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward.	2	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let
2 3	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for	2 3	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special
2 3 4	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF	2 3 4	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be
2 3 4	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for	2 3 4	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special
2 3 4 5	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF	2 3 4 5	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be
2 3 4 5 6	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are	2 3 4 5 6	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in
2 3 4 5 6 7	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there	2 3 4 5 6 7	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've	2 3 4 5 6 7	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've only got I think one in the last three years. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary was the then department.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've only got I think one in the last three years. So understanding what that program looks like going	2 3 6 7 8 9	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary was the then department. Now since then, a lot has happened with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've only got I think one in the last three years. So understanding what that program looks like going forward is a big component to the overall health and welfare analysis of the fund.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary was the then department. Now since then, a lot has happened with the fund. So I'd like to see the progression so that we can say this was the original intent most
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've only got I think one in the last three years. So understanding what that program looks like going forward is a big component to the overall health and welfare analysis of the fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Very good.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary was the then department. Now since then, a lot has happened with the fund. So I'd like to see the progression so that we can say this was the original intent most boaters remember. They weren't around in '55. But,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've only got I think one in the last three years. So understanding what that program looks like going forward is a big component to the overall health and welfare analysis of the fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Very good. : Yeah, I would be really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary was the then department. Now since then, a lot has happened with the fund. So I'd like to see the progression so that we can say this was the original intent most boaters remember. They weren't around in '55. But, nevertheless, the lower is the assumption is, the
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've only got I think one in the last three years. So understanding what that program looks like going forward is a big component to the overall health and welfare analysis of the fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Very good. : Yeah, I would be really interested because I suspect there might be some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary was the then department. Now since then, a lot has happened with the fund. So I'd like to see the progression so that we can say this was the original intent most boaters remember. They weren't around in '55. But, nevertheless, the lower is the assumption is, the stakeholders' assumptions are and so forth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've only got I think one in the last three years. So understanding what that program looks like going forward is a big component to the overall health and welfare analysis of the fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Very good. : Yeah, I would be really interested because I suspect there might be some you know, one, if we're worried about that future,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary was the then department. Now since then, a lot has happened with the fund. So I'd like to see the progression so that we can say this was the original intent most boaters remember. They weren't around in '55. But, nevertheless, the lower is the assumption is, the stakeholders' assumptions are and so forth. Here we have it today, and we have all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've only got I think one in the last three years. So understanding what that program looks like going forward is a big component to the overall health and welfare analysis of the fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Very good. : Yeah, I would be really interested because I suspect there might be someyou know, one, if we're worried about that future, these things are ticking down, that would be good to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary was the then department. Now since then, a lot has happened with the fund. So I'd like to see the progression so that we can say this was the original intent most boaters remember. They weren't around in '55. But, nevertheless, the lower is the assumption is, the stakeholders' assumptions are and so forth. Here we have it today, and we have all kinds of groups, individuals, activities, functions,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've only got I think one in the last three years. So understanding what that program looks like going forward is a big component to the overall health and welfare analysis of the fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Very good. : Yeah, I would be really interested because I suspect there might be someyou know, one, if we're worried about that future, these things are ticking down, that would be good to start preparing for.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary was the then department. Now since then, a lot has happened with the fund. So I'd like to see the progression so that we can say this was the original intent most boaters remember. They weren't around in '55. But, nevertheless, the lower is the assumption is, the stakeholders' assumptions are and so forth. Here we have it today, and we have all kinds of groups, individuals, activities, functions, that are sharing in that (inaudible). So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've only got I think one in the last three years. So understanding what that program looks like going forward is a big component to the overall health and welfare analysis of the fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Very good. : Yeah, I would be really interested because I suspect there might be someyou know, one, if we're worried about that future, these things are ticking down, that would be good to start preparing for. And then I also not to keep harping on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary was the then department. Now since then, a lot has happened with the fund. So I'd like to see the progression so that we can say this was the original intent most boaters remember. They weren't around in '55. But, nevertheless, the lower is the assumption is, the stakeholders' assumptions are and so forth. Here we have it today, and we have all kinds of groups, individuals, activities, functions, that are sharing in that (inaudible). So certainly the historical revolution of that I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've only got I think one in the last three years. So understanding what that program looks like going forward is a big component to the overall health and welfare analysis of the fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Very good. : Yeah, I would be really interested because I suspect there might be someyou know, one, if we're worried about that future, these things are ticking down, that would be good to start preparing for. And then I also not to keep harping on it, but I suspect there also might be some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary was the then department. Now since then, a lot has happened with the fund. So I'd like to see the progression so that we can say this was the original intent most boaters remember. They weren't around in '55. But, nevertheless, the lower is the assumption is, the stakeholders' assumptions are and so forth. Here we have it today, and we have all kinds of groups, individuals, activities, functions, that are sharing in that (inaudible). So certainly the historical revolution of that I think is very important to see how we got from there to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've only got I think one in the last three years. So understanding what that program looks like going forward is a big component to the overall health and welfare analysis of the fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Very good. : Yeah, I would be really interested because I suspect there might be some you know, one, if we're worried about that future, these things are ticking down, that would be good to start preparing for. And then I also not to keep harping on it, but I suspect there also might be some opportunity with the Olympics coming in 2028	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary was the then department. Now since then, a lot has happened with the fund. So I'd like to see the progression so that we can say this was the original intent most boaters remember. They weren't around in '55. But, nevertheless, the lower is the assumption is, the stakeholders' assumptions are and so forth. Here we have it today, and we have all kinds of groups, individuals, activities, functions, that are sharing in that (inaudible). So certainly the historical revolution of that I think is very important to see how we got from there to here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've only got I think one in the last three years. So understanding what that program looks like going forward is a big component to the overall health and welfare analysis of the fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Very good. : Yeah, I would be really interested because I suspect there might be some you know, one, if we're worried about that future, these things are ticking down, that would be good to start preparing for. And then I also not to keep harping on it, but I suspect there also might be some opportunity with the Olympics coming in 2028 (inaudible) stuff down there, and is there stuff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary was the then department. Now since then, a lot has happened with the fund. So I'd like to see the progression so that we can say this was the original intent most boaters remember. They weren't around in '55. But, nevertheless, the lower is the assumption is, the stakeholders' assumptions are and so forth. Here we have it today, and we have all kinds of groups, individuals, activities, functions, that are sharing in that (inaudible). So certainly the historical revolution of that I think is very important to see how we got from there to here. Then going from here, in terms of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've only got I think one in the last three years. So understanding what that program looks like going forward is a big component to the overall health and welfare analysis of the fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Very good. : Yeah, I would be really interested because I suspect there might be some you know, one, if we're worried about that future, these things are ticking down, that would be good to start preparing for. And then I also not to keep harping on it, but I suspect there also might be some opportunity with the Olympics coming in 2028 (inaudible) stuff down there, and is there stuff that we can do to look at that and start gearing up,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary was the then department. Now since then, a lot has happened with the fund. So I'd like to see the progression so that we can say this was the original intent most boaters remember. They weren't around in '55. But, nevertheless, the lower is the assumption is, the stakeholders' assumptions are and so forth. Here we have it today, and we have all kinds of groups, individuals, activities, functions, that are sharing in that (inaudible). So certainly the historical revolution of that I think is very important to see how we got from there to here. Then going from here, in terms of financial planning, looking ahead, I would say first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've only got I think one in the last three years. So understanding what that program looks like going forward is a big component to the overall health and welfare analysis of the fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Very good. : Yeah, I would be really interested because I suspect there might be someyou know, one, if we're worried about that future, these things are ticking down, that would be good to start preparing for. And then I also not to keep harping on it, but I suspect there also might be some opportunity with the Olympics coming in 2028 (inaudible) stuff down there, and is there stuff that we can do to look at that and start gearing up, especially if there is going to be freed-up funds if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary was the then department. Now since then, a lot has happened with the fund. So I'd like to see the progression so that we can say this was the original intent most boaters remember. They weren't around in '55. But, nevertheless, the lower is the assumption is, the stakeholders' assumptions are and so forth. Here we have it today, and we have all kinds of groups, individuals, activities, functions, that are sharing in that (inaudible). So certainly the historical revolution of that I think is very important to see how we got from there to here. Then going from here, in terms of financial planning, looking ahead, I would say first of all the needs of DBW first, since they were the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've only got I think one in the last three years. So understanding what that program looks like going forward is a big component to the overall health and welfare analysis of the fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Very good. : Yeah, I would be really interested because I suspect there might be someyou know, one, if we're worried about that future, these things are ticking down, that would be good to start preparing for. And then I also not to keep harping on it, but I suspect there also might be some opportunity with the Olympics coming in 2028 (inaudible) stuff down there, and is there stuff that we can do to look at that and start gearing up, especially if there is going to be freed-up funds if we're not having as many in other places, and just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary was the then department. Now since then, a lot has happened with the fund. So I'd like to see the progression so that we can say this was the original intent most boaters remember. They weren't around in '55. But, nevertheless, the lower is the assumption is, the stakeholders' assumptions are and so forth. Here we have it today, and we have all kinds of groups, individuals, activities, functions, that are sharing in that (inaudible). So certainly the historical revolution of that I think is very important to see how we got from there to here. Then going from here, in terms of financial planning, looking ahead, I would say first of all the needs of DBW first, since they were the original intended beneficiaries. Going forward
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	at this workshop today was try to determine what the demand is for the public loans going forward. Because we know that is a big revenue generator for the fund. It's one of the ways we fund our RBF program. So understanding what the applications are that we have not seen yet that might be out there for these facilities, would be very helpful. We've only got I think one in the last three years. So understanding what that program looks like going forward is a big component to the overall health and welfare analysis of the fund. VICE CHAIR METZ: Very good. : Yeah, I would be really interested because I suspect there might be someyou know, one, if we're worried about that future, these things are ticking down, that would be good to start preparing for. And then I also not to keep harping on it, but I suspect there also might be some opportunity with the Olympics coming in 2028 (inaudible) stuff down there, and is there stuff that we can do to look at that and start gearing up, especially if there is going to be freed-up funds if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	instead of sending claims in for reimbursement for the gas tax we paid for my fishing boat, we'll let the State collect all that and then create a special fund for that from which there will be appropriations, loans, et cetera, to be included in the access to boating and waterways. So I'm assuming that at that time the primary beneficiary was the then department. Now since then, a lot has happened with the fund. So I'd like to see the progression so that we can say this was the original intent most boaters remember. They weren't around in '55. But, nevertheless, the lower is the assumption is, the stakeholders' assumptions are and so forth. Here we have it today, and we have all kinds of groups, individuals, activities, functions, that are sharing in that (inaudible). So certainly the historical revolution of that I think is very important to see how we got from there to here. Then going from here, in terms of financial planning, looking ahead, I would say first of all the needs of DBW first, since they were the

	Dama 00		Dama 04
	Page 22		Page 24
1	be wise custodians of the public trust in terms of	1	benefitting Boating and Waterways activities
	making policy recommendations (inaudible).	2	throughout the state, but kind of taking a look at
3	DIRECTOR MANGAT: So, Commissioner Metz,		that and describing how these funds are being used
4	let me share I think that you and I are saying		and what that connection is. So we are doing that.
	the same thing. Let me kind of share our charging	5	
	direction of how we are approaching this.		think that from like going back to my budget tier
7	You know, in statute it establishes the		technical life, I think that the content is here,
	technical operations of the plants, right, revenue		but I think we could do a better job. If you, as
9	going in, but it also specifies how the funds should		the Chair, this doesn't leap out to you as super
	be used and for what purposes.		recognizable, then we need to that's good
			•
11	I think what you're requesting, I think		feedback for us. We'll go back and make sure that
	that this without any sort of this is not a		it makes sense to normal people, because that's
	criticism of staff, but I think that this gets to		going to be important in telling the story, right,
	most of what Commissioner Metz is asking for, but I		about what's going on with the fund.
	think that it's not perhaps it's not easily	15	VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree with you. They
	translatable.		were basically saying the same thing. I think your
17	I think what we should do is go back, take	17	expression is entirely accurate and appropriate.
	a crack at this. But also I think to get to	18	It's just bottom line, we have a
	Commissioner Pettibone's point is it's unclear here		constituency, as we all do. The Commission has a
	what's ongoing and what was maybe a one-time take.		constituency. The agency has a constituency. We're
21	So I think we can pull together a chart that makes	21	trying to explain to them how we got from A, back in
22	that a bit clearer. But I assure you, this is	22	the dark ages, to today so forth.
23	absolutely what we're thinking about the fund.	23	And so you're making us better able to
24	When the fund was established when the	24	explain these things for all of you and to also get
25	division was established, just like every other fund	25	a reaction from our constituency as to whether
	Page 23		Page 25
1	in state government, things change, right? We're on	1	that's credible or they have more questions or what.
	an annual budget, so we live with individual	2	
	decisions that are made both by the legislature,		think the information is here, but it's like I
	administrations, you know, the programs.		
5		-	TOOK EVEN IN THIS EXERCISE WHICH LIQUNG VERV
	And so we've dot to approach this as an		look even in this exercise, which I found very
6	And so we've got to approach this as an	5	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the
	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you	5 6	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty
7	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different	5 6 7	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say
7 8	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And	5 6 7 8	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources
7 8 9	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And this fund, while maybe perhaps I wasn't there back	5 6 7 8 9	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources Control Board for boating-related water quality reg,
7 8 9 10	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And this fund, while maybe perhaps I wasn't there back in the good old days, but when it was created, it	5 6 7 8 9 10	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources Control Board for boating-related water quality reg, and it says (inaudible) basis.
7 8 9 10 11	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And this fund, while maybe perhaps I wasn't there back in the good old days, but when it was created, it may have been this vision that this fund would only	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources Control Board for boating-related water quality reg, and it says (inaudible) basis. So it's pretty clear about and that
7 8 9 10 11 12	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And this fund, while maybe perhaps I wasn't there back in the good old days, but when it was created, it may have been this vision that this fund would only be specifically housed and used for the purposes of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources Control Board for boating-related water quality reg, and it says (inaudible) basis. So it's pretty clear about and that would be helpful. And Keren was like, okay, it was
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And this fund, while maybe perhaps I wasn't there back in the good old days, but when it was created, it may have been this vision that this fund would only be specifically housed and used for the purposes of this specific division, but that clearly is no	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources Control Board for boating-related water quality reg, and it says (inaudible) basis. So it's pretty clear about and that would be helpful. And Keren was like, okay, it was a '99 budget act appropriation, but what was behind
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And this fund, while maybe perhaps I wasn't there back in the good old days, but when it was created, it may have been this vision that this fund would only be specifically housed and used for the purposes of this specific division, but that clearly is no longer the case.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources Control Board for boating-related water quality reg, and it says (inaudible) basis. So it's pretty clear about and that would be helpful. And Keren was like, okay, it was a '99 budget act appropriation, but what was behind that? How did that fit into that pot?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And this fund, while maybe perhaps I wasn't there back in the good old days, but when it was created, it may have been this vision that this fund would only be specifically housed and used for the purposes of this specific division, but that clearly is no longer the case. There's this broader view of the fund and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources Control Board for boating-related water quality reg, and it says (inaudible) basis. So it's pretty clear about and that would be helpful. And Keren was like, okay, it was a '99 budget act appropriation, but what was behind that? How did that fit into that pot? : (Inaudible).
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And this fund, while maybe perhaps I wasn't there back in the good old days, but when it was created, it may have been this vision that this fund would only be specifically housed and used for the purposes of this specific division, but that clearly is no longer the case. There's this broader view of the fund and how do we support boating activities across the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources Control Board for boating-related water quality reg, and it says (inaudible) basis. So it's pretty clear about and that would be helpful. And Keren was like, okay, it was a '99 budget act appropriation, but what was behind that? How did that fit into that pot? : (Inaudible). VICE CHAIR METZ: Thank you. Well, that
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And this fund, while maybe perhaps I wasn't there back in the good old days, but when it was created, it may have been this vision that this fund would only be specifically housed and used for the purposes of this specific division, but that clearly is no longer the case. There's this broader view of the fund and how do we support boating activities across the State of California. And the policy, when you look	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources Control Board for boating-related water quality reg, and it says (inaudible) basis. So it's pretty clear about and that would be helpful. And Keren was like, okay, it was a '99 budget act appropriation, but what was behind that? How did that fit into that pot? : (Inaudible). VICE CHAIR METZ: Thank you. Well, that not-so-brief digression and apology
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And this fund, while maybe perhaps I wasn't there back in the good old days, but when it was created, it may have been this vision that this fund would only be specifically housed and used for the purposes of this specific division, but that clearly is no longer the case. There's this broader view of the fund and how do we support boating activities across the State of California. And the policy, when you look at funding, right because we can talk about	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources Control Board for boating-related water quality reg, and it says (inaudible) basis. So it's pretty clear about and that would be helpful. And Keren was like, okay, it was a '99 budget act appropriation, but what was behind that? How did that fit into that pot? : (Inaudible). VICE CHAIR METZ: Thank you. Well, that not-so-brief digression and apology : It's an important one,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And this fund, while maybe perhaps I wasn't there back in the good old days, but when it was created, it may have been this vision that this fund would only be specifically housed and used for the purposes of this specific division, but that clearly is no longer the case. There's this broader view of the fund and how do we support boating activities across the State of California. And the policy, when you look at funding, right because we can talk about policy priorities, but when you really look at how	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources Control Board for boating-related water quality reg, and it says (inaudible) basis. So it's pretty clear about and that would be helpful. And Keren was like, okay, it was a '99 budget act appropriation, but what was behind that? How did that fit into that pot? : (Inaudible). VICE CHAIR METZ: Thank you. Well, that not-so-brief digression and apology : It's an important one, though.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And this fund, while maybe perhaps I wasn't there back in the good old days, but when it was created, it may have been this vision that this fund would only be specifically housed and used for the purposes of this specific division, but that clearly is no longer the case. There's this broader view of the fund and how do we support boating activities across the State of California. And the policy, when you look at funding, right because we can talk about policy priorities, but when you really look at how funds are being used, that's the truest expression	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources Control Board for boating-related water quality reg, and it says (inaudible) basis. So it's pretty clear about and that would be helpful. And Keren was like, okay, it was a '99 budget act appropriation, but what was behind that? How did that fit into that pot? : (Inaudible). VICE CHAIR METZ: Thank you. Well, that not-so-brief digression and apology : It's an important one, though. : VICE CHAIR METZ: Why don't I turn it over
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And this fund, while maybe perhaps I wasn't there back in the good old days, but when it was created, it may have been this vision that this fund would only be specifically housed and used for the purposes of this specific division, but that clearly is no longer the case. There's this broader view of the fund and how do we support boating activities across the State of California. And the policy, when you look at funding, right because we can talk about policy priorities, but when you really look at how funds are being used, that's the truest expression of the tough decisions that have been made.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources Control Board for boating-related water quality reg, and it says (inaudible) basis. So it's pretty clear about and that would be helpful. And Keren was like, okay, it was a '99 budget act appropriation, but what was behind that? How did that fit into that pot? : (Inaudible). VICE CHAIR METZ: Thank you. Well, that not-so-brief digression and apology : It's an important one, though. VICE CHAIR METZ: Why don't I turn it over to you?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And this fund, while maybe perhaps I wasn't there back in the good old days, but when it was created, it may have been this vision that this fund would only be specifically housed and used for the purposes of this specific division, but that clearly is no longer the case. There's this broader view of the fund and how do we support boating activities across the State of California. And the policy, when you look at funding, right because we can talk about policy priorities, but when you really look at how funds are being used, that's the truest expression of the tough decisions that have been made. So part of what we've been doing is going	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources Control Board for boating-related water quality reg, and it says (inaudible) basis. So it's pretty clear about and that would be helpful. And Keren was like, okay, it was a '99 budget act appropriation, but what was behind that? How did that fit into that pot? : (Inaudible). VICE CHAIR METZ: Thank you. Well, that not-so-brief digression and apology : It's an important one, though. VICE CHAIR METZ: Why don't I turn it over to you? : That's why we're here.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And this fund, while maybe perhaps I wasn't there back in the good old days, but when it was created, it may have been this vision that this fund would only be specifically housed and used for the purposes of this specific division, but that clearly is no longer the case. There's this broader view of the fund and how do we support boating activities across the State of California. And the policy, when you look at funding, right because we can talk about policy priorities, but when you really look at how funds are being used, that's the truest expression of the tough decisions that have been made.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources Control Board for boating-related water quality reg, and it says (inaudible) basis. So it's pretty clear about and that would be helpful. And Keren was like, okay, it was a '99 budget act appropriation, but what was behind that? How did that fit into that pot? : (Inaudible). VICE CHAIR METZ: Thank you. Well, that not-so-brief digression and apology : It's an important one, though. VICE CHAIR METZ: Why don't I turn it over to you? : That's why we're here.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And this fund, while maybe perhaps I wasn't there back in the good old days, but when it was created, it may have been this vision that this fund would only be specifically housed and used for the purposes of this specific division, but that clearly is no longer the case. There's this broader view of the fund and how do we support boating activities across the State of California. And the policy, when you look at funding, right because we can talk about policy priorities, but when you really look at how funds are being used, that's the truest expression of the tough decisions that have been made. So part of what we've been doing is going	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources Control Board for boating-related water quality reg, and it says (inaudible) basis. So it's pretty clear about and that would be helpful. And Keren was like, okay, it was a '99 budget act appropriation, but what was behind that? How did that fit into that pot? : (Inaudible). VICE CHAIR METZ: Thank you. Well, that not-so-brief digression and apology : It's an important one, though. VICE CHAIR METZ: Why don't I turn it over to you? : That's why we're here.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	opportunity to look at it with fresh eyes, and you know to be really candid with you, there's different policy reasons for which things happen, right? And this fund, while maybe perhaps I wasn't there back in the good old days, but when it was created, it may have been this vision that this fund would only be specifically housed and used for the purposes of this specific division, but that clearly is no longer the case. There's this broader view of the fund and how do we support boating activities across the State of California. And the policy, when you look at funding, right because we can talk about policy priorities, but when you really look at how funds are being used, that's the truest expression of the tough decisions that have been made. So part of what we've been doing is going back and looking at how the funds are being used by	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	helpful, I went to back to where it says where the money and the fund is to be used, and pretty specific about boating-related. It does say specifically it can go to the State Water Resources Control Board for boating-related water quality reg, and it says (inaudible) basis. So it's pretty clear about and that would be helpful. And Keren was like, okay, it was a '99 budget act appropriation, but what was behind that? How did that fit into that pot? : (Inaudible). VICE CHAIR METZ: Thank you. Well, that not-so-brief digression and apology : It's an important one, though. VICE CHAIR METZ: Why don't I turn it over to you? : That's why we're here. So do you want to start with

	Page 26		Page 28
1	DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Sure, which I	1	that's created what we call a shortfall underfund
	think that is a nice segue from Commissioner Metz's		that we now have to address in the 2020 budget.
	financial questions.	3	A couple of things to point out here.
4	So I provided two handouts, and I	-	Your yellow line is your other department spending,
	assume this one actually, which related back in		and that is primarily for aquatic invasive species.
	February		DRW is also included in that line. '13/'14, you see
7	VICE CHAIR METZ: You presented this.		a spike there, so that's a couple of things. That
8	DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: in		is the first year we put Quagga Zebra fee into place
9	February, which I think is not exactly what you're		which was dedicated obviously for treatment of those
	looking for, but I think it is in the same vein as		two '13/'14. '13/'14 Quagga Zebra goes into
11	what is the progression of the fund, how did we get,	11	place, so you see revenues and expenditures kind of
12	you know, from 1955 to here. Obviously, it's a much	12	tick up for that, also (inaudible) started in
13	shorter window. It doesn't have the narrative with	13	'13/'14.
14	it, and you're not seeing kind of what those spikes	14	So those are things that we continue to
15	and valleys are, but	15	get smart on, State Parks, in trying to understand
16	DIRECTOR MANGAT: Let me just interrupt	16	all of the different moving parts. Where is the
	very quickly and talk about the importance of this	17	spending relative to the revenue on those programs?
	chart. This isn't just, you know, an inside within	18	5
	the Boating and Waterways family as we talked about	19	5 5
	this. We use this chart a lot when we meet with		been partaking in ever since this initiative
	stakeholders and talk about the current condition of		started.
	the fund. It's also a chart that we felt was really	22	So with that, I think there's two things
	helpful with the Department of Finance to talk about		we wanted to talk about today. Since it seems like
	historically what's been happening with the fund. And so for those of you that aren't you		there's a focus on other department spending,
25	And so for those of you that aren't you	25	specifically aquatic invasive species, we wanted to
	Page 27		Page 29
-			
	know don't watch the state hudget process as	1	have Edjuct talk about the program that DBW rups co
	know, don't watch the state budget process as		have Ed just talk about the program that DBW runs so folks can understand why it is a viable program for
2	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at	2	folks can understand why it is a viable program for
2 3	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the	2 3	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have
2 3 4	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at	2 3	folks can understand why it is a viable program for
2 3 4 5	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's	2 3 4 5	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program.
2 3 4 5 6	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that	2 3 4 5 6	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental
2 3 4 5 6	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that phase in the fall of developing the Governor's	2 3 4 5 6 7	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental expenditure that is impacting the (inaudible)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that phase in the fall of developing the Governor's budget that will be shared by January 10th. So this is a very important time to be having this kind of conversation. As you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental expenditure that is impacting the (inaudible) fund, so we just wanted to talk a little about that. And then, also, as we briefly hit on earlier, the loan program, what is the potential there to realize
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that phase in the fall of developing the Governor's budget that will be shared by January 10th. So this is a very important time to be having this kind of conversation. As you know, whatever comes out of the January budget throughout	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental expenditure that is impacting the (inaudible) fund, so we just wanted to talk a little about that. And then, also, as we briefly hit on earlier, the loan program, what is the potential there to realize more revenue with that program, and what is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that phase in the fall of developing the Governor's budget that will be shared by January 10th. So this is a very important time to be having this kind of conversation. As you know, whatever comes out of the January budget throughout the spring will be those public discussions that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental expenditure that is impacting the (inaudible) fund, so we just wanted to talk a little about that. And then, also, as we briefly hit on earlier, the loan program, what is the potential there to realize more revenue with that program, and what is the demand out there currently for both the public and
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that phase in the fall of developing the Governor's budget that will be shared by January 10th. So this is a very important time to be having this kind of conversation. As you know, whatever comes out of the January budget throughout the spring will be those public discussions that will be happening both in the Commission meetings	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental expenditure that is impacting the (inaudible) fund, so we just wanted to talk a little about that. And then, also, as we briefly hit on earlier, the loan program, what is the potential there to realize more revenue with that program, and what is the demand out there currently for both the public and private loans, so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that phase in the fall of developing the Governor's budget that will be shared by January 10th. So this is a very important time to be having this kind of conversation. As you know, whatever comes out of the January budget throughout the spring will be those public discussions that will be happening both in the Commission meetings but also in the floor of the Senate and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental expenditure that is impacting the (inaudible) fund, so we just wanted to talk a little about that. And then, also, as we briefly hit on earlier, the loan program, what is the potential there to realize more revenue with that program, and what is the demand out there currently for both the public and private loans, so. If there are any questions, I'll take
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that phase in the fall of developing the Governor's budget that will be shared by January 10th. So this is a very important time to be having this kind of conversation. As you know, whatever comes out of the January budget throughout the spring will be those public discussions that will be happening both in the Commission meetings but also in the floor of the Senate and the Assembly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental expenditure that is impacting the (inaudible) fund, so we just wanted to talk a little about that. And then, also, as we briefly hit on earlier, the loan program, what is the potential there to realize more revenue with that program, and what is the demand out there currently for both the public and private loans, so. If there are any questions, I'll take them, or if not, we can get to Ed's presentation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that phase in the fall of developing the Governor's budget that will be shared by January 10th. So this is a very important time to be having this kind of conversation. As you know, whatever comes out of the January budget throughout the spring will be those public discussions that will be happening both in the Commission meetings but also in the floor of the Senate and the Assembly. So I can't impress upon you the importance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental expenditure that is impacting the (inaudible) fund, so we just wanted to talk a little about that. And then, also, as we briefly hit on earlier, the loan program, what is the potential there to realize more revenue with that program, and what is the demand out there currently for both the public and private loans, so. If there are any questions, I'll take them, or if not, we can get to Ed's presentation here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that phase in the fall of developing the Governor's budget that will be shared by January 10th. So this is a very important time to be having this kind of conversation. As you know, whatever comes out of the January budget throughout the spring will be those public discussions that will be happening both in the Commission meetings but also in the floor of the Senate and the Assembly. So I can't impress upon you the importance of this, kind of telling this story about what's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental expenditure that is impacting the (inaudible) fund, so we just wanted to talk a little about that. And then, also, as we briefly hit on earlier, the loan program, what is the potential there to realize more revenue with that program, and what is the demand out there currently for both the public and private loans, so. If there are any questions, I'll take them, or if not, we can get to Ed's presentation here. MR. HARD: All right. While this begins,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that phase in the fall of developing the Governor's budget that will be shared by January 10th. So this is a very important time to be having this kind of conversation. As you know, whatever comes out of the January budget throughout the spring will be those public discussions that will be happening both in the Commission meetings but also in the floor of the Senate and the Assembly. So I can't impress upon you the importance of this, kind of telling this story about what's happened with the fund.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental expenditure that is impacting the (inaudible) fund, so we just wanted to talk a little about that. And then, also, as we briefly hit on earlier, the loan program, what is the potential there to realize more revenue with that program, and what is the demand out there currently for both the public and private loans, so. If there are any questions, I'll take them, or if not, we can get to Ed's presentation here. MR. HARD: All right. While this begins, good morning, Vice Chair Metz, members of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that phase in the fall of developing the Governor's budget that will be shared by January 10th. So this is a very important time to be having this kind of conversation. As you know, whatever comes out of the January budget throughout the spring will be those public discussions that will be happening both in the Commission meetings but also in the floor of the Senate and the Assembly. So I can't impress upon you the importance of this, kind of telling this story about what's happened with the fund. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Thanks, Lisa.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental expenditure that is impacting the (inaudible) fund, so we just wanted to talk a little about that. And then, also, as we briefly hit on earlier, the loan program, what is the potential there to realize more revenue with that program, and what is the demand out there currently for both the public and private loans, so. If there are any questions, I'll take them, or if not, we can get to Ed's presentation here. MR. HARD: All right. While this begins, good morning, Vice Chair Metz, members of the Commission, Director Mangat, who has left the room
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that phase in the fall of developing the Governor's budget that will be shared by January 10th. So this is a very important time to be having this kind of conversation. As you know, whatever comes out of the January budget throughout the spring will be those public discussions that will be happening both in the Commission meetings but also in the floor of the Senate and the Assembly. So I can't impress upon you the importance of this, kind of telling this story about what's happened with the fund.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental expenditure that is impacting the (inaudible) fund, so we just wanted to talk a little about that. And then, also, as we briefly hit on earlier, the loan program, what is the potential there to realize more revenue with that program, and what is the demand out there currently for both the public and private loans, so. If there are any questions, I'll take them, or if not, we can get to Ed's presentation here. MR. HARD: All right. While this begins, good morning, Vice Chair Metz, members of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that phase in the fall of developing the Governor's budget that will be shared by January 10th. So this is a very important time to be having this kind of conversation. As you know, whatever comes out of the January budget throughout the spring will be those public discussions that will be happening both in the Commission meetings but also in the floor of the Senate and the Assembly. So I can't impress upon you the importance of this, kind of telling this story about what's happened with the fund. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Thanks, Lisa. So I think this gives you a good snapshot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental expenditure that is impacting the (inaudible) fund, so we just wanted to talk a little about that. And then, also, as we briefly hit on earlier, the loan program, what is the potential there to realize more revenue with that program, and what is the demand out there currently for both the public and private loans, so. If there are any questions, I'll take them, or if not, we can get to Ed's presentation here. MR. HARD: All right. While this begins, good morning, Vice Chair Metz, members of the Commission, Director Mangat, who has left the room temporarily, Deputy Director Marivel Barajas, Deputy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that phase in the fall of developing the Governor's budget that will be shared by January 10th. So this is a very important time to be having this kind of conversation. As you know, whatever comes out of the January budget throughout the spring will be those public discussions that will be happening both in the Commission meetings but also in the floor of the Senate and the Assembly. So I can't impress upon you the importance of this, kind of telling this story about what's happened with the fund. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Thanks, Lisa. So I think this gives you a good snapshot of the problems we're facing today with the fund.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental expenditure that is impacting the (inaudible) fund, so we just wanted to talk a little about that. And then, also, as we briefly hit on earlier, the loan program, what is the potential there to realize more revenue with that program, and what is the demand out there currently for both the public and private loans, so. If there are any questions, I'll take them, or if not, we can get to Ed's presentation here. MR. HARD: All right. While this begins, good morning, Vice Chair Metz, members of the Commission, Director Mangat, who has left the room temporarily, Deputy Director Marivel Barajas, Deputy Director Will Schaafsma, Deputy Director Ramona
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that phase in the fall of developing the Governor's budget that will be shared by January 10th. So this is a very important time to be having this kind of conversation. As you know, whatever comes out of the January budget throughout the spring will be those public discussions that will be happening both in the Commission meetings but also in the floor of the Senate and the Assembly. So I can't impress upon you the importance of this, kind of telling this story about what's happened with the fund. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Thanks, Lisa. So I think this gives you a good snapshot of the problems we're facing today with the fund. And I'll just kind of reiterate from previous	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental expenditure that is impacting the (inaudible) fund, so we just wanted to talk a little about that. And then, also, as we briefly hit on earlier, the loan program, what is the potential there to realize more revenue with that program, and what is the demand out there currently for both the public and private loans, so. If there are any questions, I'll take them, or if not, we can get to Ed's presentation here. MR. HARD: All right. While this begins, good morning, Vice Chair Metz, members of the Commission, Director Mangat, who has left the room temporarily, Deputy Director Marivel Barajas, Deputy Director Will Schaafsma, Deputy Director Ramona Fernandez, and not last but the best legal counsel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that phase in the fall of developing the Governor's budget that will be shared by January 10th. So this is a very important time to be having this kind of conversation. As you know, whatever comes out of the January budget throughout the spring will be those public discussions that will be happening both in the Commission meetings but also in the floor of the Senate and the Assembly. So I can't impress upon you the importance of this, kind of telling this story about what's happened with the fund. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Thanks, Lisa. So I think this gives you a good snapshot of the problems we're facing today with the fund. And I'll just kind of reiterate from previous presentations that your green line is your expenditures and your blue line is the revenue, so those top two lines. What we've seen, those two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental expenditure that is impacting the (inaudible) fund, so we just wanted to talk a little about that. And then, also, as we briefly hit on earlier, the loan program, what is the potential there to realize more revenue with that program, and what is the demand out there currently for both the public and private loans, so. If there are any questions, I'll take them, or if not, we can get to Ed's presentation here. MR. HARD: All right. While this begins, good morning, Vice Chair Metz, members of the Commission, Director Mangat, who has left the room temporarily, Deputy Director Marivel Barajas, Deputy Director Will Schaafsma, Deputy Director Ramona Fernandez, and not last but the best legal counsel we've got here is Kathryn Tobias. Good morning. I'm going to speak to you today about one aspect of the division of Boating and Waterways,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	closely as we have to, we have an important seat at the table, but we also have to work with the Governor's fiscal and policy advisors, and that's the Department of Finance. Right now we are in that phase in the fall of developing the Governor's budget that will be shared by January 10th. So this is a very important time to be having this kind of conversation. As you know, whatever comes out of the January budget throughout the spring will be those public discussions that will be happening both in the Commission meetings but also in the floor of the Senate and the Assembly. So I can't impress upon you the importance of this, kind of telling this story about what's happened with the fund. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Thanks, Lisa. So I think this gives you a good snapshot of the problems we're facing today with the fund. And I'll just kind of reiterate from previous presentations that your green line is your expenditures and your blue line is the revenue, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	folks can understand why it is a viable program for DBW and what some of the drivers are that have caused the expenditures to tick up in AIS program. We see that as kind of a fundamental expenditure that is impacting the (inaudible) fund, so we just wanted to talk a little about that. And then, also, as we briefly hit on earlier, the loan program, what is the potential there to realize more revenue with that program, and what is the demand out there currently for both the public and private loans, so. If there are any questions, I'll take them, or if not, we can get to Ed's presentation here. MR. HARD: All right. While this begins, good morning, Vice Chair Metz, members of the Commission, Director Mangat, who has left the room temporarily, Deputy Director Marivel Barajas, Deputy Director Will Schaafsma, Deputy Director Ramona Fernandez, and not last but the best legal counsel we've got here is Kathryn Tobias. Good morning. I'm going to speak to you today about one

	Page 30	Page 32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	invasive plant control program. Will just mentioned the Quagga. We're not talking about that today. The Division's scope for this program is not statewide. It is primarily in the Delta, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. It's essentially authorized nearly 38 years ago. Next year will be the 38th year of this program. It was authorized by a series of bills, most recently in 2018 but began in 1986, for one specific aspect of the program, which was water hyacinth. It has evolved to a variety of different plant species. And I think the legislature and the community that is interested in this particular program realized around 2013 when AB 763 came in when they said the cost to the Division was pretty high by going plant by plant by plant. And AB 763 came in and said, okay, enough of the individual plant submissions. Just give us a risk assessment to the boaters on the impact to navigation in three categories, and those categories I'll get to in a minute. But what's evolved here is that very smart thinking about this, but there's a resource need that goes along with that compliment. The commitment to this program, as you see, is about \$13 million currently. This is the	 economy, and public health, all of which the boating community enjoys when they go out on the Delta. They go to marinas. They enjoy fishing. They contribute to the local economy. They enjoy the public health aspect of boating, and they also enjoy, obviously, the environmental conditions that this great resource, the only place in the world offers. Who benefits? Who are the beneficiaries? Somebody mentioned earlier: Who are the beneficiaries? For this program it is a lot of folks. From the federal government, you can see here Agriculture, to the Department of the Army and NASA; from the state, the Department of Water Resources, the Delta, the big Delta three entities; local, the most important part here I think in the context of politics being local is marina operators, local businesses, reclamation districts, vector control, and agricultural purveyors and producers. This program began in '86. Around the turn of the century, 2001, the program was hit with a large environmental oversight from the state and federal government. One, the State Water Board required that this program obtain a national pollutant discharge permit, which is basically
	Page 31	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	scope of the program. Currently, if we walk outside the door, we can go to the I Street Bridge just north of here. It starts there and goes as far west as the Suisun Marsh, and it goes as far south as Friant Dam on the San Joaquin River. It's 101,000 acres, huge area for the Division. What is the mission? The legislature has directed that we're the lead agency to cooperate and collaborate with local, state and federal as well as private entities. The purpose is to identify, detect, control, and administer, and, to the extent we can, manage for the purposes of aquatic invasive plants. There are certain spots in the Delta where we might be able to eradicate, which is a good thing, so we won't have to continually use expenditures. But the last point I want to bring to your attention, the permissive program. What does that mean? We've been advised by legal office that that means that its only ability to operate is if we have the resources, meaning the human capital and the financial capital. It is not a mandatory program. The three pieces I mentioned earlier pursuant to the legislation in 2018 asks the Division to focus on three things: Environment,	 discharging waste to the waterways, which is alluded to by the fact that we are using herbicides that are approved by the state and federal government. You still must have a permit to discharge those. So one was a permit from the Water Board, but two were these biological opinions from the United States of America: One, the National Marine Fishery Service and, two, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife. Those are the crux of this program since 2001 until today. They're evolving, but what is around this Trivial Pursuit pinwheel is a myriad of other requirements pursuant to this democracy. You can see I'm not going to call them all out, but it ranges from the California Environmental Quality Act, and the federal equivalent, as well as the state equivalent to the Endangered Species Act, but also the Rivers and Harbors Act if we're going to be utilizing different mechanisms to control weeds such as put booms in the waterways. A little bit of technical background on this slide, and it's correlative to what's coming next on the slides about the financials. Oftentimes when you want to control a particular problem, such

			1	November 25, 2019
		Page 34		Page 36
	1	interesting slide to show, the green line shows that	1	very advantageous, because the costs go down because
		if you start early in the season, say January, your		we're not having to go out so frequently and treat
		ability to control the problem given the lifecycle		when we've been in there late. And part of this
		of the plant is extremely good. Your acreage		slide, there's a lot of information packed behind
		control is much lower because you started earlier,		it. And I tried to articulate to you that a lot of
		and things are pretty helpful to the users of the		the drivers are regulatory in terms of timing, and
		waterways.		that timing is directly correlated to us being able
	, 8	However, if there's a later start for		to provide resources to attack the problem. Yes,
		reasons that I aforementioned, such as regulatory		there was a plus up in funds, about \$4 million in
1		permits and constraints that are really driven by		2014/'15, and you can see a notable difference in
		the Endangered Species Act in the Delta keep in		our ability to reduce the cost per acre, which is
		mind the Delta is the only place on this planet that		advantageous for a program like this, but also
		has 38 million people needing water to be shipped		maintain a semblance of control, which is the
		south. And in order to pump water, they can't have		overall objective of the program.
		weeds blocking those pumps. And a lot of that is	15	
		tied to fish that's protected under the Endangered		time we did a dry run, I was 17 minutes, so I'm more
		Species Act because in order to pump water, fish may	17	
		be entrained or killed. And part of the mitigation	18	VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have questions,
		is anyone who's doing that type of work has to start		answers?
		at a certain time, and this start time here is	20	LENORA CLARK: Lenora Clark, from
		March.		Recreational Boaters of California.
	22	So the connection is these plants I'm	22	
		not going to get into all of them, but for floating,		apply for the permits to treat the different species
		these plants produce twice their size in seven to		in the Delta, that we have some wiggle room in how
		ten days in temperatures over 90 degrees. So the		early we can begin application versus those permits
		Page 35		Page 37
		Page 35		Page 37
		size of this room is some X number of plants, but	1	that we're getting.
	2	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or	2	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle
	2 3	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming	2 3	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from
	2 3 4	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the	2 3 4	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA
	2 3 4 5	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because	2 3 4 5	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop
	2 3 4 5 6	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very	2 3 4 5 6	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th.
	2 3 4 5 6 7	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and	2 3 4 5 6 7	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge
	2 4 5 7 8 9	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay, and these plants move all over causing huge	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge coming from the dams, like we've had in the last two
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay, and these plants move all over causing huge navigational impact.	2 3 5 6 7 8 9	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge coming from the dams, like we've had in the last two years, our start date doesn't just happen on
1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay, and these plants move all over causing huge navigational impact. So the summary here is that where we are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge coming from the dams, like we've had in the last two years, our start date doesn't just happen on March 1st, because we have to read the water. If
1 1	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay, and these plants move all over causing huge navigational impact. So the summary here is that where we are with funding versus acres treated. This yellow line	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge coming from the dams, like we've had in the last two years, our start date doesn't just happen on March 1st, because we have to read the water. If we're not going to be able to provide impact to the
1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay, and these plants move all over causing huge navigational impact. So the summary here is that where we are with funding versus acres treated. This yellow line shows total acres treated since 2008 until current	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge coming from the dams, like we've had in the last two years, our start date doesn't just happen on March 1st, because we have to read the water. If we're not going to be able to provide impact to the target, we're wasting taxpayer resources by applying
1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay, and these plants move all over causing huge navigational impact. So the summary here is that where we are with funding versus acres treated. This yellow line shows total acres treated since 2008 until current year. Now, there's a correlation here, obviously,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge coming from the dams, like we've had in the last two years, our start date doesn't just happen on March 1st, because we have to read the water. If we're not going to be able to provide impact to the target, we're wasting taxpayer resources by applying the material out there and having it get flushed in
1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay, and these plants move all over causing huge navigational impact. So the summary here is that where we are with funding versus acres treated. This yellow line shows total acres treated since 2008 until current year. Now, there's a correlation here, obviously, you can see in this chart with the blue funding in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge coming from the dams, like we've had in the last two years, our start date doesn't just happen on March 1st, because we have to read the water. If we're not going to be able to provide impact to the target, we're wasting taxpayer resources by applying the material out there and having it get flushed in the case of treating underwater vegetation. And the
1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay, and these plants move all over causing huge navigational impact. So the summary here is that where we are with funding versus acres treated. This yellow line shows total acres treated since 2008 until current year. Now, there's a correlation here, obviously, you can see in this chart with the blue funding in Boating and Waterways, Harbors and Watercraft	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge coming from the dams, like we've had in the last two years, our start date doesn't just happen on March 1st, because we have to read the water. If we're not going to be able to provide impact to the target, we're wasting taxpayer resources by applying the material out there and having it get flushed in the case of treating underwater vegetation. And the same would go for floating. If it's a windy day, we
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay, and these plants move all over causing huge navigational impact. So the summary here is that where we are with funding versus acres treated. This yellow line shows total acres treated since 2008 until current year. Now, there's a correlation here, obviously, you can see in this chart with the blue funding in Boating and Waterways, Harbors and Watercraft Funding. And you're noticing around '14/'15 an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge coming from the dams, like we've had in the last two years, our start date doesn't just happen on March 1st, because we have to read the water. If we're not going to be able to provide impact to the target, we're wasting taxpayer resources by applying the material out there and having it get flushed in the case of treating underwater vegetation. And the same would go for floating. If it's a windy day, we can't spray.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay, and these plants move all over causing huge navigational impact. So the summary here is that where we are with funding versus acres treated. This yellow line shows total acres treated since 2008 until current year. Now, there's a correlation here, obviously, you can see in this chart with the blue funding in Boating and Waterways, Harbors and Watercraft Funding. And you're noticing around '14/'15 an incremental progress to receive funding from other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge coming from the dams, like we've had in the last two years, our start date doesn't just happen on March 1st, because we have to read the water. If we're not going to be able to provide impact to the target, we're wasting taxpayer resources by applying the material out there and having it get flushed in the case of treating underwater vegetation. And the same would go for floating. If it's a windy day, we can't spray. So there's some aspects relative to that
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay, and these plants move all over causing huge navigational impact. So the summary here is that where we are with funding versus acres treated. This yellow line shows total acres treated since 2008 until current year. Now, there's a correlation here, obviously, you can see in this chart with the blue funding in Boating and Waterways, Harbors and Watercraft Funding. And you're noticing around '14/'15 an incremental progress to receive funding from other entities in cooperative fashions pursuant to our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge coming from the dams, like we've had in the last two years, our start date doesn't just happen on March 1st, because we have to read the water. If we're not going to be able to provide impact to the target, we're wasting taxpayer resources by applying the material out there and having it get flushed in the case of treating underwater vegetation. And the same would go for floating. If it's a windy day, we can't spray. So there's some aspects relative to that word "wiggle" after the program had started, but
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay, and these plants move all over causing huge navigational impact. So the summary here is that where we are with funding versus acres treated. This yellow line shows total acres treated since 2008 until current year. Now, there's a correlation here, obviously, you can see in this chart with the blue funding in Boating and Waterways, Harbors and Watercraft Funding. And you're noticing around '14/'15 an incremental progress to receive funding from other entities in cooperative fashions pursuant to our charge in the legislature, and that's beneficial.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge coming from the dams, like we've had in the last two years, our start date doesn't just happen on March 1st, because we have to read the water. If we're not going to be able to provide impact to the target, we're wasting taxpayer resources by applying the material out there and having it get flushed in the case of treating underwater vegetation. And the same would go for floating. If it's a windy day, we can't spray. So there's some aspects relative to that word "wiggle" after the program had started, but there is no wiggle before that program has started;
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 5 16 17 18 9 20 2	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay, and these plants move all over causing huge navigational impact. So the summary here is that where we are with funding versus acres treated. This yellow line shows total acres treated since 2008 until current year. Now, there's a correlation here, obviously, you can see in this chart with the blue funding in Boating and Waterways, Harbors and Watercraft Funding. And you're noticing around '14/'15 an incremental progress to receive funding from other entities in cooperative fashions pursuant to our charge in the legislature, and that's beneficial. It's slow to come, but it's working.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge coming from the dams, like we've had in the last two years, our start date doesn't just happen on March 1st, because we have to read the water. If we're not going to be able to provide impact to the target, we're wasting taxpayer resources by applying the material out there and having it get flushed in the case of treating underwater vegetation. And the same would go for floating. If it's a windy day, we can't spray. So there's some aspects relative to that word "wiggle" after the program had started, but there is no wiggle before that program has started; otherwise, we'd be in violation of our biological
)))))))))))))))))))	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 11 2 11 2 11 2 11 2 11 2 11 2	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay, and these plants move all over causing huge navigational impact. So the summary here is that where we are with funding versus acres treated. This yellow line shows total acres treated since 2008 until current year. Now, there's a correlation here, obviously, you can see in this chart with the blue funding in Boating and Waterways, Harbors and Watercraft Funding. And you're noticing around '14/'15 an incremental progress to receive funding from other entities in cooperative fashions pursuant to our charge in the legislature, and that's beneficial. It's slow to come, but it's working. The next slide shows the cost per acre	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge coming from the dams, like we've had in the last two years, our start date doesn't just happen on March 1st, because we have to read the water. If we're not going to be able to provide impact to the target, we're wasting taxpayer resources by applying the material out there and having it get flushed in the case of treating underwater vegetation. And the same would go for floating. If it's a windy day, we can't spray. So there's some aspects relative to that word "wiggle" after the program had started, but there is no wiggle before that program has started; otherwise, we'd be in violation of our biological opinion. Did that help?
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 20 21 22 22 22	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay, and these plants move all over causing huge navigational impact. So the summary here is that where we are with funding versus acres treated. This yellow line shows total acres treated since 2008 until current year. Now, there's a correlation here, obviously, you can see in this chart with the blue funding in Boating and Waterways, Harbors and Watercraft Funding. And you're noticing around '14/'15 an incremental progress to receive funding from other entities in cooperative fashions pursuant to our charge in the legislature, and that's beneficial. It's slow to come, but it's working. The next slide shows the cost per acre correlating to the little chart I showed you about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge coming from the dams, like we've had in the last two years, our start date doesn't just happen on March 1st, because we have to read the water. If we're not going to be able to provide impact to the target, we're wasting taxpayer resources by applying the material out there and having it get flushed in the case of treating underwater vegetation. And the same would go for floating. If it's a windy day, we can't spray. So there's some aspects relative to that word "wiggle" after the program had started, but there is no wiggle before that program has started; otherwise, we'd be in violation of our biological opinion. Did that help? LENORA CLARK: Yes.
1]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 101 112 145 167 189 201 222 223 223 223	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay, and these plants move all over causing huge navigational impact. So the summary here is that where we are with funding versus acres treated. This yellow line shows total acres treated since 2008 until current year. Now, there's a correlation here, obviously, you can see in this chart with the blue funding in Boating and Waterways, Harbors and Watercraft Funding. And you're noticing around '14/'15 an incremental progress to receive funding from other entities in cooperative fashions pursuant to our charge in the legislature, and that's beneficial. It's slow to come, but it's working. The next slide shows the cost per acre correlating to the little chart I showed you about treating early versus treating late. If we are able	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge coming from the dams, like we've had in the last two years, our start date doesn't just happen on March 1st, because we have to read the water. If we're not going to be able to provide impact to the target, we're wasting taxpayer resources by applying the material out there and having it get flushed in the case of treating underwater vegetation. And the same would go for floating. If it's a windy day, we can't spray. So there's some aspects relative to that word "wiggle" after the program had started, but there is no wiggle before that program has started; otherwise, we'd be in violation of our biological opinion. Did that help? LENORA CLARK: Yes. MR. HARD: Okay, good.
1]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 101 112 145 167 189 201 222 223 223 223	size of this room is some X number of plants, but within seven to ten days, it could be tripled or quadrupled in size. And especially with warming temperatures in March, that really constrains the Division's ability to control these plants because they're out of control. And the Delta is very unique. There are tides twice a day, ebbing and flooding about 140 CSF between I Bridge and the bay, and these plants move all over causing huge navigational impact. So the summary here is that where we are with funding versus acres treated. This yellow line shows total acres treated since 2008 until current year. Now, there's a correlation here, obviously, you can see in this chart with the blue funding in Boating and Waterways, Harbors and Watercraft Funding. And you're noticing around '14/'15 an incremental progress to receive funding from other entities in cooperative fashions pursuant to our charge in the legislature, and that's beneficial. It's slow to come, but it's working. The next slide shows the cost per acre correlating to the little chart I showed you about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that we're getting. MR. HARD: There's not a lot of wiggle room. Our time frame that we've been given from 650 Capitol Mall, which is where U.S. Fish and NOAA Fisheries are, starts March 1, and we must stop November 30th. And now Mother Nature is in control of this entire program. If we have high discharge coming from the dams, like we've had in the last two years, our start date doesn't just happen on March 1st, because we have to read the water. If we're not going to be able to provide impact to the target, we're wasting taxpayer resources by applying the material out there and having it get flushed in the case of treating underwater vegetation. And the same would go for floating. If it's a windy day, we can't spray. So there's some aspects relative to that word "wiggle" after the program had started, but there is no wiggle before that program has started; otherwise, we'd be in violation of our biological opinion. Did that help? LENORA CLARK: Yes. MR. HARD: Okay, good.

	Page 38		Page 40
3 4 5 6 7	Well, I have one. Whose responsibility is it to say, notwithstanding the permit problem, the ability to perhaps increase the cross benefit of public expenditures and the state of initiation and remedial, preventative in fact, whose responsibility is it to say, look, \$12 million, which it is today, that's it. You do all of the other claims on the	2 3 4 5 6 7	Department feels there is a need to expand the program or put more resources through the program, that's presented to Finance, and then Finance weighs in on it. So I don't know if that gets to that kind of question you have there, or are you more asking
9	fund, that's basically all you're going to get now. And those responsible for the program can scramble and find other sources, federal and otherwise,		VICE CHAIR METZ: Well, again, is there anyone who feels that they have a special
	private, partnerships, et cetera.		responsibility to look at the totality of the fund, the various claimants, all-important claimants, in
12	Who makes that decision or raise that	12	the fund, and to say that if we allow this claimant
13	decision, or is it just say, gee, if we need		to get 10 percent or 20 percent more for a
	13 million next year, someone goes to legislature, says we're going to need 13 million or 14, depending		particular program, it's going to mean that limits the other recipients and beneficiaries? Who makes
16	on that? Please inform me of how those decisions	16	that decision (inaudible) why?
	are made, particularly when there are other claimants, important claimants, to a particular fund	17 18	Because, otherwise, there's virtually no overall control. Because one could argue and I
18 19	like this one.		don't live in the Delta that this is a serious
20	MR. HARD: Do you want to address that,	20	problem. It's much larger than the question of
21			5 5
22 23	DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: I think the last substantial increase we had in this program		that live or come to the Delta for recreation. It involves the entire Water Resource picture in the
24			state of California, 38, soon to be 40 million
25	that coincided with some of our peak drought years,	25	people.
	Dama 20		
	Page 39		Page 41
1		1	-
1 2	right? MR. HARD: Correct.	2	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and
2 3	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a	2 3	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from
2 3 4	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a \$3.9 million (inaudible).	2 3 4	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from now. If the legislature in its wisdom chooses to
2 3	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a	2 3 4 5	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from
2 3 4 5 6 7	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a \$3.9 million (inaudible). MR. HARD: 3.993, yeah. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: to the program. So I think in that year there was a big	2 3 4 5 6 7	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from now. If the legislature in its wisdom chooses to increase and give priority to this, there is nothing left for anyone else. I'm just saying trying to understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a \$3.9 million (inaudible). MR. HARD: 3.993, yeah. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: to the program. So I think in that year there was a big focus with the administration and this was put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from now. If the legislature in its wisdom chooses to increase and give priority to this, there is nothing left for anyone else. I'm just saying trying to understand DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Let me respond
2 3 4 5 6 7	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a \$3.9 million (inaudible). MR. HARD: 3.993, yeah. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: to the program. So I think in that year there was a big	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from now. If the legislature in its wisdom chooses to increase and give priority to this, there is nothing left for anyone else. I'm just saying trying to understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a \$3.9 million (inaudible). MR. HARD: 3.993, yeah. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: to the program. So I think in that year there was a big focus with the administration and this was put forward actually in special legislation, right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: So this was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from now. If the legislature in its wisdom chooses to increase and give priority to this, there is nothing left for anyone else. I'm just saying trying to understand DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Let me respond to that. VICE CHAIR METZ: what the decision-making process is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a \$3.9 million (inaudible). MR. HARD: 3.993, yeah. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: to the program. So I think in that year there was a big focus with the administration and this was put forward actually in special legislation, right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: So this was part of the drought funding package that went	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from now. If the legislature in its wisdom chooses to increase and give priority to this, there is nothing left for anyone else. I'm just saying trying to understand DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Let me respond to that. VICE CHAIR METZ: what the decision-making process is. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: A little bit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a \$3.9 million (inaudible). MR. HARD: 3.993, yeah. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: to the program. So I think in that year there was a big focus with the administration and this was put forward actually in special legislation, right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: So this was part of the drought funding package that went forward as a collaboration with the administration	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from now. If the legislature in its wisdom chooses to increase and give priority to this, there is nothing left for anyone else. I'm just saying trying to understand DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Let me respond to that. VICE CHAIR METZ: what the decision-making process is. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: A little bit broader than that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a \$3.9 million (inaudible). MR. HARD: 3.993, yeah. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: to the program. So I think in that year there was a big focus with the administration and this was put forward actually in special legislation, right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: So this was part of the drought funding package that went	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from now. If the legislature in its wisdom chooses to increase and give priority to this, there is nothing left for anyone else. I'm just saying trying to understand DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Let me respond to that. VICE CHAIR METZ: what the decision-making process is. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: A little bit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a \$3.9 million (inaudible). MR. HARD: 3.993, yeah. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: to the program. So I think in that year there was a big focus with the administration and this was put forward actually in special legislation, right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: So this was part of the drought funding package that went forward as a collaboration with the administration and legislature, of course, to put more funding to this program because I think at the time we were seeing a substantial amount of increase in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from now. If the legislature in its wisdom chooses to increase and give priority to this, there is nothing left for anyone else. I'm just saying trying to understand DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Let me respond to that. VICE CHAIR METZ: what the decision-making process is. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: A little bit broader than that. So going back more than ten years, I think through various budget documents and LAO analysis, there's been signals of what we call an operational
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a \$3.9 million (inaudible). MR. HARD: 3.993, yeah. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: to the program. So I think in that year there was a big focus with the administration and this was put forward actually in special legislation, right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: So this was part of the drought funding package that went forward as a collaboration with the administration and legislature, of course, to put more funding to this program because I think at the time we were seeing a substantial amount of increase in What was the primary?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from now. If the legislature in its wisdom chooses to increase and give priority to this, there is nothing left for anyone else. I'm just saying trying to understand DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Let me respond to that. VICE CHAIR METZ: what the decision-making process is. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: A little bit broader than that. So going back more than ten years, I think through various budget documents and LAO analysis, there's been signals of what we call an operational deficit, which means revenues and expenditures are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a \$3.9 million (inaudible). MR. HARD: 3.993, yeah. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: to the program. So I think in that year there was a big focus with the administration and this was put forward actually in special legislation, right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: So this was part of the drought funding package that went forward as a collaboration with the administration and legislature, of course, to put more funding to this program because I think at the time we were seeing a substantial amount of increase in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from now. If the legislature in its wisdom chooses to increase and give priority to this, there is nothing left for anyone else. I'm just saying trying to understand DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Let me respond to that. VICE CHAIR METZ: what the decision-making process is. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: A little bit broader than that. So going back more than ten years, I think through various budget documents and LAO analysis, there's been signals of what we call an operational
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a \$3.9 million (inaudible). MR. HARD: 3.993, yeah. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: to the program. So I think in that year there was a big focus with the administration and this was put forward actually in special legislation, right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: So this was part of the drought funding package that went forward as a collaboration with the administration and legislature, of course, to put more funding to this program because I think at the time we were seeing a substantial amount of increase in What was the primary? MR. HARD: The primary driver there was the floating aquatic vegetation, water hyacinths. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Floating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from now. If the legislature in its wisdom chooses to increase and give priority to this, there is nothing left for anyone else. I'm just saying trying to understand DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Let me respond to that. VICE CHAIR METZ: what the decision-making process is. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: A little bit broader than that. So going back more than ten years, I think through various budget documents and LAO analysis, there's been signals of what we call an operational deficit, which means revenues and expenditures are not sustainable in the current path. I think the question you're asking is whose responsibility is we're doing this now, right? So we're engaging
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a \$3.9 million (inaudible). MR. HARD: 3.993, yeah. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: to the program. So I think in that year there was a big focus with the administration and this was put forward actually in special legislation, right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: So this was part of the drought funding package that went forward as a collaboration with the administration and legislature, of course, to put more funding to this program because I think at the time we were seeing a substantial amount of increase in What was the primary? MR. HARD: The primary driver there was the floating aquatic vegetation, water hyacinths. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Floating aquatics.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from now. If the legislature in its wisdom chooses to increase and give priority to this, there is nothing left for anyone else. I'm just saying trying to understand DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Let me respond to that. VICE CHAIR METZ: what the decision-making process is. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: A little bit broader than that. So going back more than ten years, I think through various budget documents and LAO analysis, there's been signals of what we call an operational deficit, which means revenues and expenditures are not sustainable in the current path. I think the question you're asking is whose responsibility is we're doing this now, right? So we're engaging with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a \$3.9 million (inaudible). MR. HARD: 3.993, yeah. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: to the program. So I think in that year there was a big focus with the administration and this was put forward actually in special legislation, right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: So this was part of the drought funding package that went forward as a collaboration with the administration and legislature, of course, to put more funding to this program because I think at the time we were seeing a substantial amount of increase in What was the primary? MR. HARD: The primary driver there was the floating aquatic vegetation, water hyacinths. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Floating aquatics. So that was the last one we had, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from now. If the legislature in its wisdom chooses to increase and give priority to this, there is nothing left for anyone else. I'm just saying trying to understand DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Let me respond to that. VICE CHAIR METZ: what the decision-making process is. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: A little bit broader than that. So going back more than ten years, I think through various budget documents and LAO analysis, there's been signals of what we call an operational deficit, which means revenues and expenditures are not sustainable in the current path. I think the question you're asking is whose responsibility is we're doing this now, right? So we're engaging with VICE CHAIR METZ: That's good.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a \$3.9 million (inaudible). MR. HARD: 3.993, yeah. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: to the program. So I think in that year there was a big focus with the administration and this was put forward actually in special legislation, right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: So this was part of the drought funding package that went forward as a collaboration with the administration and legislature, of course, to put more funding to this program because I think at the time we were seeing a substantial amount of increase in What was the primary? MR. HARD: The primary driver there was the floating aquatic vegetation, water hyacinths. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Floating aquatics.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from now. If the legislature in its wisdom chooses to increase and give priority to this, there is nothing left for anyone else. I'm just saying trying to understand DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Let me respond to that. VICE CHAIR METZ: what the decision-making process is. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: A little bit broader than that. So going back more than ten years, I think through various budget documents and LAO analysis, there's been signals of what we call an operational deficit, which means revenues and expenditures are not sustainable in the current path. I think the question you're asking is whose responsibility is we're doing this now, right? So we're engaging with
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: And that was a \$3.9 million (inaudible). MR. HARD: 3.993, yeah. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: to the program. So I think in that year there was a big focus with the administration and this was put forward actually in special legislation, right? MR. HARD: Correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: So this was part of the drought funding package that went forward as a collaboration with the administration and legislature, of course, to put more funding to this program because I think at the time we were seeing a substantial amount of increase in What was the primary? MR. HARD: The primary driver there was the floating aquatic vegetation, water hyacinths. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Floating aquatics. So that was the last one we had, and I think generally this would be, like any other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	But, you know, otherwise, one could argue that this has priority. This has priority, and therefore there may be nothing left ten years from now. If the legislature in its wisdom chooses to increase and give priority to this, there is nothing left for anyone else. I'm just saying trying to understand DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: Let me respond to that. VICE CHAIR METZ: what the decision-making process is. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: A little bit broader than that. So going back more than ten years, I think through various budget documents and LAO analysis, there's been signals of what we call an operational deficit, which means revenues and expenditures are not sustainable in the current path. I think the question you're asking is whose responsibility is we're doing this now, right? So we're engaging with VICE CHAIR METZ: That's good. DEPUTY DIRECTOR SCHAAFSMA: (inaudible)

	Page 42		
	Page 42		Page 44
1	So I think in respect to who is making	1	something else, but as a state we've chosen, no,
2	sure that the revenues or expenditures are balanced	2	this dollar is going to this program, that that's
3	every year, Finance has a role in that, State Parks	3	kind of where we've ended up.
4	plays a role in that, you play a role in that. So,	4	VICE CHAIR METZ: Very well stated. Thank
5	unfortunately, we're doing this at probably the 25th	5	you very much.
6	hour for this fund. But going forward we're trying	6	DIRECTOR MANGAT: Yes.
7	to get us back on the path of sustainability, and	7	So when we're looking at the fund, we're
8	we're going to make those policy decisions now	8	looking at the program overall. I mean part of the
9	through this engagement and then be recommending the	9	inherent question here for us and also what will be
10	policy ideas.	10	before the legislature because they may not like
11	DIRECTOR MANGAT: One other thing I would	11	whatever is proposed, right? So we expect that
12	probably share that's the executive branch lens,	12	they're smart staffers and the members themselves
	right? But the legislature ultimately has		and folks that care about boating are going to visit
	responsibility for appropriations. So that's why		and share their own views on things, that's when the
	there's this multi-month budget discussion where		system works, right? But everybody has a voice in
	there's a vetting of all of these things and also		this process is going to have an opinion on this,
	stakeholders and all of the various folks who pay		right?
	attention to these things, but first it needs to be	18	But make no mistake that the question
19	brought to everyone's attention, right?		before all of us is: What should the Boating and
20	So while I think you may feel like it's		Waterways division and program be focusing on in a
	the 25th hour, I think in a lot of respects it's		world where there's not unlimited resources, you
	kind of early. The fact that we're having this		know, instead of just backing into it incrementally.
	conversation before there are some budget solution		And so clearly this has been a high priority.
	that's processed and then we're reacting.	24	When we start talking about the loans
25	So part of this is just really kind of	25	program, I'll be very candid in this room, a lot of
	Page 13		Page 15
	Page 43		Page 45
1	Page 43 educating everybody about the condition of the fund.	1	Page 45 people are asking should the State be in the
2	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the	2	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't
2 3	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that	2 3	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with
2 3 4	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing	2 3 4	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to
2 3 4 5	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what	2 3 4 5	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there
2 3 4 5 6	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just	2 3 4 5 6	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State
2 3 4 5 6 7	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's	2 3 4 5 6 7	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's these it's an incremental budget, right? We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still hear from folks that remember a time where this was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's these it's an incremental budget, right? We don't zero-base our budget in California every	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still hear from folks that remember a time where this was a big revenue generator. So we need like to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's these it's an incremental budget, right? We don't zero-base our budget in California every single year. There's this understanding that this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still hear from folks that remember a time where this was a big revenue generator. So we need like to we're going to have to land on that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's these it's an incremental budget, right? We don't zero-base our budget in California every single year. There's this understanding that this is the funding level, and you just pick whatever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still hear from folks that remember a time where this was a big revenue generator. So we need like to we're going to have to land on that. So that's kind of more than you asked for,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's these it's an incremental budget, right? We don't zero-base our budget in California every single year. There's this understanding that this is the funding level, and you just pick whatever department it is, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still hear from folks that remember a time where this was a big revenue generator. So we need like to we're going to have to land on that. So that's kind of more than you asked for, but that I think is an important message relative to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's these it's an incremental budget, right? We don't zero-base our budget in California every single year. There's this understanding that this is the funding level, and you just pick whatever department it is, right? And so what happens is that the budget	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still hear from folks that remember a time where this was a big revenue generator. So we need like to we're going to have to land on that. So that's kind of more than you asked for, but that I think is an important message relative to this program, that it's grown. Folks have been
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's these it's an incremental budget, right? We don't zero-base our budget in California every single year. There's this understanding that this is the funding level, and you just pick whatever department it is, right? And so what happens is that the budget proposals that are put forward rarely are there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still hear from folks that remember a time where this was a big revenue generator. So we need like to we're going to have to land on that. So that's kind of more than you asked for, but that I think is an important message relative to this program, that it's grown. Folks have been doing a great job, but it's kind of a reality that a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's these it's an incremental budget, right? We don't zero-base our budget in California every single year. There's this understanding that this is the funding level, and you just pick whatever department it is, right? And so what happens is that the budget proposals that are put forward rarely are there minus budget proposals, right? They're mostly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still hear from folks that remember a time where this was a big revenue generator. So we need like to we're going to have to land on that. So that's kind of more than you asked for, but that I think is an important message relative to this program, that it's grown. Folks have been doing a great job, but it's kind of a reality that a higher percentage of our Division resources have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's these it's an incremental budget, right? We don't zero-base our budget in California every single year. There's this understanding that this is the funding level, and you just pick whatever department it is, right? And so what happens is that the budget proposals that are put forward rarely are there minus budget proposals, right? They're mostly additive, but over time you're just looking at that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still hear from folks that remember a time where this was a big revenue generator. So we need like to we're going to have to land on that. So that's kind of more than you asked for, but that I think is an important message relative to this program, that it's grown. Folks have been doing a great job, but it's kind of a reality that a higher percentage of our Division resources have gone to this program.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's these it's an incremental budget, right? We don't zero-base our budget in California every single year. There's this understanding that this is the funding level, and you just pick whatever department it is, right? And so what happens is that the budget proposals that are put forward rarely are there minus budget proposals, right? They're mostly additive, but over time you're just looking at that incremental increase, and then all of our focus gets	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still hear from folks that remember a time where this was a big revenue generator. So we need like to we're going to have to land on that. So that's kind of more than you asked for, but that I think is an important message relative to this program, that it's grown. Folks have been doing a great job, but it's kind of a reality that a higher percentage of our Division resources have gone to this program.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's these it's an incremental budget, right? We don't zero-base our budget in California every single year. There's this understanding that this is the funding level, and you just pick whatever department it is, right? And so what happens is that the budget proposals that are put forward rarely are there minus budget proposals, right? They're mostly additive, but over time you're just looking at that incremental increase, and then all of our focus gets on that incremental increase.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still hear from folks that remember a time where this was a big revenue generator. So we need like to we're going to have to land on that. So that's kind of more than you asked for, but that I think is an important message relative to this program, that it's grown. Folks have been doing a great job, but it's kind of a reality that a higher percentage of our Division resources have gone to this program. : I would just like to comment on that. You hit it spot on, I guess. You know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's these it's an incremental budget, right? We don't zero-base our budget in California every single year. There's this understanding that this is the funding level, and you just pick whatever department it is, right? And so what happens is that the budget proposals that are put forward rarely are there minus budget proposals, right? They're mostly additive, but over time you're just looking at that incremental increase, and then all of our focus gets on that incremental increase. What we're trying to do now is far more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still hear from folks that remember a time where this was a big revenue generator. So we need like to we're going to have to land on that. So that's kind of more than you asked for, but that I think is an important message relative to this program, that it's grown. Folks have been doing a great job, but it's kind of a reality that a higher percentage of our Division resources have gone to this program. : I would just like to comment on that. You hit it spot on, I guess. You know, the thing is that it's been really effective, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's these it's an incremental budget, right? We don't zero-base our budget in California every single year. There's this understanding that this is the funding level, and you just pick whatever department it is, right? And so what happens is that the budget proposals that are put forward rarely are there minus budget proposals, right? They're mostly additive, but over time you're just looking at that incremental increase, and then all of our focus gets on that incremental increase. What we're trying to do now is far more broader, right, and holistic. And when you look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still hear from folks that remember a time where this was a big revenue generator. So we need like to we're going to have to land on that. So that's kind of more than you asked for, but that I think is an important message relative to this program, that it's grown. Folks have been doing a great job, but it's kind of a reality that a higher percentage of our Division resources have gone to this program. : I would just like to comment on that. You hit it spot on, I guess. You know, the thing is that it's been really effective, right? The cost per acre has gone down, so people are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's these it's an incremental budget, right? We don't zero-base our budget in California every single year. There's this understanding that this is the funding level, and you just pick whatever department it is, right? And so what happens is that the budget proposals that are put forward rarely are there minus budget proposals, right? They're mostly additive, but over time you're just looking at that incremental increase, and then all of our focus gets on that incremental increase. What we're trying to do now is far more broader, right, and holistic. And when you look at the allocation of our resources, essentially if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still hear from folks that remember a time where this was a big revenue generator. So we need like to we're going to have to land on that. So that's kind of more than you asked for, but that I think is an important message relative to this program, that it's grown. Folks have been doing a great job, but it's kind of a reality that a higher percentage of our Division resources have gone to this program. : I would just like to comment on that. You hit it spot on, I guess. You know, the thing is that it's been really effective, right? The cost per acre has gone down, so people are excited about it. I can see how they're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's these it's an incremental budget, right? We don't zero-base our budget in California every single year. There's this understanding that this is the funding level, and you just pick whatever department it is, right? And so what happens is that the budget proposals that are put forward rarely are there minus budget proposals, right? They're mostly additive, but over time you're just looking at that incremental increase, and then all of our focus gets on that incremental increase. What we're trying to do now is far more broader, right, and holistic. And when you look at the allocation of our resources, essentially if you were to take a snapshot of the Boating and Waterway	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still hear from folks that remember a time where this was a big revenue generator. So we need like to we're going to have to land on that. So that's kind of more than you asked for, but that I think is an important message relative to this program, that it's grown. Folks have been doing a great job, but it's kind of a reality that a higher percentage of our Division resources have gone to this program.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's these it's an incremental budget, right? We don't zero-base our budget in California every single year. There's this understanding that this is the funding level, and you just pick whatever department it is, right? And so what happens is that the budget proposals that are put forward rarely are there minus budget proposals, right? They're mostly additive, but over time you're just looking at that incremental increase, and then all of our focus gets on that incremental increase. What we're trying to do now is far more broader, right, and holistic. And when you look at the allocation of our resources, essentially if you were to take a snapshot of the Boating and Waterway program today, one of the highest priorities by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still hear from folks that remember a time where this was a big revenue generator. So we need like to we're going to have to land on that. So that's kind of more than you asked for, but that I think is an important message relative to this program, that it's grown. Folks have been doing a great job, but it's kind of a reality that a higher percentage of our Division resources have gone to this program. : I would just like to comment on that. You hit it spot on, I guess. You know, the thing is that it's been really effective, right? The cost per acre has gone down, so people are excited about it. I can see how they're (inaudible), but Eddie hit it on the nail as well about the different stakeholders in the south
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	educating everybody about the condition of the fund. So hopefully what you saw when you look at the story of what's been happening to the fund, is that incrementally over time this we've been investing more resources into this program. So sometimes what happens not just with this program but just programs overall with the state is that there's these it's an incremental budget, right? We don't zero-base our budget in California every single year. There's this understanding that this is the funding level, and you just pick whatever department it is, right? And so what happens is that the budget proposals that are put forward rarely are there minus budget proposals, right? They're mostly additive, but over time you're just looking at that incremental increase, and then all of our focus gets on that incremental increase. What we're trying to do now is far more broader, right, and holistic. And when you look at the allocation of our resources, essentially if you were to take a snapshot of the Boating and Waterway	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	people are asking should the State be in the business of operating a loan program, and I don't have an answer for that. And when I've met with people, I have said, you know, some people point to the fact that we have very little interest out there and that the landscape has changed so that the State doesn't need to be in this business, but I still hear from folks that remember a time where this was a big revenue generator. So we need like to we're going to have to land on that. So that's kind of more than you asked for, but that I think is an important message relative to this program, that it's grown. Folks have been doing a great job, but it's kind of a reality that a higher percentage of our Division resources have gone to this program.

			November 25, 2019
	Page 46		Page 48
	things than just boating and recreation, but it's	1	and construct.
	2 this fund that is related to boating and recreation	2	Requests and need for funding fluctuate
	3 that's funding most of it.		tremendously based on the economy, the condition of
	So to his point, when you're looking at		the existing marinas, and public entities'
	5 this, it's super important to the State, but then		willingness and ability to either self-fund or issue
	s should the recreational boaters be funding the lion		bonds for larger cities, for instance, or to seek a
	7 share of it? Should we start being like, okay, hang	7	Boating and Waterways loan.
	on a second, ag needs this water, L.A. needs it for	8	Historically, anywhere from zero to ten
	o drinking, like, you know, I see little bits here,	9	, ,
1	like maybe we need to have a broader discussion	10	program. The majority of marinas were constructed
1	about that.	11	with Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund dollars
1	2 But I mean I found it really effective and	12	prior to 1991.
1	I think they're doing a great job. And like most	13	In the last 31 years, as far back as we
	things, when it's in your face and it doesn't take	14	can get the financials, since 1998 this program has
	5 much to see the visuals or if you use the water		issued 119 loans, generated \$159 million in interest
	there, when something is sludge with all these green		for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund,
1			including replacing all of the principal. Of those
	after this, and the results have been really good.		
1			Stockton for \$10.8 million. Over the 67-year life
	p raised a fundamental policy, is that while this is		of the program, three agencies have had delinquent
	an important role state government is playing, but		loans: The cities of Martinez, Petaluma, and
	2 are boaters the sole beneficiaries of this effort.		Stockton. For a combined total programmatic loss,
2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		because of default of \$15.9 million, this equates to
2			a total profit over 31 years, since 1998, of
2	5 VICE CHAIR METZ: So next presentation.	25	\$148 million, again plus full repayment of
	Page 47		Page 49
	MS. DILL: I'm Keren on the loan programs.	1	principal.
		- -	piniopan
	VICE CHAIR METZ Okay Karan has been	2	
	2 VICE CHAIR METZ: Okay. Keren has been	2	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment
	a around a while, so she knows and sees old friends.	3	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over
	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a 	3 4	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft
	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our 	3 4 5	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the
	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much 	3 4 5 6	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these
	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We 	3 4 5 6 7	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating
	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. 	3 4 5 6 7 8	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue-
	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This
1	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of grants and loans for Division of Boating and 	3 4 5 7 8 9 10	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This year we're considering one new loan to the City of
1	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of grants and loans for Division of Boating and Waterways. I'll be providing a brief overview of 	3 4 5 7 8 9 10	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This year we're considering one new loan to the City of Berkeley for the Berkeley Marina.
1111	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of grants and loans for Division of Boating and Waterways. I'll be providing a brief overview of both the public marina loan program and the private 	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This year we're considering one new loan to the City of Berkeley for the Berkeley Marina. Forecasting our future need, historically
1111	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of grants and loans for Division of Boating and Waterways. I'll be providing a brief overview of 	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This year we're considering one new loan to the City of Berkeley for the Berkeley Marina.
1 1 1	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of grants and loans for Division of Boating and Waterways. I'll be providing a brief overview of both the public marina loan program and the private 	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This year we're considering one new loan to the City of Berkeley for the Berkeley Marina. Forecasting our future need, historically
1 1 1	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of grants and loans for Division of Boating and Waterways. I'll be providing a brief overview of both the public marina loan program and the private marina loan program for our discussions this morning. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This year we're considering one new loan to the City of Berkeley for the Berkeley Marina. Forecasting our future need, historically marina construction techniques produce marinas that
1 1 1 1 1	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of grants and loans for Division of Boating and Waterways. I'll be providing a brief overview of both the public marina loan program and the private marina loan program for our discussions this morning. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This year we're considering one new loan to the City of Berkeley for the Berkeley Marina. Forecasting our future need, historically marina construction techniques produce marinas that would typically last between 30 and 40 years. Due
1 1 1 1 1	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of grants and loans for Division of Boating and Waterways. I'll be providing a brief overview of both the public marina loan program and the private marina loan program for our discussions this morning. In the last 67 years, Boating and Waterways has provided approximately 360 loans to 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This year we're considering one new loan to the City of Berkeley for the Berkeley Marina. Forecasting our future need, historically marina construction techniques produce marinas that would typically last between 30 and 40 years. Due to the aging marina infrastructure in California and
1 1 1 1 1 1	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of grants and loans for Division of Boating and Waterways. I'll be providing a brief overview of both the public marina loan program and the private morning. In the last 67 years, Boating and Waterways has provided approximately 360 loans to public agencies under this program. These funds 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This year we're considering one new loan to the City of Berkeley for the Berkeley Marina. Forecasting our future need, historically marina construction techniques produce marinas that would typically last between 30 and 40 years. Due to the aging marina infrastructure in California and based on previous lending, it appears that requests for marina loans in this program may increase
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of grants and loans for Division of Boating and Waterways. I'll be providing a brief overview of both the public marina loan program and the private marina loan program for our discussions this morning. In the last 67 years, Boating and Waterways has provided approximately 360 loans to public agencies under this program. These funds were used to develop or to rehabilitate marinas 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This year we're considering one new loan to the City of Berkeley for the Berkeley Marina. Forecasting our future need, historically marina construction techniques produce marinas that would typically last between 30 and 40 years. Due to the aging marina infrastructure in California and based on previous lending, it appears that requests for marina loans in this program may increase significantly in the next five to ten years with the
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of grants and loans for Division of Boating and Waterways. I'll be providing a brief overview of both the public marina loan program and the private marina loan program for our discussions this morning. In the last 67 years, Boating and Waterways has provided approximately 360 loans to public agencies under this program. These funds were used to develop or to rehabilitate marinas owned or controlled by public agencies. This 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This year we're considering one new loan to the City of Berkeley for the Berkeley Marina. Forecasting our future need, historically marina construction techniques produce marinas that would typically last between 30 and 40 years. Due to the aging marina infrastructure in California and based on previous lending, it appears that requests for marina loans in this program may increase significantly in the next five to ten years with the need perhaps reaching 10 to \$30 million annually.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of grants and loans for Division of Boating and Waterways. I'll be providing a brief overview of both the public marina loan program and the private marina loan program for our discussions this morning. In the last 67 years, Boating and Waterways has provided approximately 360 loans to public agencies under this program. These funds were used to develop or to rehabilitate marinas owned or controlled by public agencies. This 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This year we're considering one new loan to the City of Berkeley for the Berkeley Marina. Forecasting our future need, historically marina construction techniques produce marinas that would typically last between 30 and 40 years. Due to the aging marina infrastructure in California and based on previous lending, it appears that requests for marina loans in this program may increase significantly in the next five to ten years with the need perhaps reaching 10 to \$30 million annually. That's if there are no other lending sources.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of grants and loans for Division of Boating and Waterways. I'll be providing a brief overview of both the public marina loan program and the private morning. In the last 67 years, Boating and Waterways has provided approximately 360 loans to public agencies under this program. These funds were used to develop or to rehabilitate marinas owned or controlled by public agencies. This program is authorized in the Harbors and Navigation Code, and over the life of the program about 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This year we're considering one new loan to the City of Berkeley for the Berkeley Marina. Forecasting our future need, historically marina construction techniques produce marinas that would typically last between 30 and 40 years. Due to the aging marina infrastructure in California and based on previous lending, it appears that requests for marina loans in this program may increase significantly in the next five to ten years with the need perhaps reaching 10 to \$30 million annually. That's if there are no other lending sources. The alternatives for lending are either
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of grants and loans for Division of Boating and Waterways. I'll be providing a brief overview of both the public marina loan program and the private marina loan program for our discussions this morning. In the last 67 years, Boating and Waterways has provided approximately 360 loans to public agencies under this program. These funds were used to develop or to rehabilitate marinas owned or controlled by public agencies. This program is authorized in the Harbors and Navigation Code, and over the life of the program about \$446 million in public marina loans have been 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This year we're considering one new loan to the City of Berkeley for the Berkeley Marina. Forecasting our future need, historically marina construction techniques produce marinas that would typically last between 30 and 40 years. Due to the aging marina infrastructure in California and based on previous lending, it appears that requests for marina loans in this program may increase significantly in the next five to ten years with the need perhaps reaching 10 to \$30 million annually. That's if there are no other lending sources. The alternatives for lending are either self-funding, because commercial banks have been
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of grants and loans for Division of Boating and Waterways. I'll be providing a brief overview of both the public marina loan program and the private marina loan program for our discussions this Morning. In the last 67 years, Boating and Waterways has provided approximately 360 loans to public agencies under this program. These funds were used to develop or to rehabilitate marinas owned or controlled by public agencies. This program is authorized in the Harbors and Navigation Code, and over the life of the program about \$446 million in public marina loans have been assued. Loan amounts range from \$100,000 planning 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This year we're considering one new loan to the City of Berkeley for the Berkeley Marina. Forecasting our future need, historically marina construction techniques produce marinas that would typically last between 30 and 40 years. Due to the aging marina infrastructure in California and based on previous lending, it appears that requests for marina loans in this program may increase significantly in the next five to ten years with the need perhaps reaching 10 to \$30 million annually. That's if there are no other lending sources. The alternatives for lending are either self-funding, because commercial banks have been unwilling to lend to public agencies for marina
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of grants and loans for Division of Boating and Waterways. I'll be providing a brief overview of both the public marina loan program and the private marina loan program for our discussions this morning. In the last 67 years, Boating and Waterways has provided approximately 360 loans to public agencies under this program. These funds were used to develop or to rehabilitate marinas owned or controlled by public agencies. This program is authorized in the Harbors and Navigation Code, and over the life of the program about \$446 million in public marina loans have been issued. Loan amounts range from \$100,000 planning grant to a \$12 million construction loan. Projects 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This year we're considering one new loan to the City of Berkeley for the Berkeley Marina. Forecasting our future need, historically marina construction techniques produce marinas that would typically last between 30 and 40 years. Due to the aging marina infrastructure in California and based on previous lending, it appears that requests for marina loans in this program may increase significantly in the next five to ten years with the need perhaps reaching 10 to \$30 million annually. That's if there are no other lending sources. The alternatives for lending are either self-funding, because commercial banks have been unwilling to lend to public agencies for marina development, outside of instruments like bond
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2	 around a while, so she knows and sees old friends. MS. DILL: Yes, I have been around a while. I remember when Ms. Clark was one of our commissioners, so welcome back. Thank you so much for coming, these are really important issues. We are glad to talk about this. My name is Keren Dill. I'm the chief of grants and loans for Division of Boating and Waterways. I'll be providing a brief overview of both the public marina loan program and the private marina loan program for our discussions this Morning. In the last 67 years, Boating and Waterways has provided approximately 360 loans to public agencies under this program. These funds were used to develop or to rehabilitate marinas owned or controlled by public agencies. This program is authorized in the Harbors and Navigation Code, and over the life of the program about \$446 million in public marina loans have been assued. Loan amounts range from \$100,000 planning 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Currently, we have 55 loans in repayment to 24 different agencies. These loans paid over \$4 million this year into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. The current interest rate for the loan program is 4.5 percent. The terms for these loans are between 30 years per revenue-generating infrastructure to 50 years for non-revenue- generating infrastructure such as breakwaters. This year we're considering one new loan to the City of Berkeley for the Berkeley Marina. Forecasting our future need, historically marina construction techniques produce marinas that would typically last between 30 and 40 years. Due to the aging marina infrastructure in California and based on previous lending, it appears that requests for marina loans in this program may increase significantly in the next five to ten years with the need perhaps reaching 10 to \$30 million annually. That's if there are no other lending sources. The alternatives for lending are either self-funding, because commercial banks have been unwilling to lend to public agencies for marina

	Page 50	Page 52
1	self-funded. They realized enough revenue to not	1 occupancy is going to go down again like it did in
	need our loan. But outside these options, bond	2 2008, and marinas have not really recovered fully
	funding and self-funding, our understanding is that	3 since pre-recession.
	there are very few options for agencies for funding	4 So no one is really talking to us, and
	when they need to replace their marinas.	5 that's why we would really like to hear from the
6	So we'd like to hear from the public and	6 public, from these agencies. What we've heard is
7	those distinguished guests that are here: Are there	7 that more public agencies, they're spending a lot
	additional funding sources out there that you know	8 more money in maintenance, and they're more willing
9	of for public loans? What needs are you aware of	9 to do that than take out a huge loan to burden their
10	for public loan redevelopment in the next five to	10 city.
11	ten years? And is 4.5 percent interest competitive	11 VICE CHAIR METZ: Can you suggest ways of
12	in the current market?	12 getting that information, including the workshop or?
13	Just want to open this up to those in the	13 MS. DILL: Well, I think your harbor
14	know.	14 masters and port captain idea is really good, going
15	VICE CHAIR METZ: You mean right now?	15 to them.
16	MS. DILL: Yes.	16: Send a survey to them.
17	VICE CHAIR METZ: Okay. These are, I	17: I think one of the other
18	think thank you, Keren, those are really	18 things that we've been doing and I don't know if
19	important, important questions (inaudible) the	19 you touched on it, Keren was that we have been
20	fund, yes. But one other factor, when we talk about	 20 looking at (inaudible) marinas that we've had 21 to (inaudible) send any letters out asking and
21	needs for docks and facilities related to harbors,	22 checking in. All of the data that we have from them
	access for boaters, what is our needs assessments?	23 that we have loaned in the past, that's one of the
	What are our needs for the future? We can't answer	24 things that we have just recently done is reached
	some of these questions unless we have a feeling,	25 out that way.
	Page 51	Page 53
1		
	Page 51 regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure	1 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat
2	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's	
2 3	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them
2 3	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters,	1 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat 2 launching facilities and marinas as well to let them 3 know about these options.
2 3 4 5 6	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina,	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a
2 3 4 5 6	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at.	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at. VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have through the	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no replacement item are not really in our vision now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at. VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have through the various organizations in our constituency, the	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no replacement item are not really in our vision now until demand we receive the demand picks up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at. VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have through the various organizations in our constituency, the harbor captains and others through their own harbor	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no replacement item are not really in our vision now until demand we receive the demand picks up. So then the question I'm spinning out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at. VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have through the various organizations in our constituency, the harbor captains and others through their own harbor districts, do we have any feel or ready way to get	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no replacement item are not really in our vision now until demand we receive the demand picks up. So then the question I'm spinning out. The question was the 4.5 percent interest rate or
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at. VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have through the various organizations in our constituency, the harbor captains and others through their own harbor districts, do we have any feel or ready way to get just some feel, say, for the next 10 to 15 years of	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no replacement item are not really in our vision now until demand we receive the demand picks up. So then the question I'm spinning out. The question was the 4.5 percent interest rate or whether it's 1.2 percent, whatever the Federal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at. VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have through the various organizations in our constituency, the harbor captains and others through their own harbor districts, do we have any feel or ready way to get just some feel, say, for the next 10 to 15 years of what your needs are in terms of refinement,	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no replacement item are not really in our vision now until demand we receive the demand picks up. So then the question I'm spinning out. The question was the 4.5 percent interest rate or whether it's 1.2 percent, whatever the Federal Reserve has gone to at the present time is really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at. VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have through the various organizations in our constituency, the harbor captains and others through their own harbor districts, do we have any feel or ready way to get just some feel, say, for the next 10 to 15 years of what your needs are in terms of refinement, redevelopment, or replacement of docks? I think	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no replacement item are not really in our vision now until demand we receive the demand picks up. So then the question I'm spinning out. The question was the 4.5 percent interest rate or whether it's 1.2 percent, whatever the Federal Reserve has gone to at the present time is really not that important. But certainly one could say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at. VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have through the various organizations in our constituency, the harbor captains and others through their own harbor districts, do we have any feel or ready way to get just some feel, say, for the next 10 to 15 years of what your needs are in terms of refinement, redevelopment, or replacement of docks? I think that is very, very important.	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no replacement item are not really in our vision now until demand we receive the demand picks up. So then the question I'm spinning out. The question was the 4.5 percent interest rate or whether it's 1.2 percent, whatever the Federal Reserve has gone to at the present time is really not that important. But certainly one could say that 4.5 percent who is going to pay that amount
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at. VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have through the various organizations in our constituency, the harbor captains and others through their own harbor districts, do we have any feel or ready way to get just some feel, say, for the next 10 to 15 years of what your needs are in terms of refinement, redevelopment, or replacement of docks? I think that is very, very important. MS. DILL: Right. What we've been told is	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no replacement item are not really in our vision now until demand we receive the demand picks up. So then the question I'm spinning out. The question was the 4.5 percent interest rate or whether it's 1.2 percent, whatever the Federal Reserve has gone to at the present time is really not that important. But certainly one could say that 4.5 percent who is going to pay that amount today when there are less interest rates available
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at. VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have through the various organizations in our constituency, the harbor captains and others through their own harbor districts, do we have any feel or ready way to get just some feel, say, for the next 10 to 15 years of what your needs are in terms of refinement, redevelopment, or replacement of docks? I think that is very, very important. MS. DILL: Right. What we've been told is San Francisco Marina East is going to need to be	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no replacement item are not really in our vision now until demand we receive the demand picks up. So then the question I'm spinning out. The question was the 4.5 percent interest rate or whether it's 1.2 percent, whatever the Federal Reserve has gone to at the present time is really not that important. But certainly one could say that 4.5 percent who is going to pay that amount today when there are less interest rates available from a variety of funding sources?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at. VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have through the various organizations in our constituency, the harbor captains and others through their own harbor districts, do we have any feel or ready way to get just some feel, say, for the next 10 to 15 years of what your needs are in terms of refinement, redevelopment, or replacement of docks? I think that is very, very important. MS. DILL: Right. What we've been told is San Francisco Marina East is going to need to be redeveloped, but they're not sure when because	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no replacement item are not really in our vision now until demand we receive the demand picks up. So then the question I'm spinning out. The question was the 4.5 percent interest rate or whether it's 1.2 percent, whatever the Federal Reserve has gone to at the present time is really not that important. But certainly one could say that 4.5 percent who is going to pay that amount today when there are less interest rates available from a variety of funding sources?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at. VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have through the various organizations in our constituency, the harbor captains and others through their own harbor districts, do we have any feel or ready way to get just some feel, say, for the next 10 to 15 years of what your needs are in terms of refinement, redevelopment, or replacement of docks? I think that is very, very important. MS. DILL: Right. What we've been told is San Francisco Marina East is going to need to be redeveloped, but they're not sure when because they're dealing with a bunch of work. Berkeley	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no replacement item are not really in our vision now until demand we receive the demand picks up. So then the question I'm spinning out. The question was the 4.5 percent interest rate or whether it's 1.2 percent, whatever the Federal Reserve has gone to at the present time is really not that important. But certainly one could say that 4.5 percent who is going to pay that amount today when there are less interest rates available from a variety of funding sources? LENORA CLARK: Just a comment, Lenora
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at. VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have through the various organizations in our constituency, the harbor captains and others through their own harbor districts, do we have any feel or ready way to get just some feel, say, for the next 10 to 15 years of what your needs are in terms of refinement, redevelopment, or replacement of docks? I think that is very, very important. MS. DILL: Right. What we've been told is San Francisco Marina East is going to need to be redeveloped, but they're not sure when because	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no replacement item are not really in our vision now until demand we receive the demand picks up. So then the question I'm spinning out. The question was the 4.5 percent interest rate or whether it's 1.2 percent, whatever the Federal Reserve has gone to at the present time is really not that important. But certainly one could say that 4.5 percent who is going to pay that amount today when there are less interest rates available from a variety of funding sources? LENORA CLARK: Just a comment, Lenora Clark
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at. VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have through the various organizations in our constituency, the harbor captains and others through their own harbor districts, do we have any feel or ready way to get just some feel, say, for the next 10 to 15 years of what your needs are in terms of refinement, redevelopment, or replacement of docks? I think that is very, very important. MS. DILL: Right. What we've been told is San Francisco Marina East is going to need to be redeveloped, but they're not sure when because they're dealing with a bunch of work. Berkeley Marina is needing to be replaced.	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no replacement item are not really in our vision now until demand we receive the demand picks up. So then the question I'm spinning out. The question was the 4.5 percent interest rate or whether it's 1.2 percent, whatever the Federal Reserve has gone to at the present time is really not that important. But certainly one could say that 4.5 percent who is going to pay that amount today when there are less interest rates available from a variety of funding sources? LENORA CLARK: Just a comment, Lenora Clark VICE CHAIR METZ: Lenora.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at. VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have through the various organizations in our constituency, the harbor captains and others through their own harbor districts, do we have any feel or ready way to get just some feel, say, for the next 10 to 15 years of what your needs are in terms of refinement, redevelopment, or replacement of docks? I think that is very, very important. MS. DILL: Right. What we've been told is San Francisco Marina East is going to need to be redeveloped, but they're not sure when because they're dealing with a bunch of work. Berkeley Marina is needing to be replaced. No other agencies have said, hey, we're	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no replacement item are not really in our vision now until demand we receive the demand picks up. So then the question I'm spinning out. The question was the 4.5 percent interest rate or whether it's 1.2 percent, whatever the Federal Reserve has gone to at the present time is really not that important. But certainly one could say that 4.5 percent who is going to pay that amount today when there are less interest rates available from a variety of funding sources? LENORA CLARK: Just a comment, Lenora UICE CHAIR METZ: Lenora. LENORA CLARK: Recreational Boaters of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at. VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have through the various organizations in our constituency, the harbor captains and others through their own harbor districts, do we have any feel or ready way to get just some feel, say, for the next 10 to 15 years of what your needs are in terms of refinement, redevelopment, or replacement of docks? I think that is very, very important. MS. DILL: Right. What we've been told is San Francisco Marina East is going to need to be redeveloped, but they're not sure when because they're dealing with a bunch of work. Berkeley Marina is needing to be replaced. No other agencies have said, hey, we're looking at this, we're looking at your fund in the future. What we've heard from public marinas is that when the recession hits, and everyone has been	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no replacement item are not really in our vision now until demand we receive the demand picks up. So then the question I'm spinning out. The question was the 4.5 percent interest rate or whether it's 1.2 percent, whatever the Federal Reserve has gone to at the present time is really not that important. But certainly one could say that 4.5 percent who is going to pay that amount today when there are less interest rates available from a variety of funding sources? LENORA CLARK: Just a comment, Lenora Clark VICE CHAIR METZ: Lenora. LENORA CLARK: Recreational Boaters of California.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	regardless of funding source, self-funding if it's Dana Point or so on. What are our infrastructure needs to permit fishermen, boaters, yachters, anyone, kayakers to get to the water? MS. DILL: Unfortunately, our boating assessment study did not capture the aging marina, so that's a good thing for us to look at. VICE CHAIR METZ: Do you have through the various organizations in our constituency, the harbor captains and others through their own harbor districts, do we have any feel or ready way to get just some feel, say, for the next 10 to 15 years of what your needs are in terms of refinement, redevelopment, or replacement of docks? I think that is very, very important. MS. DILL: Right. What we've been told is San Francisco Marina East is going to need to be redeveloped, but they're not sure when because they're dealing with a bunch of work. Berkeley Marina is needing to be replaced. No other agencies have said, hey, we're looking at this, we're looking at your fund in the future. What we've heard from public marinas is	 MS. DILL: We're doing that for boat launching facilities and marinas as well to let them know about these options. VICE CHAIR METZ: So we could have a situation whereby if you're a marina operator, public or private, were putting money into maintenance and that sort of thing, so that no replacement item are not really in our vision now until demand we receive the demand picks up. So then the question I'm spinning out. The question was the 4.5 percent interest rate or whether it's 1.2 percent, whatever the Federal Reserve has gone to at the present time is really not that important. But certainly one could say that 4.5 percent who is going to pay that amount today when there are less interest rates available from a variety of funding sources? LENORA CLARK: Just a comment, Lenora Clark VICE CHAIR METZ: Lenora. LENORA CLARK: Recreational Boaters of California. Way back when, I was on the commission of

	Page 54		Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	projection. And certainly that projection probably fell apart with the recession because we were looking at larger slips versus eliminating smaller slips and things like that. But certainly something like a needs assessment would be in line right now. Because if we're looking at where the money needs to be spent, and people aren't asking for those loans because they don't there's no need there, that would be something that would allow the fund to utilize money in areas that are needed. VICE CHAIR METZ: Well, we're back to the point. Keren, we're looking for you for leadership to give to Ramona and the Commission as to ways to get information you think is very important for purposes of financial planning and public planing and so on and so forth. Now, we know that there is in process and we should receive, Lenora, very, very soon, within the next few months, the results of the boater needs assessment, finally updated. So some of this is coming together, but I think these are vital questions, vital questions. So we look to ways, workshops, surveys of the harbor masters, to have a program of actually requiring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in this program. VICE CHAIR METZ: Two. MS. DILL: Yes, two. : Just give us the full picture, is that you said we issued two? MS. DILL: Correct. : And how many requests did we receive?
25	masters, to have a program of actually requiring	25	MS. DILL: Three.
	Page 55		Page 57
1	information that we need for purposes	1	: Which I think is important.
2	information that we need for purposes (inaudible).	2	: Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we
2 3	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right.	2 3	: Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything.
2 3 4	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes.	2 3 4	Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my
2 3 4 5	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm	2 3 4 5	Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of
2 3 4 5 6	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm curious: Are there additional funding sources for	2 3 4 5 6	: Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of the assets, the docks and so forth, that people use
2 3 4 5 6 7	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7	Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm curious: Are there additional funding sources for public loans? I would be interested for marinas for	2 3 4 5 6 7	Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of the assets, the docks and so forth, that people use strictly on the inland are largely private marinas.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm curious: Are there additional funding sources for public loans? I would be interested for marinas for those who have gotten these, you know, what did they look at? I don't even know if there's federal money available for that or what other pots aside from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	: Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of the assets, the docks and so forth, that people use strictly on the inland are largely private marinas. Name our meetings in places we've gone to. So their welfare, their continued function to serve the public, to serve the boating are very,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm curious: Are there additional funding sources for public loans? I would be interested for marinas for those who have gotten these, you know, what did they look at? I don't even know if there's federal money available for that or what other pots aside from private.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	: Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of the assets, the docks and so forth, that people use strictly on the inland are largely private marinas. Name our meetings in places we've gone to. So their welfare, their continued function to serve the public, to serve the boating are very, very important. But apparently they've been meeting
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm curious: Are there additional funding sources for public loans? I would be interested for marinas for those who have gotten these, you know, what did they look at? I don't even know if there's federal money available for that or what other pots aside from private. MS. DILL: I know for ports, there is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	: Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of the assets, the docks and so forth, that people use strictly on the inland are largely private marinas. Name our meetings in places we've gone to. So their welfare, their continued function to serve the public, to serve the boating are very, very important. But apparently they've been meeting their capital needs or reconstruction needs or
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm curious: Are there additional funding sources for public loans? I would be interested for marinas for those who have gotten these, you know, what did they look at? I don't even know if there's federal money available for that or what other pots aside from private. MS. DILL: I know for ports, there is a separate funding, but it's not for recreational	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	: Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of the assets, the docks and so forth, that people use strictly on the inland are largely private marinas. Name our meetings in places we've gone to. So their welfare, their continued function to serve the public, to serve the boating are very, very important. But apparently they've been meeting their capital needs or reconstruction needs or replacement needs through resources other than
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm curious: Are there additional funding sources for public loans? I would be interested for marinas for those who have gotten these, you know, what did they look at? I don't even know if there's federal money available for that or what other pots aside from private. MS. DILL: I know for ports, there is a separate funding, but it's not for recreational boating.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	: Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of the assets, the docks and so forth, that people use strictly on the inland are largely private marinas. Name our meetings in places we've gone to. So their welfare, their continued function to serve the public, to serve the boating are very, very important. But apparently they've been meeting their capital needs or reconstruction needs or replacement needs through resources other than applying to DBW.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm curious: Are there additional funding sources for public loans? I would be interested for marinas for those who have gotten these, you know, what did they look at? I don't even know if there's federal money available for that or what other pots aside from private. MS. DILL: I know for ports, there is a separate funding, but it's not for recreational boating. : Just commercial?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	: Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of the assets, the docks and so forth, that people use strictly on the inland are largely private marinas. Name our meetings in places we've gone to. So their welfare, their continued function to serve the public, to serve the boating are very, very important. But apparently they've been meeting their capital needs or reconstruction needs or replacement needs through resources other than applying to DBW. MS. DILL: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm curious: Are there additional funding sources for public loans? I would be interested for marinas for those who have gotten these, you know, what did they look at? I don't even know if there's federal money available for that or what other pots aside from private. MS. DILL: I know for ports, there is a separate funding, but it's not for recreational boating. : Just commercial? MS. DILL: Yeah, commercial.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	: Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of the assets, the docks and so forth, that people use strictly on the inland are largely private marinas. Name our meetings in places we've gone to. So their welfare, their continued function to serve the public, to serve the boating are very, very important. But apparently they've been meeting their capital needs or reconstruction needs or replacement needs through resources other than applying to DBW. MS. DILL: Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Now, based on your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm curious: Are there additional funding sources for public loans? I would be interested for marinas for those who have gotten these, you know, what did they look at? I don't even know if there's federal money available for that or what other pots aside from private. MS. DILL: I know for ports, there is a separate funding, but it's not for recreational boating. : Just commercial? MS. DILL: Yeah, commercial. But I don't know of any others, and that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	: Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of the assets, the docks and so forth, that people use strictly on the inland are largely private marinas. Name our meetings in places we've gone to. So their welfare, their continued function to serve the public, to serve the boating are very, very important. But apparently they've been meeting their capital needs or reconstruction needs or replacement needs through resources other than applying to DBW. MS. DILL: Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Now, based on your extensive background, what have you heard, just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm curious: Are there additional funding sources for public loans? I would be interested for marinas for those who have gotten these, you know, what did they look at? I don't even know if there's federal money available for that or what other pots aside from private. MS. DILL: I know for ports, there is a separate funding, but it's not for recreational boating. : Just commercial? MS. DILL: Yeah, commercial. But I don't know of any others, and that's why I'm posing the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	: Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of the assets, the docks and so forth, that people use strictly on the inland are largely private marinas. Name our meetings in places we've gone to. So their welfare, their continued function to serve the public, to serve the boating are very, very important. But apparently they've been meeting their capital needs or reconstruction needs or replacement needs through resources other than applying to DBW. MS. DILL: Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Now, based on your extensive background, what have you heard, just going on the private market?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm curious: Are there additional funding sources for public loans? I would be interested for marinas for those who have gotten these, you know, what did they look at? I don't even know if there's federal money available for that or what other pots aside from private. MS. DILL: I know for ports, there is a separate funding, but it's not for recreational boating. : Just commercial? MS. DILL: Yeah, commercial. But I don't know of any others, and that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	: Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of the assets, the docks and so forth, that people use strictly on the inland are largely private marinas. Name our meetings in places we've gone to. So their welfare, their continued function to serve the public, to serve the boating are very, very important. But apparently they've been meeting their capital needs or reconstruction needs or replacement needs through resources other than applying to DBW. MS. DILL: Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Now, based on your extensive background, what have you heard, just going on the private market? MS. DILL: Right. Commercial banks are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm curious: Are there additional funding sources for public loans? I would be interested for marinas for those who have gotten these, you know, what did they look at? I don't even know if there's federal money available for that or what other pots aside from private. MS. DILL: I know for ports, there is a separate funding, but it's not for recreational boating. : Just commercial? MS. DILL: Yeah, commercial. But I don't know of any others, and that's why I'm posing the question. Okay. So this is the public loan program.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	: Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of the assets, the docks and so forth, that people use strictly on the inland are largely private marinas. Name our meetings in places we've gone to. So their welfare, their continued function to serve the public, to serve the boating are very, very important. But apparently they've been meeting their capital needs or reconstruction needs or replacement needs through resources other than applying to DBW. MS. DILL: Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Now, based on your extensive background, what have you heard, just going on the private market? MS. DILL: Right. Commercial banks are willing to lend to private operators because they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm curious: Are there additional funding sources for public loans? I would be interested for marinas for those who have gotten these, you know, what did they look at? I don't even know if there's federal money available for that or what other pots aside from private. MS. DILL: I know for ports, there is a separate funding, but it's not for recreational boating. : Just commercial? MS. DILL: Yeah, commercial. But I don't know of any others, and that's why I'm posing the question. Okay. So this is the public loan program. We'll move on to the private. Now to the private marina loan program. So this program began in 1980. In the last 39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	: Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of the assets, the docks and so forth, that people use strictly on the inland are largely private marinas. Name our meetings in places we've gone to. So their welfare, their continued function to serve the public, to serve the boating are very, very important. But apparently they've been meeting their capital needs or reconstruction needs or replacement needs through resources other than applying to DBW. MS. DILL: Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Now, based on your extensive background, what have you heard, just going on the private market? MS. DILL: Right. Commercial banks are willing to lend to private operators because they have leases. Also, private equity is much more available for privately-owned marinas because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm curious: Are there additional funding sources for public loans? I would be interested for marinas for those who have gotten these, you know, what did they look at? I don't even know if there's federal money available for that or what other pots aside from private. MS. DILL: I know for ports, there is a separate funding, but it's not for recreational boating. : Just commercial. But I don't know of any others, and that's why I'm posing the question. Okay. So this is the public loan program. We'll move on to the private. Now to the private marina loan program. So this program began in 1980. In the last 39 years, Boating and Waterways has provided	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	: Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of the assets, the docks and so forth, that people use strictly on the inland are largely private marinas. Name our meetings in places we've gone to. So their welfare, their continued function to serve the public, to serve the boating are very, very important. But apparently they've been meeting their capital needs or reconstruction needs or replacement needs through resources other than applying to DBW. MS. DILL: Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Now, based on your extensive background, what have you heard, just going on the private market? MS. DILL: Right. Commercial banks are willing to lend to private operators because they have leases. Also, private equity is much more available for privately-owned marinas because there's something to go after.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	information that we need for purposes (inaudible). LENORA CLARK: Right. MS. DILL: Thank you. Yes. : I'm wondering, though, I'm curious: Are there additional funding sources for public loans? I would be interested for marinas for those who have gotten these, you know, what did they look at? I don't even know if there's federal money available for that or what other pots aside from private. MS. DILL: I know for ports, there is a separate funding, but it's not for recreational boating. : Just commercial? MS. DILL: Yeah, commercial. But I don't know of any others, and that's why I'm posing the question. Okay. So this is the public loan program. We'll move on to the private. Now to the private marina loan program. So this program began in 1980. In the last 39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	: Which I think is important. VICE CHAIR METZ: I agree. I think we have to examine everything. One could say that at least based on my tenure on the Commission, that many, if not most of the assets, the docks and so forth, that people use strictly on the inland are largely private marinas. Name our meetings in places we've gone to. So their welfare, their continued function to serve the public, to serve the boating are very, very important. But apparently they've been meeting their capital needs or reconstruction needs or replacement needs through resources other than applying to DBW. MS. DILL: Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Now, based on your extensive background, what have you heard, just going on the private market? MS. DILL: Right. Commercial banks are willing to lend to private operators because they have leases. Also, private equity is much more available for privately-owned marinas because

	D 50		~~
	Page 58	Page	60
1	marina. But a private marina who has a lease and	1 can operate much faster than we can at the State.	
	has infrastructure, the banks and private equity	2 Do we know of any marinas that are going	
	firms can go after those.	3 to seek Harbors and Watercraft Funds in the next	
	: Waterfront is very available.	4 five years, ROC or any of the our other guests? As	
4	-		`
5	MS. DILL: Right.	5 mentioned, commercial banks can loan. Private	
6	: So this has been one point	6 equity provides funding as well as us and other	
	that when meeting with stakeholders, I raise.	7 folks as well.	
	Because as you can manage, when you look at the	8 So our questions for the public and the	
9	facts here, it's very difficult for us to kind of	9 Commission are: Is this funding still needed? Do	
10	make the case and argue that this is a critical	10 you know of any marinas that are going to need	
11	program when we see this in play. So I never want	11 Harbors and Watercraft Revolving funding in the	
12	to assume that just because people aren't applying	12 future? And are there other sources of funding that	n
	that there's not an interest, but when you're going	13 private equity, commercial banks that we don't know	
	to folks directly, you know.	14 about?	
15	So if there is something that we're	15 VICE CHAIR METZ: All right. How are we	
	missing, please let us know. But I'm hearing the	16 going to get this information? One can assume that	t
	same thing that you're sharing.		
	o , o	17 we're getting it because of silence, because of lack	
18	MS. DILL: Yeah.	18 of application.	
19	VICE CHAIR METZ: What is the interest	19 Do we have an obligation to go out to the	
	rate today? If I were to come private marina	20 Marina Recreation Association or any other group an	
	were to come (inaudible).	21 pose that particular question and give a deadline as	S
22	MS. DILL: Later, we were we could	22 to getting any responses?	
	just we can forgo the presentation.	23 I don't know what our public obligation	
24	Right now it's 6.25, but it's based on	24 is, but I am certainly of the feeling that the fact	
25	prime plus one as of January. So it's prime plus	25 that we haven't had much interest is pretty much	
	Page 59	Page	61
1			61
	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually.	1 telling us, that the market really is giving us the	61
2	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually.	 telling us, that the market really is giving us the answer. There is not a demand given our interest 	61
2 3	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute?	 telling us, that the market really is giving us the answer. There is not a demand given our interest rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. 	61
2 3 4	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute.	 telling us, that the market really is giving us the answer. There is not a demand given our interest rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. : But I also wonder I mean I 	61
2 3 4 5	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998,	 telling us, that the market really is giving us the answer. There is not a demand given our interest rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. : But I also wonder I mean I think you're right the silence is there is 	61
2 3 4 5 6	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated	 telling us, that the market really is giving us the answer. There is not a demand given our interest rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. : But I also wonder I mean I think you're right the silence is there is availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a 	61
2 3 4 5 6 7	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and	 telling us, that the market really is giving us the answer. There is not a demand given our interest rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. : But I also wonder I mean I think you're right the silence is there is availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a future time when there isn't, and does this cost us 	61
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. And over the life of the	 telling us, that the market really is giving us the answer. There is not a demand given our interest rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. : But I also wonder I mean I think you're right the silence is there is availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a future time when there isn't, and does this cost us anything to have the ability to do it? 	61
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. And over the life of the program, there have been four defaults. So the	 1 telling us, that the market really is giving us the 2 answer. There is not a demand given our interest 3 rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. 4: But I also wonder I mean I 5 think you're right the silence is there is 6 availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a 7 future time when there isn't, and does this cost us 8 anything to have the ability to do it? 9 I mean it seems like statutorily it hasn't 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. And over the life of the program, there have been four defaults. So the private marina operators have not people are	 1 telling us, that the market really is giving us the 2 answer. There is not a demand given our interest 3 rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. 4: But I also wonder I mean I 5 think you're right the silence is there is 6 availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a 7 future time when there isn't, and does this cost us 8 anything to have the ability to do it? 9 I mean it seems like statutorily it hasn't 10 really you know, when you look at where the mone 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. And over the life of the program, there have been four defaults. So the private marina operators have not people are paying their bills, generally. The program has lost	 1 telling us, that the market really is giving us the 2 answer. There is not a demand given our interest 3 rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. 4: But I also wonder I mean I 5 think you're right the silence is there is 6 availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a 7 future time when there isn't, and does this cost us 8 anything to have the ability to do it? 9 I mean it seems like statutorily it hasn't 10 really you know, when you look at where the mone 11 is going for the fund, it hasn't like taken or 	ЭУ
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. And over the life of the program, there have been four defaults. So the private marina operators have not people are paying their bills, generally. The program has lost \$1.4 million in loss because of defaults, for a	 telling us, that the market really is giving us the answer. There is not a demand given our interest rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. : But I also wonder I mean I think you're right the silence is there is availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a future time when there isn't, and does this cost us anything to have the ability to do it? I mean it seems like statutorily it hasn't really you know, when you look at where the mone is going for the fund, it hasn't like taken or competed, you know, like we just had a conversation 	èy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. And over the life of the program, there have been four defaults. So the private marina operators have not people are paying their bills, generally. The program has lost	 telling us, that the market really is giving us the answer. There is not a demand given our interest rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. : But I also wonder I mean I think you're right the silence is there is availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a future time when there isn't, and does this cost us anything to have the ability to do it? I mean it seems like statutorily it hasn't really you know, when you look at where the mone is going for the fund, it hasn't like taken or competed, you know, like we just had a conversation about the AIS program. But, you know, I could see 	èy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. And over the life of the program, there have been four defaults. So the private marina operators have not people are paying their bills, generally. The program has lost \$1.4 million in loss because of defaults, for a total profit of \$14.8 million over the last 31 years.	 telling us, that the market really is giving us the answer. There is not a demand given our interest rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. : But I also wonder I mean I think you're right the silence is there is availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a future time when there isn't, and does this cost us anything to have the ability to do it? I mean it seems like statutorily it hasn't really you know, when you look at where the more is going for the fund, it hasn't like taken or competed, you know, like we just had a conversation about the AIS program. But, you know, I could see time if we have an earthquake, right, that maybe 	èy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. And over the life of the program, there have been four defaults. So the private marina operators have not people are paying their bills, generally. The program has lost \$1.4 million in loss because of defaults, for a total profit of \$14.8 million over the last 31 years. Currently we have five loans in repayment	 telling us, that the market really is giving us the answer. There is not a demand given our interest rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. : But I also wonder I mean I think you're right the silence is there is availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a future time when there isn't, and does this cost us anything to have the ability to do it? I mean it seems like statutorily it hasn't really you know, when you look at where the more is going for the fund, it hasn't like taken or competed, you know, like we just had a conversation about the AIS program. But, you know, I could see time if we have an earthquake, right, that maybe it's too risky for the private market and maybe they 	èy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. And over the life of the program, there have been four defaults. So the private marina operators have not people are paying their bills, generally. The program has lost \$1.4 million in loss because of defaults, for a total profit of \$14.8 million over the last 31 years. Currently we have five loans in repayment to four different marinas. These loans paid	 1 telling us, that the market really is giving us the 2 answer. There is not a demand given our interest 3 rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. 4: But I also wonder I mean I 5 think you're right the silence is there is 6 availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a 7 future time when there isn't, and does this cost us 8 anything to have the ability to do it? 9 I mean it seems like statutorily it hasn't 10 really you know, when you look at where the mone 11 is going for the fund, it hasn't like taken or 12 competed, you know, like we just had a conversation 13 about the AIS program. But, you know, I could see 14 time if we have an earthquake, right, that maybe 15 it's too risky for the private market and maybe they 16 will need help, especially if infrastructure is 	èy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. And over the life of the program, there have been four defaults. So the private marina operators have not people are paying their bills, generally. The program has lost \$1.4 million in loss because of defaults, for a total profit of \$14.8 million over the last 31 years. Currently we have five loans in repayment	 telling us, that the market really is giving us the answer. There is not a demand given our interest rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. : But I also wonder I mean I think you're right the silence is there is availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a future time when there isn't, and does this cost us anything to have the ability to do it? I mean it seems like statutorily it hasn't really you know, when you look at where the more is going for the fund, it hasn't like taken or competed, you know, like we just had a conversation about the AIS program. But, you know, I could see time if we have an earthquake, right, that maybe it's too risky for the private market and maybe they 	èy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. And over the life of the program, there have been four defaults. So the private marina operators have not people are paying their bills, generally. The program has lost \$1.4 million in loss because of defaults, for a total profit of \$14.8 million over the last 31 years. Currently we have five loans in repayment to four different marinas. These loans paid	 1 telling us, that the market really is giving us the 2 answer. There is not a demand given our interest 3 rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. 4: But I also wonder I mean I 5 think you're right the silence is there is 6 availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a 7 future time when there isn't, and does this cost us 8 anything to have the ability to do it? 9 I mean it seems like statutorily it hasn't 10 really you know, when you look at where the mone 11 is going for the fund, it hasn't like taken or 12 competed, you know, like we just had a conversation 13 about the AIS program. But, you know, I could see 14 time if we have an earthquake, right, that maybe 15 it's too risky for the private market and maybe they 16 will need help, especially if infrastructure is 	èy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. And over the life of the program, there have been four defaults. So the private marina operators have not people are paying their bills, generally. The program has lost \$1.4 million in loss because of defaults, for a total profit of \$14.8 million over the last 31 years. Currently we have five loans in repayment to four different marinas. These loans paid \$230,000 in interest into the Harbors and Watercraft	 1 telling us, that the market really is giving us the 2 answer. There is not a demand given our interest 3 rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. 4: But I also wonder I mean I 5 think you're right the silence is there is 6 availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a 7 future time when there isn't, and does this cost us 8 anything to have the ability to do it? 9 I mean it seems like statutorily it hasn't 10 really you know, when you look at where the mone 11 is going for the fund, it hasn't like taken or 12 competed, you know, like we just had a conversation 13 about the AIS program. But, you know, I could see 14 time if we have an earthquake, right, that maybe 15 it's too risky for the private market and maybe they 16 will need help, especially if infrastructure is 17 damaged. 	èy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. And over the life of the program, there have been four defaults. So the private marina operators have not people are paying their bills, generally. The program has lost \$1.4 million in loss because of defaults, for a total profit of \$14.8 million over the last 31 years. Currently we have five loans in repayment to four different marinas. These loans paid \$230,000 in interest into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund this year. Their interest rate is	 telling us, that the market really is giving us the answer. There is not a demand given our interest rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. : But I also wonder I mean I think you're right the silence is there is availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a future time when there isn't, and does this cost us anything to have the ability to do it? I mean it seems like statutorily it hasn't really you know, when you look at where the more is going for the fund, it hasn't like taken or competed, you know, like we just had a conversation about the AIS program. But, you know, I could see time if we have an earthquake, right, that maybe it's too risky for the private market and maybe they will need help, especially if infrastructure is So I would hate to see that it's like, oh, 	ey an a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. And over the life of the program, there have been four defaults. So the private marina operators have not people are paying their bills, generally. The program has lost \$1.4 million in loss because of defaults, for a total profit of \$14.8 million over the last 31 years. Currently we have five loans in repayment to four different marinas. These loans paid \$230,000 in interest into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund this year. Their interest rate is 6.25 percent, and the term is 20 years on the	 1 telling us, that the market really is giving us the 2 answer. There is not a demand given our interest 3 rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. 4: But I also wonder I mean I 5 think you're right the silence is there is 6 availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a 7 future time when there isn't, and does this cost us 8 anything to have the ability to do it? 9 I mean it seems like statutorily it hasn't 10 really you know, when you look at where the more 11 is going for the fund, it hasn't like taken or 12 competed, you know, like we just had a conversation 13 about the AIS program. But, you know, I could see 14 time if we have an earthquake, right, that maybe 15 it's too risky for the private market and maybe they 16 will need help, especially if infrastructure is 17 damaged. 18 So I would hate to see that it's like, oh, 19 we should get out of the business and delete the 	ey an a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. 	 1 telling us, that the market really is giving us the 2 answer. There is not a demand given our interest 3 rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. 4: But I also wonder I mean I 5 think you're right the silence is there is 6 availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a 7 future time when there isn't, and does this cost us 8 anything to have the ability to do it? 9 I mean it seems like statutorily it hasn't 10 really you know, when you look at where the more 11 is going for the fund, it hasn't like taken or 12 competed, you know, like we just had a conversation 13 about the AIS program. But, you know, I could see 14 time if we have an earthquake, right, that maybe 15 it's too risky for the private market and maybe they 16 will need help, especially if infrastructure is 17 damaged. 18 So I would hate to see that it's like, oh, 19 we should get out of the business and delete the 20 codes and that therefore be out of the game of eve 	ey an a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. And over the life of the program, there have been four defaults. So the private marina operators have not people are paying their bills, generally. The program has lost \$1.4 million in loss because of defaults, for a total profit of \$14.8 million over the last 31 years. Currently we have five loans in repayment to four different marinas. These loans paid \$230,000 in interest into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund this year. Their interest rate is 6.25 percent, and the term is 20 years on the privately-held loans. And forecasting future need, unlike public	 1 telling us, that the market really is giving us the 2 answer. There is not a demand given our interest 3 rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. 4: But I also wonder I mean I 5 think you're right the silence is there is 6 availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a 7 future time when there isn't, and does this cost us 8 anything to have the ability to do it? 9 I mean it seems like statutorily it hasn't 10 really you know, when you look at where the mone 11 is going for the fund, it hasn't like taken or 12 competed, you know, like we just had a conversation 13 about the AIS program. But, you know, I could see 14 time if we have an earthquake, right, that maybe 15 it's too risky for the private market and maybe they 16 will need help, especially if infrastructure is 17 damaged. 18 So I would hate to see that it's like, oh, 19 we should get out of the business and delete the 20 codes and that therefore be out of the game of eve 21 being able to do this. We really know what might 22 happen in the future. 	ey an a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. And over the life of the program, there have been four defaults. So the private marina operators have not people are paying their bills, generally. The program has lost \$1.4 million in loss because of defaults, for a total profit of \$14.8 million over the last 31 years. Currently we have five loans in repayment to four different marinas. These loans paid \$230,000 in interest into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund this year. Their interest rate is 6.25 percent, and the term is 20 years on the privately-held loans. And forecasting future need, unlike public entities like we just discussed, capital funding for these kinds of projects seems to be readily	 1 telling us, that the market really is giving us the 2 answer. There is not a demand given our interest 3 rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. 4: But I also wonder I mean I 5 think you're right the silence is there is 6 availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a 7 future time when there isn't, and does this cost us 8 anything to have the ability to do it? 9 I mean it seems like statutorily it hasn't 10 really you know, when you look at where the more 11 is going for the fund, it hasn't like taken or 12 competed, you know, like we just had a conversation 13 about the AIS program. But, you know, I could see 14 time if we have an earthquake, right, that maybe 15 it's too risky for the private market and maybe they 16 will need help, especially if infrastructure is 17 damaged. 18 So I would hate to see that it's like, oh, 19 we should get out of the business and delete the 20 codes and that therefore be out of the game of eve 21 being able to do this. We really know what might 23 Maybe we just go back to the boating 	ey an a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	one as of each January, and it adjusts annually. : Keren, how is that established? Is that through statute? MS. DILL: Correct, by statute. So from a scope perspective, since 1998, going back 30 years, this program has generated \$16 million in interest for the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. And over the life of the program, there have been four defaults. So the private marina operators have not people are paying their bills, generally. The program has lost \$1.4 million in loss because of defaults, for a total profit of \$14.8 million over the last 31 years. Currently we have five loans in repayment to four different marinas. These loans paid \$230,000 in interest into the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund this year. Their interest rate is 6.25 percent, and the term is 20 years on the privately-held loans. And forecasting future need, unlike public entities like we just discussed, capital funding for	 1 telling us, that the market really is giving us the 2 answer. There is not a demand given our interest 3 rate, process, and paperwork involved, so. 4: But I also wonder I mean I 5 think you're right the silence is there is 6 availability, but that doesn't mean there won't be a 7 future time when there isn't, and does this cost us 8 anything to have the ability to do it? 9 I mean it seems like statutorily it hasn't 10 really you know, when you look at where the mone 11 is going for the fund, it hasn't like taken or 12 competed, you know, like we just had a conversation 13 about the AIS program. But, you know, I could see 14 time if we have an earthquake, right, that maybe 15 it's too risky for the private market and maybe they 16 will need help, especially if infrastructure is 17 damaged. 18 So I would hate to see that it's like, oh, 19 we should get out of the business and delete the 20 codes and that therefore be out of the game of eve 21 being able to do this. We really know what might 22 happen in the future. 	ey na

Page 64
 Are there others that you recall that we included as needs for more information? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: I think one of the other the biggest one obviously was the boating facility needs assessment. VICE CHAIR METZ: Yes. DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: That we plan to have. VICE CHAIR METZ: What it does contain and does not in relation to the questions that have been raised here. DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: I think that's important. DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: I think that's important. DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: The other, as we mentioned, would be those workshops, surveys, and then I think coordinating with the Marine Recreation Association, which is one of the things that we are doing next commission is in conjunction with MRA that we will be also conducting our commission meeting, so that will be a discussion as I don't know if all of you are going to the whole Commissioner Metz, I think you're going to the whole MRA conference, correct? VICE CHAIR METZ: I registered
Page 65
 (inaudible). 2 DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: The other

	Page 66		Page 68
1	VICE CHAIR METZ: and then also to try	1	various cabinet members who help various policy
	to alert them that there are important data needs		areas, and they are at the secretary level. So the
	that we would like to meet, not only for our own,		cabinet-level individual is Secretary Wade Crowfoot.
	doing our own work for our own responsibilities, but	4	
	ultimately for their benefit as well.	5	Resources Agency is nested very important agencies
6	COMMISSIONER PETTIBONE: Is there a way		like the Department of Fish and Wildlife, and the
7	I would like to put it on some of the recreational		Department of Parks and Recreation, under which
8	boating/sailing networks for response and	8	Boating and Water Activities are nested under the
9	encouragement for engagement. Is there someone we	9	Department of Water Resources. So all of those
10	should contact if I put it on like U.S. Sailing	10	Natural Resources departments is nested within that
11	list, say folks who, you know, these are things that	11	agency.
12	DBW is offering, and we're looking for feedback,	12	Why it's important to have that agency
13	reach out to myself or is there anybody else you	13	engaged is because you want the Governor's
14	would like to have?	14	cabinet-level advisor to understand that this is an
15	DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: You can	15	issue and that we're off having conversations with
16	probably have them reach out to Keren.	16	the Department of Finance. So the woman that was
17	COMMISSIONER PETTIBONE: Because I mean	17	5
18	there might be projects that are out there that we	18	5
19	don't know about. It would be like, oh, five years	19	3 3 <i>i</i>
	down the road we are going to do this complete	20	5,
	refit. We're not there yet. We're not applying,	21	GREG URIZA: Thank you for the
22	but we want to put it on the radar.		clarification. I have a second question.
23	DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: Yes, sure.	23	I notice that there are our
24	VICE CHAIR METZ: Do we have representatives from the Natural Resources?		representatives here from law enforcement, and I'm
25	representatives norm the Matural Resources:	25	just wondering what their interest in all of this
	Page 67		Page 69
1	-	1	
1	Page 67 DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes.	1	Page 69 might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is
	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out,		might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is
2	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes.	2 3	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is
2 3	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay.	2 3 4	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State
2 3 4 5 6	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective	2 3 4 5	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety
2 3 4 5 6 7	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of	2 3 4 5 6	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of these questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today. I just wanted to share with you guys a few
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of these questions. DIRECTOR MANGAT: And I would say that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today. I just wanted to share with you guys a few things from a law enforcement perspective as far as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of these questions. DIRECTOR MANGAT: And I would say that Secretary Crowfoot's staff are very engaged with us	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today. I just wanted to share with you guys a few things from a law enforcement perspective as far as funding is concerned and how we operate. Four
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of these questions. DIRECTOR MANGAT: And I would say that Secretary Crowfoot's staff are very engaged with us on this.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today. I just wanted to share with you guys a few things from a law enforcement perspective as far as funding is concerned and how we operate. Four things specifically, one being prevention funding;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of these questions. DIRECTOR MANGAT: And I would say that Secretary Crowfoot's staff are very engaged with us on this. VICE CHAIR METZ: One of the privileges	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today. I just wanted to share with you guys a few things from a law enforcement perspective as far as funding is concerned and how we operate. Four things specifically, one being prevention funding; two, training funding; three, safety grants; and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of these questions. DIRECTOR MANGAT: And I would say that Secretary Crowfoot's staff are very engaged with us on this. VICE CHAIR METZ: One of the privileges I've had working with the Commission over the years	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today. I just wanted to share with you guys a few things from a law enforcement perspective as far as funding is concerned and how we operate. Four things specifically, one being prevention funding; two, training funding; three, safety grants; and four, equipment grants that we rely on heavily.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of these questions. DIRECTOR MANGAT: And I would say that Secretary Crowfoot's staff are very engaged with us on this. VICE CHAIR METZ: One of the privileges I've had working with the Commission over the years is to have occasional contact with Natural	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today. I just wanted to share with you guys a few things from a law enforcement perspective as far as funding is concerned and how we operate. Four things specifically, one being prevention funding; two, training funding; three, safety grants; and four, equipment grants that we rely on heavily. For my home county, San Joaquin County, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of these questions. DIRECTOR MANGAT: And I would say that Secretary Crowfoot's staff are very engaged with us on this. VICE CHAIR METZ: One of the privileges I've had working with the Commission over the years is to have occasional contact with Natural Resources, and it's been a plus every single time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today. I just wanted to share with you guys a few things from a law enforcement perspective as far as funding is concerned and how we operate. Four things specifically, one being prevention funding; two, training funding; three, safety grants; and four, equipment grants that we rely on heavily. For my home county, San Joaquin County, we rely on Commission funding to support about a third
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of these questions. DIRECTOR MANGAT: And I would say that Secretary Crowfoot's staff are very engaged with us on this. VICE CHAIR METZ: One of the privileges I've had working with the Commission over the years is to have occasional contact with Natural Resources, and it's been a plus every single time. They're generally interested in what's happening in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today. I just wanted to share with you guys a few things from a law enforcement perspective as far as funding is concerned and how we operate. Four things specifically, one being prevention funding; two, training funding; three, safety grants; and four, equipment grants that we rely on heavily. For my home county, San Joaquin County, we rely on Commission funding to support about a third of our operational budget. We are centralized in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of these questions. DIRECTOR MANGAT: And I would say that Secretary Crowfoot's staff are very engaged with us on this. VICE CHAIR METZ: One of the privileges I've had working with the Commission over the years is to have occasional contact with Natural Resources, and it's been a plus every single time. They're generally interested in what's happening in our world they exercise their oversight policy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today. I just wanted to share with you guys a few things from a law enforcement perspective as far as funding is concerned and how we operate. Four things specifically, one being prevention funding; two, training funding; three, safety grants; and four, equipment grants that we rely on heavily. For my home county, San Joaquin County, we rely on Commission funding to support about a third of our operational budget. We are centralized in the Delta based out of Stockton, so we have many,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of these questions. DIRECTOR MANGAT: And I would say that Secretary Crowfoot's staff are very engaged with us on this. VICE CHAIR METZ: One of the privileges I've had working with the Commission over the years is to have occasional contact with Natural Resources, and it's been a plus every single time. They're generally interested in what's happening in our world they exercise their oversight policy direction (inaudible).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today. I just wanted to share with you guys a few things from a law enforcement perspective as far as funding is concerned and how we operate. Four things specifically, one being prevention funding; two, training funding; three, safety grants; and four, equipment grants that we rely on heavily. For my home county, San Joaquin County, we rely on Commission funding to support about a third of our operational budget. We are centralized in the Delta based out of Stockton, so we have many, many, many miles of waterway that we are responsible
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of these questions. DIRECTOR MANGAT: And I would say that Secretary Crowfoot's staff are very engaged with us on this. VICE CHAIR METZ: One of the privileges I've had working with the Commission over the years is to have occasional contact with Natural Resources, and it's been a plus every single time. They're generally interested in what's happening in our world they exercise their oversight policy direction (inaudible). Lisa, is there anything else? Greg.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today. I just wanted to share with you guys a few things from a law enforcement perspective as far as funding is concerned and how we operate. Four things specifically, one being prevention funding; two, training funding; three, safety grants; and four, equipment grants that we rely on heavily. For my home county, San Joaquin County, we rely on Commission funding to support about a third of our operational budget. We are centralized in the Delta based out of Stockton, so we have many, many, many miles of waterway that we are responsible for seven days a week, 365 days a year. A lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of these questions. DIRECTOR MANGAT: And I would say that Secretary Crowfoot's staff are very engaged with us on this. VICE CHAIR METZ: One of the privileges I've had working with the Commission over the years is to have occasional contact with Natural Resources, and it's been a plus every single time. They're generally interested in what's happening in our world they exercise their oversight policy direction (inaudible).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today. I just wanted to share with you guys a few things from a law enforcement perspective as far as funding is concerned and how we operate. Four things specifically, one being prevention funding; two, training funding; three, safety grants; and four, equipment grants that we rely on heavily. For my home county, San Joaquin County, we rely on Commission funding to support about a third of our operational budget. We are centralized in the Delta based out of Stockton, so we have many, many, many miles of waterway that we are responsible for seven days a week, 365 days a year. A lot of agencies are seasonal up in the lake areas. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of these questions. DIRECTOR MANGAT: And I would say that Secretary Crowfoot's staff are very engaged with us on this. VICE CHAIR METZ: One of the privileges I've had working with the Commission over the years is to have occasional contact with Natural Resources, and it's been a plus every single time. They're generally interested in what's happening in our world they exercise their oversight policy direction (inaudible). Lisa, is there anything else? Greg. GREG URIZA: Greg Uriza, president of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today. I just wanted to share with you guys a few things from a law enforcement perspective as far as funding is concerned and how we operate. Four things specifically, one being prevention funding; two, training funding; three, safety grants; and four, equipment grants that we rely on heavily. For my home county, San Joaquin County, we rely on Commission funding to support about a third of our operational budget. We are centralized in the Delta based out of Stockton, so we have many, many, many miles of waterway that we are responsible for seven days a week, 365 days a year. A lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of these questions. DIRECTOR MANGAT: And I would say that Secretary Crowfoot's staff are very engaged with us on this. VICE CHAIR METZ: One of the privileges I've had working with the Commission over the years is to have occasional contact with Natural Resources, and it's been a plus every single time. They're generally interested in what's happening in our world they exercise their oversight policy direction (inaudible). Lisa, is there anything else? Greg. GREG URIZA: Greg Uriza, president of RVUC. When you say Natural Resources, are you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today. I just wanted to share with you guys a few things from a law enforcement perspective as far as funding is concerned and how we operate. Four things specifically, one being prevention funding; two, training funding; three, safety grants; and four, equipment grants that we rely on heavily. For my home county, San Joaquin County, we rely on Commission funding to support about a third of our operational budget. We are centralized in the Delta based out of Stockton, so we have many, many, many miles of waterway that we are responsible for seven days a week, 365 days a year. A lot of agencies are seasonal up in the lake areas. We operate all year. Our recreation goes from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of these questions. DIRECTOR MANGAT: And I would say that Secretary Crowfoot's staff are very engaged with us on this. VICE CHAIR METZ: One of the privileges I've had working with the Commission over the years is to have occasional contact with Natural Resources, and it's been a plus every single time. They're generally interested in what's happening in our world they exercise their oversight policy direction (inaudible). Lisa, is there anything else? Greg. GREG URIZA: Greg Uriza, president of RVUC. When you say Natural Resources, are you referring to the Department of Water Resources or a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today. I just wanted to share with you guys a few things from a law enforcement perspective as far as funding is concerned and how we operate. Four things specifically, one being prevention funding; two, training funding; three, safety grants; and four, equipment grants that we rely on heavily. For my home county, San Joaquin County, we rely on Commission funding to support about a third of our operational budget. We are centralized in the Delta based out of Stockton, so we have many, many, many miles of waterway that we are responsible for seven days a week, 365 days a year. A lot of agencies are seasonal up in the lake areas. We operate all year. Our recreation goes from waterskis, jet skis, recreational boaters in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DIRECTOR MANGAT: She just stepped out, but yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: We do have, okay. That's very good. I think that's important (inaudible). Such a violation (inaudible) the airports. It's important to have their perspective and involvement as we try to work through some of these questions. DIRECTOR MANGAT: And I would say that Secretary Crowfoot's staff are very engaged with us on this. VICE CHAIR METZ: One of the privileges I've had working with the Commission over the years is to have occasional contact with Natural Resources, and it's been a plus every single time. They're generally interested in what's happening in our world they exercise their oversight policy direction (inaudible). Lisa, is there anything else? Greg. GREG URIZA: Greg Uriza, president of RVUC. When you say Natural Resources, are you referring to the Department of Water Resources or a different organization? At least explain the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	might be. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. My name is Jose Elamon. I'm here on behalf of Cal State Sheriffs Association Boating and Watercraft Safety Committee. My sheriff, San Joaquin County sheriff, is actually the chair, so I'm here on his behalf today. I just wanted to share with you guys a few things from a law enforcement perspective as far as funding is concerned and how we operate. Four things specifically, one being prevention funding; two, training funding; three, safety grants; and four, equipment grants that we rely on heavily. For my home county, San Joaquin County, we rely on Commission funding to support about a third of our operational budget. We are centralized in the Delta based out of Stockton, so we have many, many, many miles of waterway that we are responsible for seven days a week, 365 days a year. A lot of agencies are seasonal up in the lake areas. We operate all year. Our recreation goes from waterskis, jet skis, recreational boaters in the summertime, fishermen, to hunters, fishermen in the

	Page 70		Page 72
-	What that allows us to do with this	1	turned in dispessed of at our local landfill before
1	funding is put six full-time staff there all year		turned in, disposed of at our local landfill, before they hit the water and become tens and thousands of
	round with a supervisor, which is actually rather a		dollars (inaudible) several hundred dollars, but
	robust maritime enforcement, with other agencies		that's very important to us.
	that are seasonal. So I just wanted to share with		In addition to that, is the equipment
	•	5	
	you the importance of that.		grant. Our Delta patrol fleet is state-owned. It's
7	Also, the training budget, as you know,		a state-owned fleet, and they're expensive. They're
	POST has made some cuts as far as what they're going		expensive to purchase. They're expensive to
	to pay for for training several years back, and with		operate. As gas prices go up, everything goes up,
	that we have to compete with our day-to-day	10	5 1 5 11 5
	operations trainings that are going on throughout		funds for vessel replacement, re-power, day-to-day
	the department, department-wide, and we're then to		maintenance, all of those things that if, quite
	send our folks through maritime enforcement, boating		frankly, the local agency would have to pay for out
	accident training, DUI enforcement training, boat		of their own pocket, and if they can't afford that
	operator school, those things are very, very costly,		
	but they're necessary in order to train our folks		enforcement agency, which we can't afford to do in
	properly to go out and do the job.	17	our state.
18	And if we can't come up with the funds	18	So that's a lot to answer your question.
	within county oftentimes the answer is no, so we	19	That's basically my two cents of why I'm here, and
	rely on those training funds from DBW with those	20	5
	MOUs for reimbursement to send our folks to the	21	the Commission for their considerations.
22	proper training.	22	GREG URIZA: Law enforcement is important
23	We have turnover, as every agency does.		to RVUC, recreational boaters. And we commend you
	We have folks that promote out of the unit. We have		for your work, and we would encourage the necessary
25	people that retired. I'm not there yet. But we	25	funding for training, et cetera, especially for
	Page 71		Page 73
1	Page 71 have that constant turnover, and it's important that	1	Page 73 derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an
			-
2	have that constant turnover, and it's important that	2	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an
2	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there	2 3	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it
2 3 4	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding.	2 3 4	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's
2 3 4 5	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency,	2 3 4 5	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can
2 3 4 5 6	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta	2 3 4 5 6	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift
2 3 4 5 6 7	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick	2 3 4 5 6	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick short story: I was on vacation in Florida and on	2 3 4 5 6 7	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for your work, and we hope you get your funds.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick short story: I was on vacation in Florida and on the news popped up an abandoned vessel problem that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for your work, and we hope you get your funds. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you.
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick short story: I was on vacation in Florida and on the news popped up an abandoned vessel problem that the local agency was dealing with over there, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for your work, and we hope you get your funds. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. VICE CHAIR METZ: On law enforcement, we
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick short story: I was on vacation in Florida and on the news popped up an abandoned vessel problem that the local agency was dealing with over there, so it's actually nationwide, but here in California	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for your work, and we hope you get your funds. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. VICE CHAIR METZ: On law enforcement, we have a new nominee from the Governor for our Commission, and I was looking for the email he sent.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick short story: I was on vacation in Florida and on the news popped up an abandoned vessel problem that the local agency was dealing with over there, so it's actually nationwide, but here in California abandoned watercrafts are nothing new. It's only getting worse with having commercial vessels now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for your work, and we hope you get your funds. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. VICE CHAIR METZ: On law enforcement, we have a new nominee from the Governor for our Commission, and I was looking for the email he sent. Ramona, could you sort of advise us as to who that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick short story: I was on vacation in Florida and on the news popped up an abandoned vessel problem that the local agency was dealing with over there, so it's actually nationwide, but here in California abandoned watercrafts are nothing new. It's only getting worse with having commercial vessels now (inaudible). Same thing, it's getting more and more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for your work, and we hope you get your funds. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. VICE CHAIR METZ: On law enforcement, we have a new nominee from the Governor for our Commission, and I was looking for the email he sent. Ramona, could you sort of advise us as to who that individual is? And I assume he's filling that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick short story: I was on vacation in Florida and on the news popped up an abandoned vessel problem that the local agency was dealing with over there, so it's actually nationwide, but here in California abandoned watercrafts are nothing new. It's only getting worse with having commercial vessels now (inaudible). Same thing, it's getting more and more expensive. So we rely on (inaudible) because we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for your work, and we hope you get your funds. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. VICE CHAIR METZ: On law enforcement, we have a new nominee from the Governor for our Commission, and I was looking for the email he sent. Ramona, could you sort of advise us as to who that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick short story: I was on vacation in Florida and on the news popped up an abandoned vessel problem that the local agency was dealing with over there, so it's actually nationwide, but here in California abandoned watercrafts are nothing new. It's only getting worse with having commercial vessels now (inaudible). Same thing, it's getting more and more expensive. So we rely on (inaudible) because we can't necessarily support those removals through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for your work, and we hope you get your funds. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. VICE CHAIR METZ: On law enforcement, we have a new nominee from the Governor for our Commission, and I was looking for the email he sent. Ramona, could you sort of advise us as to who that individual is? And I assume he's filling that position from law enforcement; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick short story: I was on vacation in Florida and on the news popped up an abandoned vessel problem that the local agency was dealing with over there, so it's actually nationwide, but here in California abandoned watercrafts are nothing new. It's only getting worse with having commercial vessels now (inaudible). Same thing, it's getting more and more expensive. So we rely on (inaudible) because we can't necessarily support those removals through county funding, so we rely on the state grant every	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for your work, and we hope you get your funds. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. VICE CHAIR METZ: On law enforcement, we have a new nominee from the Governor for our Commission, and I was looking for the email he sent. Ramona, could you sort of advise us as to who that individual is? And I assume he's filling that position from law enforcement; is that correct? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick short story: I was on vacation in Florida and on the news popped up an abandoned vessel problem that the local agency was dealing with over there, so it's actually nationwide, but here in California abandoned watercrafts are nothing new. It's only getting worse with having commercial vessels now (inaudible). Same thing, it's getting more and more expensive. So we rely on (inaudible) because we can't necessarily support those removals through county funding, so we rely on the state grant every year to support those operations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for your work, and we hope you get your funds. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. VICE CHAIR METZ: On law enforcement, we have a new nominee from the Governor for our Commission, and I was looking for the email he sent. Ramona, could you sort of advise us as to who that individual is? And I assume he's filling that position from law enforcement; is that correct? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: That's correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: He is, okay. He's 50
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick short story: I was on vacation in Florida and on the news popped up an abandoned vessel problem that the local agency was dealing with over there, so it's actually nationwide, but here in California abandoned watercrafts are nothing new. It's only getting worse with having commercial vessels now (inaudible). Same thing, it's getting more and more expensive. So we rely on (inaudible) because we can't necessarily support those removals through county funding, so we rely on the state grant every year to support those operations. My phone rings probably five days a week	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for your work, and we hope you get your funds. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. VICE CHAIR METZ: On law enforcement, we have a new nominee from the Governor for our Commission, and I was looking for the email he sent. Ramona, could you sort of advise us as to who that individual is? And I assume he's filling that position from law enforcement; is that correct? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: That's correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: He is, okay. He's 50 years old. His name is Al Urman. Will he be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick short story: I was on vacation in Florida and on the news popped up an abandoned vessel problem that the local agency was dealing with over there, so it's actually nationwide, but here in California abandoned watercrafts are nothing new. It's only getting worse with having commercial vessels now (inaudible). Same thing, it's getting more and more expensive. So we rely on (inaudible) because we can't necessarily support those removals through county funding, so we rely on the state grant every year to support those operations. My phone rings probably five days a week regarding an abandoned boat or derelict boat or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for your work, and we hope you get your funds. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. VICE CHAIR METZ: On law enforcement, we have a new nominee from the Governor for our Commission, and I was looking for the email he sent. Ramona, could you sort of advise us as to who that individual is? And I assume he's filling that position from law enforcement; is that correct? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: That's correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: He is, okay. He's 50 years old. His name is Al Urman. Will he be joining us at our next meeting?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick short story: I was on vacation in Florida and on the news popped up an abandoned vessel problem that the local agency was dealing with over there, so it's actually nationwide, but here in California abandoned watercrafts are nothing new. It's only getting worse with having commercial vessels now (inaudible). Same thing, it's getting more and more expensive. So we rely on (inaudible) because we can't necessarily support those removals through county funding, so we rely on the state grant every year to support those operations. My phone rings probably five days a week regarding an abandoned boat or derelict boat or vessel turn-in. With the incorporation of vessel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for your work, and we hope you get your funds. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. VICE CHAIR METZ: On law enforcement, we have a new nominee from the Governor for our Commission, and I was looking for the email he sent. Ramona, could you sort of advise us as to who that individual is? And I assume he's filling that position from law enforcement; is that correct? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: That's correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: He is, okay. He's 50 years old. His name is AI Urman. Will he be joining us at our next meeting? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: I hope so,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick short story: I was on vacation in Florida and on the news popped up an abandoned vessel problem that the local agency was dealing with over there, so it's actually nationwide, but here in California abandoned watercrafts are nothing new. It's only getting worse with having commercial vessels now (inaudible). Same thing, it's getting more and more expensive. So we rely on (inaudible) because we can't necessarily support those removals through county funding, so we rely on the state grant every year to support those operations. My phone rings probably five days a week regarding an abandoned boat or derelict boat or vessel turn-in. With the incorporation of vessel turn-ins with the state grant, we've actually seen a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for your work, and we hope you get your funds. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. VICE CHAIR METZ: On law enforcement, we have a new nominee from the Governor for our Commission, and I was looking for the email he sent. Ramona, could you sort of advise us as to who that individual is? And I assume he's filling that position from law enforcement; is that correct? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: That's correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: He is, okay. He's 50 years old. His name is AI Urman. Will he be joining us at our next meeting? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: I hope so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick short story: I was on vacation in Florida and on the news popped up an abandoned vessel problem that the local agency was dealing with over there, so it's actually nationwide, but here in California abandoned watercrafts are nothing new. It's only getting worse with having commercial vessels now (inaudible). Same thing, it's getting more and more expensive. So we rely on (inaudible) because we can't necessarily support those removals through county funding, so we rely on the state grant every year to support those operations. My phone rings probably five days a week regarding an abandoned boat or derelict boat or vessel turn-in. With the incorporation of vessel turn-ins with the state grant, we've actually seen a turn in folks coming in voluntarily as opposed to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for your work, and we hope you get your funds. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. VICE CHAIR METZ: On law enforcement, we have a new nominee from the Governor for our Commission, and I was looking for the email he sent. Ramona, could you sort of advise us as to who that individual is? And I assume he's filling that position from law enforcement; is that correct? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: That's correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: He is, okay. He's 50 years old. His name is AI Urman. Will he be joining us at our next meeting? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: I hope so, yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: Good, great. Well, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick short story: I was on vacation in Florida and on the news popped up an abandoned vessel problem that the local agency was dealing with over there, so it's actually nationwide, but here in California abandoned watercrafts are nothing new. It's only getting worse with having commercial vessels now (inaudible). Same thing, it's getting more and more expensive. So we rely on (inaudible) because we can't necessarily support those removals through county funding, so we rely on the state grant every year to support those operations. My phone rings probably five days a week regarding an abandoned boat or derelict boat or vessel turn-in. With the incorporation of vessel turn in folks coming in voluntarily as opposed to being dumped. So we're starting to see that shift	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for your work, and we hope you get your funds. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. VICE CHAIR METZ: On law enforcement, we have a new nominee from the Governor for our Commission, and I was looking for the email he sent. Ramona, could you sort of advise us as to who that individual is? And I assume he's filling that position from law enforcement; is that correct? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: That's correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: He is, okay. He's 50 years old. His name is AI Urman. Will he be joining us at our next meeting? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: I hope so, yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: Good, great. Well, we need to do more.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	have that constant turnover, and it's important that we maintain our training, and our skills, and there are times that we rely on that funding. State grant funding, not just our agency, but many of our neighbors throughout the Delta community rely on state grant funding. Just a quick short story: I was on vacation in Florida and on the news popped up an abandoned vessel problem that the local agency was dealing with over there, so it's actually nationwide, but here in California abandoned watercrafts are nothing new. It's only getting worse with having commercial vessels now (inaudible). Same thing, it's getting more and more expensive. So we rely on (inaudible) because we can't necessarily support those removals through county funding, so we rely on the state grant every year to support those operations. My phone rings probably five days a week regarding an abandoned boat or derelict boat or vessel turn-in. With the incorporation of vessel turn-ins with the state grant, we've actually seen a turn in folks coming in voluntarily as opposed to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	derelict vessels. That's also important to us as an organization. Because for you and for others, it might just be an eyesore, but for our members it's also a navigational hazard. Derelict boats can break free from their anchors and moorings, drift out and cause serious accidents. So thank you for your work, and we hope you get your funds. DEPUTY ELAMON: Thank you. VICE CHAIR METZ: On law enforcement, we have a new nominee from the Governor for our Commission, and I was looking for the email he sent. Ramona, could you sort of advise us as to who that individual is? And I assume he's filling that position from law enforcement; is that correct? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: That's correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: He is, okay. He's 50 years old. His name is AI Urman. Will he be joining us at our next meeting? DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: I hope so, yes. VICE CHAIR METZ: Good, great. Well, we

	Page 74		Page 76
1	: Yes.	1	for that long.
2	VICE CHAIR METZ: Oxnard, we have a great	2	: So I think it's a statutory
	community up there in Santa Barbara and Oxnard and		transfer now, and \$10 million per year, and about
3			
4	the Channel Islands, great harbors and marine areas.		2.5 of that is to repay debt that the State Water
5	DEPUTY DIRECTOR FERNANDEZ: Any there		Project took on under the Davis Stellwag agreement
6			which essentially specifies that there's a certain
7	VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other comments?		amount of money that shall be used for recreation,
8	Well, I want to thank you all. There is		fishing and wildlife (inaudible) State Parks
	no reason staying around just because we set a noon		facilities. So the \$10 million is for recreation
10	deadline as the ultimate final time.	10	share of that commitment made to Davis Stellwag.
11	This has been very, very helpful. I want	11	So we're working with agency, DWR and the
12	to thank everyone here who has participated in	12	Department of Finance, to get a good understanding
13	planning this and on our panel.	13	5 , 1 5
14	: Are we getting ready to	14	(inaudible).
15	adjourn? Oh, because I do want to	15	: And that represents the state
16	VICE CHAIR METZ: No reason to, but	16	operations or the other departments on the ground?
17	otherwise, go ahead.	17	: That would be under the
18	: I thought I heard Will	18	yellow line for other departments.
19	indicate that he was going to go into some	19	: Okay.
20	information on the Davis Stellwag expenditure	20	: So in '13/'14, that's when
21	(inaudible) fund.	21	that agreement took place, and that's part of the
22	: We're looking at that right	22	trans line increase that you see there of
23	now. We're trying to understand what all of those	23	\$10 million (inaudible).
	expenditures were and what the relationship is at	24	: And that's a lot of money,
25	this point with (inaudible).	25	but I think you that figure is from the inception
	Page 75		Page 77
_	Consultations data and due dilignment in	_	
1	So we're just doing our due diligence in		of Davis Stellwag.
	analyzing the expenditures they made and	2	: Correct.
3	(inaudible). So that's just one of the many things	-	
		3	: Okay. And they are getting
	that we're currently looking at as well.	4	10 million?
5	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is	4 5	10 million?
5 6	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity	4 5 6	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions?
5 6 7	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with	4 5 6 7	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our
5 6 7 8	that we're currently looking at as well. :: Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that	4 5 6 7 8	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting.
5 6 7 8 9	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that the monies that they are getting from the fund	4 5 6 7 8 9	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting. (End of transcript, time marker 1:54:42.)
5 6 7 8 9 10	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that the monies that they are getting from the fund actually have to be for recreation and not something	4 5 7 8 9 10	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that the monies that they are getting from the fund actually have to be for recreation and not something that the contractors should be obligated to in light	4 5 7 8 9 10	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting. (End of transcript, time marker 1:54:42.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that the monies that they are getting from the fund actually have to be for recreation and not something that the contractors should be obligated to in light with what we're looking at as far as the AIS	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting. (End of transcript, time marker 1:54:42.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that the monies that they are getting from the fund actually have to be for recreation and not something that the contractors should be obligated to in light with what we're looking at as far as the AIS program, that if those funds are going towards the	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting. (End of transcript, time marker 1:54:42.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that the monies that they are getting from the fund actually have to be for recreation and not something that the contractors should be obligated to in light with what we're looking at as far as the AIS program, that if those funds are going towards the water project, then we believe the contractors	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting. (End of transcript, time marker 1:54:42.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that the monies that they are getting from the fund actually have to be for recreation and not something that the contractors should be obligated to in light with what we're looking at as far as the AIS program, that if those funds are going towards the water project, then we believe the contractors should have more to do with what's coming out of	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting. (End of transcript, time marker 1:54:42.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that the monies that they are getting from the fund actually have to be for recreation and not something that the contractors should be obligated to in light with what we're looking at as far as the AIS program, that if those funds are going towards the water project, then we believe the contractors should have more to do with what's coming out of their fund than the actual boaters.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting. (End of transcript, time marker 1:54:42.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that the monies that they are getting from the fund actually have to be for recreation and not something that the contractors should be obligated to in light with what we're looking at as far as the AIS program, that if those funds are going towards the water project, then we believe the contractors should have more to do with what's coming out of their fund than the actual boaters. : I think our hope is to create	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting. (End of transcript, time marker 1:54:42.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that the monies that they are getting from the fund actually have to be for recreation and not something that the contractors should be obligated to in light with what we're looking at as far as the AIS program, that if those funds are going towards the water project, then we believe the contractors should have more to do with what's coming out of their fund than the actual boaters. : I think our hope is to create a comfort level with the Commission and stakeholders	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting. (End of transcript, time marker 1:54:42.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that the monies that they are getting from the fund actually have to be for recreation and not something that the contractors should be obligated to in light with what we're looking at as far as the AIS program, that if those funds are going towards the water project, then we believe the contractors should have more to do with what's coming out of their fund than the actual boaters. : I think our hope is to create a comfort level with the Commission and stakeholders on the use of those funds by DWR. So we're going	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting. (End of transcript, time marker 1:54:42.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that the monies that they are getting from the fund actually have to be for recreation and not something that the contractors should be obligated to in light with what we're looking at as far as the AIS program, that if those funds are going towards the water project, then we believe the contractors should have more to do with what's coming out of their fund than the actual boaters. : I think our hope is to create a comfort level with the Commission and stakeholders on the use of those funds by DWR. So we're going back and we're reanalyzing now that it's been	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting. (End of transcript, time marker 1:54:42.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that the monies that they are getting from the fund actually have to be for recreation and not something that the contractors should be obligated to in light with what we're looking at as far as the AIS program, that if those funds are going towards the water project, then we believe the contractors should have more to do with what's coming out of their fund than the actual boaters. : I think our hope is to create a comfort level with the Commission and stakeholders on the use of those funds by DWR. So we're going back and we're reanalyzing now that it's been several years since that initial action was taken.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting. (End of transcript, time marker 1:54:42.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that the monies that they are getting from the fund actually have to be for recreation and not something that the contractors should be obligated to in light with what we're looking at as far as the AIS program, that if those funds are going towards the water project, then we believe the contractors should have more to do with what's coming out of their fund than the actual boaters. : I think our hope is to create a comfort level with the Commission and stakeholders on the use of those funds by DWR. So we're going back and we're reanalyzing now that it's been several years since that initial action was taken. So just to ensure that there are some guardians	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting. (End of transcript, time marker 1:54:42.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that the monies that they are getting from the fund actually have to be for recreation and not something that the contractors should be obligated to in light with what we're looking at as far as the AIS program, that if those funds are going towards the water project, then we believe the contractors should have more to do with what's coming out of their fund than the actual boaters. : I think our hope is to create a comfort level with the Commission and stakeholders on the use of those funds by DWR. So we're going back and we're reanalyzing now that it's been several years since that initial action was taken. So just to ensure that there are some guardians looking out for the use of those funds.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting. (End of transcript, time marker 1:54:42.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that the monies that they are getting from the fund actually have to be for recreation and not something that the contractors should be obligated to in light with what we're looking at as far as the AIS program, that if those funds are going towards the water project, then we believe the contractors should have more to do with what's coming out of their fund than the actual boaters. : I think our hope is to create a comfort level with the Commission and stakeholders on the use of those funds by DWR. So we're going back and we're reanalyzing now that it's been several years since that initial action was taken. So just to ensure that there are some guardians looking out for the use of those funds. : And I didn't realize that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting. (End of transcript, time marker 1:54:42.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that we're currently looking at as well. : Okay. Because our concern is that Davis Stellwag had an overarching opportunity to pull from the fund things that had to do with recreation. And our concern is that to ensure that the monies that they are getting from the fund actually have to be for recreation and not something that the contractors should be obligated to in light with what we're looking at as far as the AIS program, that if those funds are going towards the water project, then we believe the contractors should have more to do with what's coming out of their fund than the actual boaters. : I think our hope is to create a comfort level with the Commission and stakeholders on the use of those funds by DWR. So we're going back and we're reanalyzing now that it's been several years since that initial action was taken. So just to ensure that there are some guardians looking out for the use of those funds.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	10 million? : Correct. VICE CHAIR METZ: Any other questions? Well, thank you all. We stand adjourned until our next regular meeting. (End of transcript, time marker 1:54:42.)

				November 25, 201
	- 73:6	administer (1)	airports (1)	applying (4)
\$	account (1)	31:11	67:6	37:13;57:14;58:12;
Ψ	- 13:4	administration (2)	AIS (3)	66:21
\$1.4 (1)	accounting (1)	39:8,13	29:4;61:13;75:12	appreciate (2)
59:12	18:2	administrations (1)	Al (1)	7:21;9:20
\$10 (3)	accumulative (1)	23:4	73:18	approach (2)
76:3,9,23	11:25	administrative (1)	alert (1)	15:19;23:5
	accurate (1)	6:1	66:2	approaching (1)
\$10.8 (1)	24:17	advance (1)	all-important (1)	22:6
48:19	acre (3)	9:20	40:11	appropriate (6)
\$100,000 (1)	35:22;36:11;45:20	advantageous (2)	allocation (3)	7:9;9:24;18:16,21;
47:23	acreage (1)	36:1,12	43:21,24;62:23	24:17;62:12
\$12 (2)	34:4	advise (1)	allow (2)	appropriated (2)
38:6;47:24	acres (3)	73:12	40:12;54:10	12:2,7
\$13 (1)				
30:25	31:6;35:12,13	advised (1)	allows (1)	appropriation (5)
\$14.8 (1)	across (1)	31:19	70:1	6:2;8:1;11:19;17:11;
59:13	23:16	advisor (1)	alluded (1)	25:13
\$148 (1)	Act (9)	68:14	33:1	appropriations (6)
48:25	7:24;9:2;11:19;	advisors (1)	alone (1)	7:2;9:2;11:19,25;
\$15.9 (1)	25:13;33:15,17,18;	27:4	16:23	21:5;42:14
48:23	34:11,17	affected (1)	along (1)	approved (2)
\$159 (1)	acting (2)	10:3	30:23	17:12;33:3
48:15	4:6,20	afford (2)	alternatives (1)	approximately (2)
\$16 (1)	action (1)	72:14,16	49:21	47:16;55:24
59:7	75:21	aforementioned (1)	Amanda (1)	aquatic (7)
	actions (2)	34:9	68:17	28:5,25;29:25,25;
\$230,000 (1) 50:17	3:12;9:25	ag (1)	America (1)	31:12;33:25;39:19
59:17	actively (1)	46:8	33:7	aquatics (1)
\$3.9 (1)	65:15	again (9)	amount (12)	39:21
39:4	activities (4)	3:15;4:7;12:3;16:16;	6:14,24,24;7:25;	area (3)
\$30 (1)	21:16;23:16;24:1;	20:19,24;40:8;48:25;	8:10;11:20;14:19;	31:6;65:16,16
49:19		20.19,24,40.8,48.23, 52:1		
\$4 (2)	68:8		15:24,24;39:16;53:15;	areas (4)
36:9;49:4	actual (1)	agencies (19)	76:7	54:11;68:2;69:20;
\$446 (1)	75:16	6:21;10:5;11:6;	amounts (1)	74:4
47:22	actually (14)	23:24;47:17,19;48:20;	47:23	argue (3)
\$5 (2)	10:7;11:1;14:20;	49:3,23,25;50:4;51:21;	analysis (2)	40:18;41:1;58:10
18:10,11	17:19;18:24;20:1;26:5;	52:6,7;56:10;57:25;	19:11;41:15	Army (1)
\$5.5 (1)	39:9;54:25;69:6;70:3;	68:5;69:20;70:4	analyzing (1)	32:13
18:22	71:10,21;75:10	agency (17)	75:2	around (9)
\$51 (1)	ad (1)	5:23;8:21;20:21;	anchors (1)	14:14;21:12;30:14;
56:3	4:24	23:25;24:20;31:8;	73:5	32:20;33:11;35:17;
50.5	added (1)	59:25;68:5,11,12,19;	announcements (1)	47:3,4;74:9
Α	5:20	70:23;71:4,9;72:13,16;	65:19	articulate (1)
18	- addition (3)	76:11	annual (1)	36:5
A D (7)	5:20;20:22;72:5	agenda (1)	23:2	articulating (1)
AB (2) 20:14 16	additional (11)	20:4	annually (3)	20:16
30:14,16	5:9;12:7,14,17,18,	ages (1)	48:9;49:19;59:1	aside (1)
abandoned (3)	23;14:2;17:6;50:8;	24:22	apart (3)	55:10
71:8,11,19	55:6;65:4	aging (2)	8:25;17:23;54:2	aspect (3)
ability (9)	additive (1)			
31:20;34:3;35:5;	12.16	49:15;51:6	apology (1)	29:24;30:9;32:5
36:11;38:3;48:5;56:13,	43:16	ago (3)	25:17	aspects (1)
14;61:8	address (2)	4:10;15:21;30:6	apparently (1)	37:18
able (8)	28:2;38:20	agree (2)	57:11	Assembly (1)
4:13;17:2;24:23;	adjourn (2)	24:15;57:2	appears (1)	27:14
31:14;35:24;36:7;	3:20;74:15	agreement (2)	49:16	assessment (7)
37:12;61:21	adjourned (1)	76:5,21	application (2)	30:18;51:6;53:24,25;
absolutely (2)	77:7	agricultural (1)	36:25;60:18	54:6,21;64:5
22:23;65:22	adjustment (1)	32:19	applications (1)	assessments (1)
access (3)	13:19	Agriculture (1)	19:5	50:23
	adjustments (1)	32:13	applied (1)	assets (1)
21.6.40.21.50.22				
21:6;40:21;50:23		ahead (5)	12.16	57.6
accident (1)	13:15	ahead (5) 7·17·9·22·21·22·	12:16 apply (2)	57:6 assist (1)
21:6;40:21;50:23 accident (1) 70:14 accidents (1)		ahead (5) 7:17;9:22;21:22; 71:25;74:17	12:16 apply (2) 36:23;62:16	57:6 assist (1) 4:22

Association (5)	4
4:16;60:20;64:18; 65:15;69:4	6 Bai
assume (6)	2
6:17;20:23;26:5; 58:12;60:16;73:13	Bai
assuming (2)	bas
6:17;21:7 assumption (1)	l bas
21:13 assumptions (1)	1
21:14	6
assure (2) 10:8;22:22	bas 7
attack (1)	3
36:8 attention (3)	bas 2
31:18;42:18,19	bay
attributable (1) 14:21	ea bea
attributed (1)	6
13:18 audio (1)	bec 7
3:1	beg
August (2) 4:19;15:18	beg
authority (1) 5:24	4 Beg
authorization (3)	3
6:22;7:23;12:1 authorized (7)	beg
4:24;6:22;17:11;	beł
30:6,7;47:20;55:25 availability (1)	e beł
61:6	2
available (6) 3:8;53:16;55:10;	ber 3
57:22;58:4;59:24	ber
aware (2) 50:9;62:2	
В	ber
<u> </u>	ber
b2 (1) 13:16	3 ber
back (22)	3
10:8;12:11;15:1; 22:17;23:9,23;24:5,6,	ber 2
11,21;25:5;26:5;41:14;	Bei
42:7;47:6;48:13;53:23; 54:12;59:6;61:23;70:9;	bes
75:20	2 bot
background (2) 33:21;57:17	bet
backing (1) 44:22	bey
balance (12)	big
9:12,14;15:22;16:9, 15;17:17,18;18:4,5,13,	1
16,18	big
balanced (1) 42:2	6 bill
banks (5)	1

49:22:57:19:58:2; 60:5.13 rajas (1) 29:19 rbara (2) 16:22;74:3 se (3) 12:17:14:5.14 sed (8) 14:20;48:3;49:16; 56:12;57:4,16;58:24; 69:17 sically (5) 7:11;24:16;32:25; 38:8;72:19 sis (1) 25:10 y (1) 35:8 ach (3) 6:13:16:21:17:9 come (1) 72:2 gan (3) 30:8;32:20;55:22 gin (2) 4:8;36:25 ginning (1) 3:1 gins (1) 29:16 half (2) 69:3.6 hind (2) 25:13:36:4 neficial (1) 35:20 neficiaries (7) 5:18:6:15:21:24; 32:9.11:40:15:46:22 neficiary (5) 5:21.25:6:6.19:21:7 nefit (2) 38:3;66:5 nefits (1) 32:9 nefitting (1) 24:1 rkeley (3) 49:11,11;51:19 st (1) 29:21 tter (2) 24:8,23 yond (1) 20:11 g (5) 19:3,10;32:15;39:7; 45:9 gest (1) 64:4 lion (1) 16:3

bills (2) 30:8:59:11 biological (2) 33:6;37:21 bit (3) 22:22;33:21;41:12 bits (1) 46:9 block (1) 62:4 blocking (1) 34:15 blue (2) 27:23;35:15 Board (3) 25:9;32:23;33:5 **boat** (5) 21:2;53:1;70:14; 71:19,19 boater (1) 54:20 boaters (13) 10:9;17:2;21:12; 30:19;36:21;46:6,22; 50:23;51:3;53:21; 69:22;72:23;75:16 Boating (33) 3:4;4:22;6:19;7:5; 13:25;21:6;23:16;24:1; 26:19:29:24:32:1.5; 35:16:40:21:43:22: 44:13,19;46:1,2;47:10, 15;48:7;51:5;53:24; 55:14.23:56:15:57:10: 61:23;64:5;68:8;69:4; 70:13 boating/sailing (1) 66:8 boating-related (2) 25:7,9 boats (2) 14:21;73:4 bond (2) 49:24;50:2 bonds (1) 48:6 booms (1) 33:19 borrowed (1) 6:25 both (5) 13:7;23:3;27:12; 29:11:47:12 bottom (1) 24:18 branch (1) 42:12 break (4) 62:24;63:2,3;73:5 breakdown (1) 65:3 breaks (2) 14:6:15:11

breakwaters (1) 49:9 Bridge (2) 31:2:35:8 brief (1) 47:11 briefly (1) 29:8 bring (1) 31:17 broadcast (1) 65:14 broader (4) 23:15;41:13;43:20; 46:10 brought (1) 42:19 budget (26) 6:2;7:24;8:2,3;9:14; 11:19;17:23;18:5,19; 23:2;24:6;25:13;27:1, 7,10;28:2;41:15;42:15, 23;43:8,9,13,15;62:13; 69:16:70:7 budgets (1) 16:14 bunch (1) 51:19 burden (1) 52:9 business (4) 4:11:45:2.7:61:19 businesses (1) 32:18 С cabinet (1) 68:1 cabinet-level (2) 68:3,14 Cal (1) 69:3 calculate (1) 14:20 California (11) 3:4;17:3;20:25; 23:17;33:14;36:21; 40:24;43:9;49:15; 53:22;71:10 call (4) 3:15;28:1;33:13; 41:16 came (4) 12:2;15:20;30:14,17 can (36) 7:19;9:19;15:13; 17:11;19:22;20:8,16, 19;21:11,25;22:21; 23:18:25:8:29:2.14: 31:2,12;32:12;33:13; 35:15;36:10,25;38:9; 45:21;48:14;52:11;

58:3.8.23;60:1.1.5.16; 65:14:66:15:73:4 candid (2) 23:7:44:25 capital (4) 31:21,22;57:12; 59:22 Capitol (1) 37:4 captain (1) 52:14 captains (1) 51:10 capture (1) 51:6 care (1) 44:13 case (3) 23:14;37:15;58:10 cash (1) 18:20 categories (2) 30:20,20 cause (2) 12:17;73:6 caused (1) 29:4 causing (1) 35:9 centralized (1) 69:16 cents (4) 13:9:14:14,15:72:19 century (1) 32:21 certain (3) 31:13;34:20;76:6 certainly (8) 3:25;16:12,17;21:18; 53:14:54:1.5:60:24 cetera (4) 6:3;21:5;38:11; 72:25 **CHAIR (71)** 3:3;4:5;6:11;7:17; 8:15;9:8,16,19;11:23; 12:21,24;15:16;17:16, 20;19:12;20:3,19,23; 24:9,15;25:16,20;26:7; 29:17;36:18;37:25; 40:8;41:10,22;44:4; 46:23,25;47:2;50:15, 17;51:8;52:11;53:4,20; 54:12;56:18;57:2,16; 58:19;60:15;62:20,23; 63:1,4,7,11;64:6,9,13, 25;65:6,9,13,23;66:1, 24;67:3,12;69:6;73:9, 17,22;74:2,7,16;77:6 chairman (1) 9:9 change (2) 12:8:23:1

Min-U-Script®

(2) Association - change

changed (1) 45:6 changes (1) 11:10 Channel (1) 74:4 charge (1) 35:20 charged (1) 16:25 charging (1) 22:5 chart (10) 7:5;14:6;15:11,19; 22:21;26:18,20,22; 35:15,23 chase (1) 15:4 checking (1) 52:22 chief (1) 47:9 chooses (1) 41:4 chopping (1) 62:4 chosen (1) 44:1 chronological (1) 5:17 cited (1) 5:24 cities (2) 48:6.21 City (3) 48:18;49:10;52:10 claimant (1) 40:12 claimants (4) 38:18.18:40:11.11 claims (2) 21:1:38:7 clarification (2) 65:5;68:22 clarified (1) 7:19 Clark (8) 36:20,20;37:23;47:5; 53:18,19,21;55:3 clear (5) 10:17;20:13,14,15; 25:11 clearer (1) 22:22 clearly (2) 23:13;44:23 closely (2) 27:2;68:20 Code (4) 13:2;14:11:47:21; 56:1 codes (2) 15:4:61:20

coincided (1) 38:25 collaborate (1) 31:9 collaboration (1) 39:13 colleague (1) 4:25 collect (1) 21:3 combined (1) 48:22 comfort (1) 75:18 coming (8) 19:20;33:22;37:9; 41:25;47:7;54:22; 71:22;75:15 Commencing (1) 13:16 commend (1) 72:23 comment (3) 3:23;45:17;53:18 comments (5) 3:21;9:8;62:18;74:6, 7 commercial (7) 49:22;55:15,16; 57:19:60:5,13:71:12 **Commission** (29) 3:4.8.18:4:14.23:5:7. 10;7:13;8:20;15:17,21; 16:3,18;20:8;24:19; 27:12;29:18;53:23; 54:14;57:5;60:9;64:19, 20;65:20;67:13;69:15; 72:21:73:11:75:18 **Commissioner** (14) 5:1;10:15,21;11:3, 14:20:12.17:22:3.14. 19:26:2:64:23:66:6.17 commissioners (1) 47:6 commitment (2) 30:24;76:10 committee (2) 4:24;69:5 community (5) 30:13;32:2;61:24; 71:6:74:3 compete (1) 70:10 competed (1) 61:12 competitive (1) 50:11 complete (1) 66:20 completes (1) 63:7 completion (1) 8:7

compliment (1) 30:23 component (1) 19:10 components (1) 14:13 concern (3) 62:8;75:5,8 concerned (2) 63:13:69:10 concise (1) 3:21 condition (5) 5:3;26:21;43:1;48:3; 56:12 conditions (1) 32:6 conducting (1) 64:20 conference (1) 64:24 confess (1) 20:13 confirm (2) 15:1;20:18 conjunction (2) 4:15;64:19 connection (2) 24:4;34:22 consent (1) 6:9 consider (1) 62:17 consideration (1) 62:10 considerations (1) 72:21 considering (2) 20:6:49:10 consists (1) 4:25 constant (1) 71:1 constituency (5) 24:19,20,20,25;51:9 constituents (1) 4:17 constrains (1) 35:4 constraints (1) 34:10 construct (2) 48:1;56:7 constructed (1) 48:10 construction (2) 47:24;49:13 contact (2) 66:10;67:14 contain (1) 64:9 content (1) 24:7

context (2) 15:15:32:17 continually (1) 31:15 continue (1) 28:14 continued (1) 57:9 contractors (2) 75:11,14 contribute (1) 32:4 Control (13) 25:9;30:1;31:11; 32:19;33:19,24;34:3,5; 35:5,6;36:13;37:7; 40:18 controlled (1) 47:19 conversation (4) 4:10:27:9:42:23; 61:12 conversations (1) 68:15 cooperate (1) 31:8 cooperative (1) 35:19 coordinating (1) 64:17 Coronado (1) 3:5 correctly (1) 14:11 correlated (1) 36:7 correlating (1) 35:23 correlation (1) 35:14 correlative (1) 33:22 cost (5) 30:15;35:22;36:11; 45:20;61:7 costing (1) 62:4 costly (1) 70:15 costs (1) 36:1 counsel (1) 29:21 County (5) 69:5,14,14;70:19; 71:16 couple (2) 28:3.7 course (2) 16:5:39:14 crack (2) 20:16:22:18 Craft (1)

5:16Craig (4) 14:4,5:15:7,11 create (2) 21:3:75:17 created (3) 6:18;23:10;28:1 creation (1) 5:14 credible (1) 25:1 critical (1) 58:10 criticism (1) 22:13 cross (1) 38:3 Crowfoot (1) 68:3 Crowfoot's (1) 67:10 crux (1) 33:9 **CSF** (1) 35:8 cumbersome (1) 59:24 cumulative (3) 6:3,24;13:6 curious (1) 55:6 current (5) 26:21:35:13:41:18: 49:5:50:12 currently (6) 29:11;30:25;31:1; 49:2:59:15:75:4 custodians (1) 22:1 cut (1) 62:5 cuts (1) 70:8 D **Dam** (1) 31:5 damaged (1)

Dam (1) 31:5 damaged (1) 61:17 dams (1) 37:9 Dana (3) 16:22;49:25;51:2 dark (1) 24:22 data (9) 3:11;4:12;7:18;9:10; 10:18;20:4,5;52:22; 66:2 date (5) 4:13;5:13;9:11; 11:25;37:10

		1	1	November 25, 201
dates (2)	67:22;68:6,7,9,16;	direction (2)	31:2	46:22
5:17;18:2	70:12;76:12	22:6;67:18	down (11)	eight (2)
Davis (6)	departments (6)	directly (2)	7:16;13:4;14:7;	47:25;56:6
6:11;74:20;75:6;	8:4,15;28:19;68:10;	36:7;58:14	15:12;19:16,21;36:1;	either (4)
76:5,10;77:1	76:16,18	Director (46)	45:20;52:1;62:3;66:20	4:12;48:5;49:21;
day (3)	Department's (1)	4:1,4,6,20;9:13,17;	drift (1)	72:15
35:7;37:16;65:21	7:7	10:23;20:12,20;22:3;	73:5	ELAMON (3)
days (6)	department-wide (1)	26:1,8,16;27:18;29:18,	drinking (1)	69:2,3;73:8
23:10;34:25;35:2;		19,20,20;38:22;39:3,6,	46:9	eliminating (1)
69:19,19;71:18	depending (2)	11,20;41:8,12,23;	driven (1)	54:3
day-to-day (2)	16:6;38:15	42:11;44:6;46:19;63:6;	34:10	else (8)
70:10;72:11	deposited (2)	64:3,7,12,15;65:2,10,	driver (1)	4:7;14:3;17:3;41:6;
DB (1)	13:10,20	22,25;66:15,23;67:1,9,	39:18	44:1;65:11;66:13;
10:24	deputy (35)	25;73:15,20;74:5	drivers (2)	67:19
DBW (10) 3:17;5:20;7:10;10	4:6,20;9:13,17;	discharge (3) 32:25;33:4;37:8	29:3;36:6	elsewhere (1) 14:3
21:23;29:1,3;57:14		discharging (1)	drought (2) 38:25;39:12	email (2)
66:12;70:20	39:3,6,11,20;41:8,12,	33:1	DRW (1)	4:20;73:11
deadline (2)	23;63:6;64:3,7,12,15;	discuss (1)	28:6	enacted (1)
60:21;74:10	65:2,10,22,25;66:15,	63:9	dry (1)	12:8
deal (1)	23;69:2;73:8,15,20;	discussed (1)	36:16	encourage (1)
12:13	74:5	59:22	Due (2)	72:24
dealing (2)	derelict (3)	discussion (5)	49:14;75:1	encouragement (1)
51:19;71:9	71:19;73:1,4	42:15;46:10;63:7,10;	DUI (1)	66:9
debt (1)	describing (1)	64:21	70:14	End (1)
76:4	24:3	discussions (5)	dumped (1)	77:9
decision (3)	designated (1)	3:10,11;27:11;47:13;	71:23	Endangered (3)
38:12,13;40:16	5:21	63:12	DWR (2)	33:17;34:11,16
decision-making (2)		disposed (1)	75:19;76:11	ended (1)
3:9;41:11	11:15	72:1	E.	44:3
decisions (4)	detect (1)	dissolve (1)	E	enforcement (9)
23:3,21;38:16;42:		72:15	(1)	68:24;69:9;70:4,13,
dedicated (1)	determine (2)	distinguished (2)	ear (1)	14;72:16,22;73:9,14
28:9 defeult (2)	18:16;19:1	3:25;50:7 distribution (1)	72:20	engaged (2) 67:10;68:13
default (2) 48:18,23	develop (1) 47:18	12:13	earlier (5) 29:8;31:23;32:10;	
defaults (2)	developing (1)	districts (2)	34:5;35:25	engagement (2) 42:9;66:9
59:9,12	27:6	32:18;51:11	early (4)	engaging (1)
deficit (1)	development (1)	diverged (1)	34:2;35:24;36:25;	41:20
41:17	49:24	27:25	42:22	enjoy (3)
definitely (2)	devoted (1)	diverted (1)	earthquake (1)	32:3,4,6
8:6;62:16	5:10	11:16	61:14	enjoys (1)
degrees (1)	difference (3)	division (11)	easily (1)	32:2
34:25	10:19,20;36:10	10:2,8;22:25;23:13;	22:15	enough (3)
delete (1)	different (11)	29:24;30:15;31:6,25;	East (2)	18:20;30:17;50:1
61:19	11:6,11;23:7;28:16;	44:20;45:15;47:10	16:21;51:17	ensure (2)
delinquent (1)	30:11;33:18;36:23;	divisions (1)	ebbing (1)	75:8,22
48:20	45:23;49:3;59:16;	3:13	35:7	entire (3)
Delta (17)	67:23	Division's (3)	economy (4)	12:16;37:8;40:23
8:18,19;30:4,5;	difficult (1)	7:6;30:3;35:5	32:1,4;48:3;56:12	entirely (1)
31:13;32:2,15,15;		docks (4)	Ed (1)	24:17
34:11,12;35:6;36:		50:22;51:14;57:6; 63:24	29:1 Eddia (1)	entities (4)
40:19,22;69:17;71 72:6		documents (1)	Eddie (1) 45:22	31:10;32:15;35:19; 59:22
demand (5)	diligence (1) 75:1	41:15	45:22 Ed's (1)	entities' (2)
19:2;29:11;53:9,9;		dollar (3)	29:14	48:4;56:14
61:2	47:1,4,9;50:16;51:5,	6:4;43:25;44:2	educating (1)	entrained (1)
democracy (1)	16;52:13;53:1;55:4,12,	dollars (4)	43:1	34:18
33:12	16;56:19,22,25;57:15,	16:4;48:11;72:3,3	effective (2)	Environment (1)
Department (19)	19;58:5,18,22;59:4;	done (6)	45:19;46:12	31:25
4:2;6:19;10:2;21:8		6:7;10:6;12:6;28:18;	effectively (1)	environmental (3)
26:23;27:5;28:4,24		52:24;63:20	56:9	32:6,22;33:15
32:13,14;40:1;43:		door (1)	effort (1)	equates (1)

				November 25, 2019
48:23	expensive (4)	feel (3)	11:3	25:4;46:12
equipment (3)	71:14;72:7,8,8	42:20;51:11,12	five (8)	four (4)
69:13;72:5,10	explain (3)	feeling (2)	47:25;49:18;50:10;	59:9,16;69:10,13
equity (4)	24:21,24;67:23	50:25;60:24	56:6;59:15;60:4;66:19;	frame (1)
57:21;58:2;60:6,13	expression (2)	feels (2)	71:18	37:3
equivalent (2)	23:20;24:17	40:1,9	five-minute (1)	Francisco (2)
_		40.1,9 fell (1)	63:1	
33:16,16 eradicate (1)	extensive (1) 57:17	54:2	fleet (2)	16:21;51:17 frankly (1)
31:14	extent (5)	felt (1)	72:6,7	72:13
erosion (1)	9:10,19;18:19;31:11;	26:22	flexibility (1)	free (1)
6:13	65:13	FERNANDEZ (18)	17:8	73:5
especially (5)	extremely (1)	9:13,17;10:23;29:21;	flexible (1)	freed-up (1)
11:9;19:23;35:3;	34:4	63:6;64:3,7,12,15;65:2,	59:24	19:23
61:16;72:25	eyes (1)	10,22,25;66:15,23;	floating (4)	freely (1)
essentially (5)	23:6	73:15,20;74:5	34:23;37:16;39:19,	63:17
14:13;15:7;30:5;	eyesore (1)	few (4)	20	frequently (1)
43:21;76:6	73:3	4:10;50:4;54:20;	flooding (1)	36:2
established (4)	13.5	69:8	35:8	fresh (1)
10:6;22:24,25;59:3	F	figure (2)	floor (1)	23:6
establishes (1)	-	11:2;76:25	27:13	Friant (1)
22:7	face (1)	figures (1)	Florida (1)	31:5
et (4)	46:14	76:13	71:7	friends (1)
6:3;21:5;38:11;	facilitate (1)	filling (1)	fluctuate (2)	47:3
72:25	56:15	73:13	48:2;56:11	fuel (1)
even (3)	facilities (4)	final (2)	flushed (1)	13:4
17:8;25:4;55:9	19:7;50:22;53:2;	15:17;74:10	37:14	fuel-based (1)
everybody (2)	76:9	finally (2)	focus (4)	14:12
43:1;44:15	facility (1)	7:3;54:21	28:24;31:25;39:8;	full (4)
everyone (3)	64:5	Finance (8)	43:17	6:15;18:1;48:25;
46:17;51:24;74:12	facing (1)	26:23;27:5;40:3,3;	focusing (1)	56:20
everyone's (1)	27:20	41:24;42:3;68:16;	44:20	full-time (1)
42:19	fact (7)	76:12	folks (17)	70:2
evolved (2)	3:17;16:19;33:2;	financial (12)	14:6;20:14,14;29:2;	fully (1)
30:10,21	38:5;42:22;45:5;60:24	5:3,18;6:5;11:24;	32:12;42:17;44:13;	52:2
evolving (1)	factor (1)	12:4;21:22,25;26:3;	45:8,13;58:14;60:7;	function (1)
33:10	50:21	31:22;54:16;62:10,13	66:11;70:13,16,21,24;	57:9
exactly (4)	facts (1)	financials (2)	71:22	functions (1)
10:17;14:10;17:13;	58:9	33:23;48:14	following (1)	21:16
26:9	faith (1)	find (2)	5:5	Fund (79)
examine (1)	10:11	9:23;38:10	follows (1)	5:5,15,17;6:17,23,
57:3	fall (1)	fine (1)	4:21	25;7:6;9:24;13:11,12,
excise (2)	27:6	25:2	forecast (1)	21,23;15:5,6,9,22,24;
14:5,13	family (1)	firms (1)	62:11	16:7,8,9,13,14;17:14,
excited (1)	26:19	58:3	Forecasting (2)	15,16,18;18:1,4,4,13,
45:21	far (10)	first (5)	49:12;59:21	15,20;19:4,4,11;21:4,
executive (1)	8:6;31:3,4;43:19;	4:11;21:22,23;28:8;	Forget (1)	10;22:23,24,25;23:9,
42:12	48:13;63:12,12;69:9;	42:18	6:24	11,15,24;24:14;25:6,
exercise (3)	70:8;75:12	fiscal (4)	forgo (1)	24;26:11,22,24;27:17,
25:4;28:19;67:17	fashions (1)	20:2;27:4;68:18,18	58:23	20;29:7;38:8,18;40:10,
existing (3)	35:19	Fish (5)	form (1)	12;42:6;43:1,3;44:7;
15:6;48:4;56:13	fast (1)	33:8;34:16,17;37:4;	12:2	46:2;48:11,16;49:5;
expand (1)	36:15	68:6	formal (2)	50:20;51:22;54:10;
40:1	faster (1)	Fisheries (1)	3:12;65:19	56:4;59:8,18;61:11;
expect (1)	60:1 Fohmomy (3)	37:5	formula (1)	65:7,12;74:21;75:7,9,
44:11	February (3)	fishermen (3)	12:8 forth (7)	16,25 fundamental (2)
expectations (1)	25:25;26:6,9	51:3;69:23,23 Fishery (1)	forth (7)	fundamental (2)
20:10 expenditure (2)	federal (8) 31:9;32:12,23;33:3,	Fishery (1) 33:8	21:14;24:22;54:17; 57:6;63:24,25;65:23	29:5;46:20 funded (1)
29:6;74:20	15;38:10;53:12;55:9	55:8 fishing (3)	57:0;05:24,25;05:25 forward (9)	48:9
expenditures (11)	fee (1)	21:2;32:3;76:8	11:9;19:2,10;20:6;	48.9 funding (34)
16:1;27:23;28:11,18;	28:8	fit (1)	21:24;39:9,13;42:6;	23:18;35:12,15,17,
29:4;31:16;38:4;41:17;	feedback (2)	25:14	43:14	18;39:12,14;43:11;
42:2;74:24;75:2	24:11;66:12	fitting (1)	found (2)	46:3,6;48:2;49:25;
12.2,17.27,13.2	21.11,00.12			10.5,0,70.2,77.25,

50:3,4,8;51:1;53:17;	6;18:5;28:10;30:23;	21:9;27:17	historically (4)	important (36)
55:6,13;59:22;60:6,9,	31:3,4;38:14;69:21;	happening (4)	11:13;26:24;48:8;	4:16;7:12,18;12:1;
11,12;69:10,11,12,15;	72:9	26:24;27:12;43:3;	49:12	17:1;20:1,7;21:19;
70:2;71:3,4,6,16;72:25	good (20)	67:16	history (1)	24:13;25:18;27:2,8;
funds (24)	11:2,5,24;19:12,16;	happens (2)	65:7	32:16;38:18;45:12;
5:22,25;10:6;11:11;	23:10;24:10;27:19;	43:6,13	hit (6)	46:5,21;47:7;50:19,19;
17:6,6,10,24;19:23;	29:17,22;31:14;34:4;	happy (1)	19:25;29:8;32:21;	51:15;53:14;54:15;
22:9;23:20,23;24:3;	37:24;41:22;46:18;	36:17	45:18,22;72:2	57:1,11;64:14;65:17;
36:9;43:24;47:17;60:3;	51:7;52:14;67:4;73:22;	Harbor (8)	hits (1)	66:2;67:4,6;68:5,12;
70:18,20;72:11;73:7;	76:12	5:16;16:21,22;17:10;	51:24	71:1;72:4,22;73:1
75:13,19,23	government (5)	51:10,10;52:13;54:24	hitting (1)	impose (1)
Fund's (1)	23:1;32:12,23;33:3;	Harbors (26)	51:25	62:11
18:18	46:21	5:4,14;9:23;13:10,	hoc (1)	imposed (1)
further (2)	Governor (3)	20;14:23;15:8,23;	4:24	13:18
7:16;9:15	6:25;67:25;73:10	17:14;18:18;33:18;	Hold (1)	impossible (1)
future (12)	Governor's (5)	35:16;47:20;48:11,16;	6:15	20:9
3:11,12;19:15;49:12;	17:22;18:19;27:4,6;	49:4;50:22;56:1,4;	holistic (1)	impress (1)
50:24;51:23;59:21;	68:13	59:7,17;60:3,11;65:6,	43:20	27:15
60:12;61:7,22;62:7;	grant (7)	12;74:4	home (1)	inaudible (46)
63:23	47:24;71:4,6,16,21;	HARD (9)	69:14 hone (4)	7:20,24;8:11,16;
G	72:6,10	29:16;37:2,24;38:20;	hope (4)	9:15;10:25;12:18;
Ե	grants (3)	39:2,5,10,18;46:24	20:11;73:7,20;75:17	16:14;19:21;21:17;
20m2 (2)	47:10;69:12,13	harping (1) 19:18	hopefully (1) 43:2	22:2;25:10,15,24,25;
game (2) 61:20;71:25	great (6) 32:7;45:14;46:13;	hate (1)		28:12;29:6;39:4;40:16; 41:23;45:22;50:19;
,	73:22;74:2,4	61:18	hour (2) 42.621	52:20,21;53:24;55:2;
gas (2) 21:2;72:9	green (3)	hazard (1)	42:6,21 housed (1)	58:21;62:14,16,17;
gasoline (2)	27:22;34:1;46:16	73:4	23:12	63:8,9,10;65:1;67:5,5,
12:11;14:21	Greg (5)	health (3)	huge (4)	18;71:13,14;72:3;
gathering (1)	67:19,20,20;68:21;	19:10;32:1,5	16:20;31:6;35:9;	74:21,25;75:3;76:8,14,
18:3	72:22	hear (3)	52:9	23
gearing (1)	ground (1)	45:8;50:6;52:5	human (1)	inception (2)
19:22	76:16	heard (4)	31:21	6:5;76:25
gee (1)	group (1)	51:23;52:6;57:17;	hundred (1)	included (3)
38:13	60:20	74:18	72:3	21:5;28:6;64:2
general (4)	groups (2)	Hearing (2)	hunters (1)	includes (1)
8:22;13:12;15:6,9	21:16;63:22	4:8;58:16	69:23	17:20
generally (3)	grown (1)	heavily (1)	HWRF (2)	including (3)
39:23;59:11;67:16	45:13	69:13	5:19,25	5:20;48:17;52:12
generate (1)	guardians (1)	held (1)	hyacinth (1)	income (1)
65:24	75:22	5:11	30:10	16:7
generated (2)	guess (2)	help (4)	hyacinths (1)	incorporation (1)
48:15;59:6	14:1;45:18	12:5;37:22;61:16;	39:19	71:20
generating (1)	guests (3)	68:1	hypothetical (2)	increase (11)
49:9	3:25;50:7;60:4	helpful (7)	20:24,24	12:14;13:5;14:18;
generator (2)	gurus (1)	19:7;25:5,12;26:23;	-	38:3,23;39:16;41:5;
19:3;45:9	68:18	34:6;73:25;74:11	I	43:17,18;49:17;76:22
gets (4)	guys (1)	helps (1)		increased (2)
15:7;22:13;40:5;	69:8	15:14	idea (1)	12:12;13:8
43:17	TT	herbicides (1)	52:14	incremental (4)
give-and-take (1)	Н	33:2 h and (1)	ideas (1)	35:18;43:8,17,18
3:10	h - 99 (1)	hey (1)	42:10	incrementally (2)
given (4)	hailing (1)	51:21 bioromoty (1)	identify (1)	43:4;44:22
34:3;36:22;37:3;	3:5 handouts (1)	hierarchy (1)	31:10	indicate (1) 74:19
61:2 gives (1)	handouts (1) 26:4	65:14 high (3)	impact (3) 30:19;35:10;37:12	indicated (1)
27:19	20:4 hands (1)	30:16;37:8;44:23	impacted (1)	9:9
giving (1)	63:5	higher (1)	10:3	individual (4)
61:1	Hang (2)	45:15	impacting (1)	23:2;30:17;68:3;
glad (2)	8:19;46:7	highest (1)	29:6	73:13
4:7;47:8	happen (3)	43:23	importance (4)	individuals (2)
goes (13)	23:8;37:10;61:22	historical (3)	26:17;27:15;43:25;	21:16;68:20
13:12;14:16,24;15:5,	happened (2)	11:4;15:15;21:18	70:6	inform (1)
,,,,,,,,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.,		- \-/

Commission Workshop November 25, 2019

	T	T		November 25, 2019
38:16	invite (1)	16,21;28:11;29:5;40:5;	ledger (1)	20:1;29:9;45:2;47:1,
informal (1)	3:3	42:22,25;44:3;45:11,	17:24	12,13,23,24;48:7;49:6,
3:8	involved (2)	14;58:9;63:7,8;65:8;	left (4)	10;50:2,10;52:9;55:19,
informally (1)	3:9;61:3	68:18	4:7;29:18;41:3,6	21;56:5,15;60:5
65:18	involvement (1)	kinds (2)	legal (2)	loaned (1)
information (16)	67:7	21:16;59:23	29:21;31:19	52:23
5:5;7:11;8:8;11:5,21,	involves (1)	knows (1)	legislation (2)	loans (36)
22;25:3;36:4;52:12;	40:23	47:3	31:24;39:9	16:5,10,20;17:5,21,
54:15;55:1;60:16;64:2;	Irrigation (1)		legislative (1)	25;18:8,17,21,23,25;
65:5,16;74:20	41:24	L	38:24	19:2;21:5;29:12;44:24;
infrastructure (7)	Islands (1)		Legislature (12)	47:10,16,22;48:9,15,
49:8,9,15;51:2;58:2;	74:4	LA (1)	9:25;17:9;18:15;	18,21;49:2,3,7,17;50:9;
61:16;63:23	issue (2)	46:8	23:3;30:12;31:7;35:20;	54:8;55:7,24;56:3,16;
inherent (1)	48:5;68:15	lack (1)	38:14;39:14;41:4;	59:15,16,20;63:24
44:9	issued (5)	60:17	42:13;44:10	local (8)
initial (1)	47:23;48:15;56:3,16,	lake (1)	lend (2)	31:9;32:4,16,17,18;
75:21	21	69:20	49:23;57:20	71:9;72:1,13
initiation (1)	issues (3)	land (1)	lending (4)	long (5)
38:4	5:2;20:6;47:7	45:10	49:16,20,21;56:13	15:21;16:21;17:9;
initiative (1)	item (2)	landfill (1)	LENORA (9)	41:24;76:1
		72:1		,
28:20	15:17;53:8		36:20,20;37:23;	longer (2)
inland (1)	items (2)	landscape (1)	53:18,18,20,21;54:19;	23:14;56:7
57:7	9:3;63:25	45:6	55:3	longevity (1)
inside (1)	т	Lane (4)	lens (1)	20:2
26:18	J	6:10;9:1,7,7	42:12	look (17)
instance (1)		LAO (1)	less (3)	18:17;19:22;23:6,17,
48:6	January (5)	41:15	53:16;59:24;62:12	19;24:2;25:4;38:6;
instead (4)	27:7,10;34:2;58:25;	large (1)	letters (1)	40:10;43:2,20;51:7;
13:11,22;21:1;44:22	59:1	32:22	52:21	54:24;55:9;58:8;61:10,
instruments (1)	jet (1)	largely (1)	level (3)	24
49:24	69:22	57:7	43:11;68:2;75:18	looked (3)
intended (1)	Joaquin (4)	larger (3)	life (5)	15:3;18:9,9
21:24	30:5;31:5;69:5,14	40:20;48:6;54:3	24:7;47:21;48:19;	looking (24)
intent (1)	job (4)	last (15)	56:2;59:8	8:2;11:12;12:3;
21:11	24:8;45:14;46:13;	10:2;18:9;19:8;	lifecycle (1)	20:14,21;21:22;23:23;
interest (20)	70:17	29:21;31:17;36:15;	34:3	26:10;43:16;44:7,8;
16:6,24;17:25;18:3,	joining (1)	37:9;38:23;39:22;	light (1)	46:4;51:22,22;52:20;
10;45:5;48:15;49:5;	73:19	47:15;48:13;49:14;	75:11	54:3,7,13;66:12;73:11;
50:11;53:11,16;58:13,	Jose (1)	55:22;56:16;59:13	limits (1)	74:22;75:4,12,23
19;59:7,17,18;60:25;	69:3	late (2)	40:14	looks (5)
61:2;65:24;68:25	July (2)	35:24;36:3	line (11)	12:20,22;18:15;19:9;
interested (5)	13:5,6	later (5)	10:12;24:18;27:22,	73:24
11:4;19:14;30:13;		5:7,11;11:9;34:8;	23;28:4,6;34:1;35:12;	loss (2)
55:7;67:16	K	58:22	54:6;76:18,22	48:22;59:12
interesting (2)		launching (1)	lines (2)	lost (1)
34:1;73:25	Kathryn (1)	53:2	27:24,25	59:11
interpretation (1)	29:22	law (5)	lion (1)	lot (14)
63:18	kayakers (1)	68:24;69:9;72:22;	46:6	21:9;26:20;32:11;
interpreting (1)	51:4	73:9,14	Lisa (5)	34:15;36:4,5;37:2;
14:11	keep (7)	lead (1)	4:1,2;27:18;38:21;	42:21;44:25;52:7;
interrupt (2)	3:19,20;17:23;18:1,	31:8	67:19	63:11;69:19;72:18;
26:16;63:15	12;19:18;34:11	leadership (1)	list (1)	76:24
into (17)	kept (2)	54:13	66:11	lots (2)
7:12;8:23;10:10,10;	10:11;16:12	leap (1)	listed (2)	4:18,18
14:16;25:14;28:8,10;	Keren (11)	24:9	6:12;9:3	lower (2)
34:23;35:25;43:5;	18:24;25:12;47:1,2,	lease (1)	listing (1)	21:13;34:5
44:22;49:4;53:6,25;	9;50:18;52:19;54:13;	58:1	6:15	
59:17;74:19	59:2;62:20;66:16	leases (1)	little (6)	\mathbf{M}
invasive (6)	killed (1)	57:21	29:7;33:21;35:23;	
28:5,25;29:25;30:1;	34:18	least (3)	41:12;45:5;46:9	magnitude (1)
31:12;33:25	kind (23)	9:9;57:4;67:23	live (3)	16:13
investing (1)	8:23;14:1,8;19:25;	leave (1)	23:2;40:19,22	maintain (2)
43:4	22:5;24:2;26:14;27:9,	12:23	loan (19)	36:13;71:2
	, , , , ,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING Schedule@ScribeReporting.com

	1	1	[November 25, 2019
maintenance (3)	6:20;10:5,7,13;	19:6,14,19;31:14;	70:21	56:11;59:21;60:10;
52:8;53:7;72:12	11:22;23:11;34:17;	61:21;66:18;69:1;73:3	move (2)	61:16;62:5,12,24;
majority (1)	41:3;42:20;44:10;	miles (1)	35:9;55:20	73:23
48:10	49:17	69:18	moving (1)	needed (2)
makes (4)	maybe (7)	million (27)	28:16	54:11;60:9
22:21;24:12;38:12;	22:20;23:9;46:10;	18:10,11,22;30:25;	MRA (2)	needing (3)
40:15	61:14,15,23;62:15	34:13;36:9;38:6,14,15;	64:19,24	34:13;45:24;51:20
making (4)	May's (1)	39:4;40:24;47:22,24;	much (16)	needs (22)
16:20;22:2;24:23;	25:25	48:15,19,23,25;49:4,	8:12;9:21;11:15;	20:4;21:23;42:18;
42:1	mean (10)	19;56:3;59:7,12,13;	16:9;18:16;26:12;34:5;	46:8,8;50:9,22,23,24;
Mall (1)	23:24;31:19;40:14;	76:3,9,23;77:4	40:20;44:5;46:15;47:6;	51:3,13;53:25;54:5,7,
37:4	44:8;46:12;50:15;61:4,	mind (2)	57:21;60:1,25,25;	20;57:12,12,13;63:23;
manage (3)	6,9;66:17	3:19;34:12	61:25	64:2,5;66:2
31:12;56:15;58:8	meaning (1)	minus (1)	multi-month (1)	neighbors (1)
mandatory (1)	31:21	43:15	42:15	71:5
31:22	means (2)	minute (1)	multiple (1)	nested (3)
Mangat (13)	31:20;41:17	30:21	17:6	68:5,8,10
4:1,4;20:12,20;22:3;	mechanisms (1)	minutes (2)	must (3)	net (2)
26:16;29:18;42:11;	33:19	4:10;36:16	20:13;33:4;37:5	12:14,17
44:6;46:19;67:1,9,25	meet (2)	missing (2)	myriad (1)	networks (1)
many (9)	26:20;66:3	10:25:58:16	33:11	66:8
15:3;19:24;56:23;	meeting (15)	mission (1)	myself (2)	nevertheless (4)
57:5;69:17,18,18;71:5;	4:14,16,17;5:9;7:13;	31:7	5:1;66:13	17:1,10;21:13;63:16
75:3	20:7;25:25;57:11;58:7;	mistake (1)		new (5)
March (4)	63:14;64:21;65:21,21;	44:18	Ν	9:2;10:6;49:10;
34:21;35:4;37:5,11	73:19;77:8	mitigation (1)		71:11;73:10
marina (24)	meetings (3)	34:18	nail (1)	news (1)
32:17;47:12,13,22;	3:18;27:12;57:8	Monday (1)	45:22	71:8
49:11,13,15,17,23;	members (4)	7:4	name (6)	next (16)
51:6,17,20;53:5;55:21,	29:17;44:12;68:1;	money (15)	3:22;9:5;47:9;57:8;	4:14;10:24;20:7;
24;56:3,5,8;58:1,1,20;	73:3	8:4;11:11,12;18:20,	69:2;73:18	30:6;33:23;35:22;
59:10;60:20;63:24	memorialize (1)	21;25:6;52:8;53:6;	namely (1)	38:14;46:25;49:18;
marinas (17)	10:18	54:7,10;55:9;61:10;	7:4	50:10;51:12;54:20;
32:3;47:18;48:4,10;	memory (1)	75:25;76:7,24	narrative (1)	60:3;64:19;73:19;77:8
49:13;50:5;51:23;52:2,	14:8	monies (1)	26:13	nice (1)
20;53:2;55:7;56:13;	mentioned (6)	75:9	NASA (1)	26:2
57:7,22;59:16;60:2,10	16:22;30:1;31:23;	month (2)	32:14	NOAA (1)
Marine (5)	32:10;60:5;64:16	5:11;20:7	national (2)	37:4
4:15;33:7;64:17;	message (1)	months (1)	32:24;33:7	nominee (1)
65:15;74:4	45:12	54:20	nationwide (1)	73:10
maritime (3)	met (3)	moorings (1)	71:10	none (1)
70:4,13;72:15	7:19,19;45:3	73:5	Natural (9)	4:8
Marivel (1)	METZ (76)	more (28)	8:21;9:1,4;66:25;	non-revenue- (1)
29:19	3:3;4:5;6:11;7:17;	10:16;11:2,14,21,22;	67:14,21;68:4,10,19	49:8
marker (1)	8:15;9:8,16,19;10:15,	25:1;29:10;36:16;	Nature (1)	noon (2)
77:9	21;11:3,14,23;12:21,	39:14;40:2,6,13;41:14;	37:7	3:20;74:9
market (4)	24;15:16;17:16,20;	43:5,19;45:11;52:7,8,	navigation (4)	normal (2)
50:12;57:18;61:1,15	19:12;20:3,12,17,19,	8;57:21;59:24,25;	30:19;40:21;47:20;	24:12;39:24
Marsh (1)	23;22:3,14;24:15;	62:13;64:2;71:13,13;	56:1	north (1)
31:4	25:16,20;26:7;29:17;	73:23;75:15	navigational (2)	31:3
Martin (1)	36:18;37:25;40:8;	morning (3)	35:10;73:4	notable (1)
68:17	41:10,22;44:4;46:23,	29:17,22;47:14	nearly (1)	36:10
Martinez (1)	25;47:2;50:15,17;51:8;	most (8)	30:6	note (1)
48:21	52:11;53:4,20;54:12;	16:12;21:11;22:14;	necessarily (3)	8:23
Massey (4)	56:18;57:2,16;58:19;	30:8;32:16;46:3,13;	8:5;56:8;71:15	notice (1)
6:10;9:1,7,7	60:15;62:20,23;63:1,4,	57:5	necessary (2)	68:23
masters (2)	11;64:6,9,13,23,25;	mostly (2)	70:16;72:24	noticing (1)
52:14;54:25	65:9,13,23;66:1,24;	43:15;62:10	need (30)	35:17
material (1)	67:3,12;73:9,17,22;	Mother (1)	3:11;4:13;10:7;12:5;	not-so-brief (1)
37:14	74:2,7,16;77:6	37:7	24:10;30:22;38:13,15;	25:17
matter (1)	Metz's (1)	motor (4)	40:1;45:7,9,25;46:10,	notwithstanding (1)
17:3	26:2	12:7,15;13:3,22	17;48:2;49:12,19;50:2,	38:2
may (11)	might (8)	MOUs (1)	5;51:17;54:9;55:1;	November (2)
	1		1	1

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING Schedule@ScribeReporting.com

- ·F ··· ······ · · · · ·······8 ··				November 25, 2019
12.16.27.6	11.24.22.20	24.62.5.66.12 16 19.	28.20	49.01
13:16;37:6	11:24;22:20	24;62:5;66:13,16,18; 67:1;69:17;70:17,24;	28:20 participated (2)	48:21 Bettibone (3)
Number (4) 5:13;14:20;15:18;	ongoing (4) 7:2;11:20;22:20;	72:13;73:6;75:15,23	10:7;74:12	Pettibone (3) 5:1;66:6,17
35:1	28:19	outgoing (1)	particular (8)	Pettibone's (1)
55.1	online (1)	17:24	6:23;12:9;17:12;	22:19
0	8:3	outright (1)	30:13;33:24;38:18;	phase (1)
0	only (12)	8:22	40:14;60:21	27:6
objective (1)	12:13;14:2;18:5;	outside (3)	particularly (2)	phone (1)
36:14	19:8;23:11;31:20;32:7;	31:1;49:24;50:2	20:6;38:17	71:18
obligated (1)	34:12;56:16;62:8;66:3;	outstanding (5)	partnerships (1)	pick (1)
75:11	71:11	10:19;16:4,11;17:21;	38:11	43:11
obligation (2)	oOo- (2)	18:7	parts (1)	picks (1)
60:19,23	3:2;77:10	over (18)	28:16	53:9
obtain (1)	open (4)	11:7,13,15;16:15;	past (1)	picture (2)
32:24	50:13;63:9,10,11	25:20;34:25;35:9;43:4,	52:23	40:23;56:21
obviously (6)	operate (6)	16;47:21;48:19,24;	path (2)	pie (4)
18:5;26:12;28:9;	12:15;31:20;60:1;	49:3;56:2;59:8,13;	41:18;42:7	7:4,8,10;15:19
32:6;35:14;64:4	69:10,21;72:9	67:13;71:9	patrol (1)	piece (2)
occasional (1)	operating (1)	overall (5)	72:6	7:9;14:16
67:14	45:2	19:10;36:14;40:18;	pay (4)	pieces (3)
occasionally (1) 16:18	operational (2) 41:16;69:16	43:7;44:8	42:17;53:15;70:9; 72:13	11:16;13:14;31:23
occupancy (1)	41:10;09:10 operations (5)	overarching (1) 75:6	72:15 payback (1)	pinwheel (1) 33:11
52:1	8:23;22:8;70:11;	oversight (2)	18:7	place (5)
October (6)	71:17;76:16	32:22;67:17	paying (1)	28:8,11;32:7;34:12;
4:15;5:12;7:13;9:16,	operator (2)	overview (2)	59:11	76:21
17;20:10	53:5;70:15	25:24;47:11	PCP (1)	places (2)
off (3)	operators (3)	owed (2)	39:24	19:24;57:8
14:8,25;68:15	32:17;57:20;59:10	15:25;17:25	peak (1)	plan (3)
offering (1)	opinion (2)	own (6)	38:25	47:25;56:6;64:7
66:12	37:22;44:16	44:14;51:10;66:3,4,	people (15)	planet (1)
offers (1)	opinions (1)	4;72:14	7:8;24:12;34:13;	34:12
32:8	33:6	owned (1)	40:25;45:1,4,4,20;	planing (1)
Off-Highway (1)	opportunity (5)	47:19	54:8;57:6;58:12;59:10;	54:16
13:24	19:20;23:6;65:18;	owners (2)	63:17;65:4;70:25	Planning (10)
Office (2)	75:6,25	55:24;56:8	per (7)	11:18,24;12:4;21:22,
9:14;31:19	opposed (1)	Oxnard (2)	18:11,12;35:22;	25;47:23;54:16;62:10;
off-season (1)	71:22	74:2,3	36:11;45:20;49:7;76:3	63:14;74:13
69:24 Oftentimes (2)	options (3) 50:2,4;53:3	Р	percent (9) 12:12;40:13,13;49:6;	plant (7) 30:1,11,16,16,16,18;
33:23;70:19	order (7)	1	50:11;53:11,12,15;	34:4
old (3)	3:15;4:11;6:1;10:8;	package (1)	59:19	plants (9)
23:10;47:3;73:18	34:14,17;70:16	39:12	percentage (3)	22:8;31:13;33:25;
Olympics (1)	organization (2)	packed (1)	11:8;14:19;45:15	34:22,24;35:1,5,9;
19:20	67:23:73:2	36:4	perhaps (4)	46:17
onboard (1)	organizations (2)	page (1)	22:15;23:9;38:3;	play (2)
46:17	16:12;51:9	3:18	49:19	42:4;58:11
one (50)	original (6)	paid (5)	period (1)	playing (1)
5:13;6:20;8:17;12:5;	9:23;10:9;12:1;	10:9;17:4;21:2;49:3;	69:24	46:21
14:24;15:4,6,17;16:17;	21:11,24;65:5	59:16	permanent (1)	plays (1)
18:25;19:4,8,15;25:18;	others (7)	panel (1)	11:8	42:4
26:5;29:23;30:9;32:23;	6:12,20;51:10;55:17;	74:13	permissive (1)	please (5)
33:5,7;38:1,19;39:22;	63:13;64:1;73:2	paperwork (1)	31:18	3:19,20;12:25;38:16;
40:18;41:1;42:11;	otherwise (7) 13:9,20;37:21;38:10;	61:3 Borks (12)	permit (7) 32:25;33:4,5;38:2;	58:16
43:23;47:5;48:18; 49:10;50:21;52:4,17,	40:17;41:1;74:17	Parks (12) 3:13;4:1,2;6:14;	47:25;51:3;56:6	plenty (1) 62:25
23;53:14;57:4;58:6,25;	out (37)	13:23,24;14:24;15:5;	permits (4)	plus (5)
59:1;60:16;63:20;64:3,	4:8;10:13;11:2;	28:15;42:3;68:7;76:8	34:10;36:23,25;56:9	36:9;48:25;58:25,25;
4,18;65:13;67:12;	12:23;15:13;19:6;24:9;	part (9)	person (1)	67:15
68:18,19;69:11;75:3	27:10;28:3;29:11;32:2;	8:24;23:22;32:16;	10:2	pocket (1)
ones (1)	33:14;35:6;36:2;37:14;	34:18;36:3;39:12;	perspective (3)	72:14
10:4	45:5;50:8;52:9,21,25;	42:25;44:8;76:21	59:5;67:6;69:9	point (14)
one-time (2)	53:10;60:19;61:19,20,	partaking (1)	Petaluma (1)	12:3,5;16:22;22:19;
. <u> </u>			I	l

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING Schedule@ScribeReporting.com

Commission Workshop November 25, 2019

	1			November 23, 2017
28:3;31:17;45:4;46:4;	38:5	31:1,18,22;32:11,20,	pull (3)	65:17
49:25;51:2;54:13;58:6;	prevention (1)	21,24;33:9;35:25;	22:21;56:9;75:7	rarely (1)
62:2;74:25	69:11	36:12,14;37:8,19,20;	pump (2)	43:14
policy (13)	previous (2)	38:9,23;39:7,15,24;	34:14,17	rate (7)
10:13;16:23;22:2;	27:21;49:16	40:2,2,14;43:5,6,23;	pumps (1)	16:6,24;49:5;53:11;
23:8,17,19;27:4;42:8,	prices (1)	44:2,8,20,25;45:2,13,	34:15	58:20;59:18;61:3
10;46:20;62:6;67:17;	72:9	16;47:12,13,17,20,21;	purchase (1)	rates (1)
68:1	primarily (2)	48:10,14,20;49:6,17;	72:8	53:16
politics (1)	28:5;30:4	54:25;55:19,21,22,25;	purely (1)	rather (1)
32:17	primary (4)	56:2,5,17;58:11;59:6,9,	3:10	70:3
pollutant (1)	6:18;21:7;39:17,18	11;61:13;71:24;75:13		RBF (1)
			purpose (6)	KDF (1) 19:4
32:25	prime (2)	programmatic (1)	3:6;5:22;9:23;10:9;	
popped (1)	58:25,25	48:22	17:12;31:10	reach (2)
71:8	principal (3)	programs (8)	purposes (5)	66:13,16
port (1)	18:12;48:17;49:1	13:24,25;23:4;28:17;	22:10;23:12;31:12;	reached (1)
52:14	prior (1)	29:25;43:7;47:1;63:8	54:16;55:1	52:24
portion (1)	48:12	progress (1)	pursuant (7)	reaching (1)
14:17	priorities (2)	35:18	13:7,11,18,21;31:24;	49:19
portions (1)	23:19;43:23	progression (2)	33:12;35:19	reacting (1)
7:9	priority (4)	21:10;26:11	Pursuit (1)	42:24
ports (1)	41:2,2,5;44:23	project (2)	33:11	reaction (1)
55:12	private (25)	75:14;76:5	purveyors (1)	24:25
pose (1)	17:25;29:12;31:10;	projecting (1)	32:19	read (6)
60:21	38:11;47:12;53:6;	10:13	put (12)	4:20;12:21,24;15:18;
posing (1)	55:11,20,21,24;56:3,8,	projection (3)	20:5;28:8;33:19;	20:19;37:11
55:18	13;57:7,18,20,21;58:1,	10:14;54:1,1	39:8,14;40:2;43:14;	readily (1)
position (1)	2,20;59:10;60:5,13;	Projects (4)	66:7,10,22;70:2;72:20	59:23
73:14	61:15;63:24	47:24;56:5;59:23;	putting (2)	ready (2)
POST (1)	privately-held (1)	66:18	11:11;53:6	51:11;74:14
70:8	59:20	promote (1)	_	realities (1)
posted (1)	privately-owned (1)	70:24	Q	20:11
0.17	<i></i>			
3:17	57:22	proper (1)		reality (1)
3:17 pot (1)	privileges (1)	proper (1) 70:22	quadrupled (1)	reality (1) 45:14
	privileges (1) 67:12		quadrupled (1) 35:3	
pot (1)	privileges (1)	70:22		45:14
pot (1) 25:14	privileges (1) 67:12	70:22 properly (1)	35:3	45:14 realize (2)
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1)	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1)	70:22 properly (1) 70:17	35:3 Quagga (3)	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2)	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2)
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1)	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2)	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16;	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1)	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27)
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1)	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1)	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13,
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7)	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1)	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22;
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2)	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3;	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1)	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4;
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1)	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18;
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5,
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1)	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2)	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2)	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1)	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21;
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13 preparing (1)	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3 proceedings (1) 63:3	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2) 36:8;37:12	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12 quorum (1)	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21; 69:24
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13 preparing (1) 19:17	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3 proceedings (1)	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2) 36:8;37:12 provided (5)	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12 quorum (1)	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21; 69:24 reanalyzing (1)
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13 preparing (1) 19:17 pre-recession (1) 52:3	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3 proceedings (1) 63:3 proceeds (1) 12:16	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2) 36:8;37:12 provide (5) 5:6;10:22;26:4; 47:16;55:23	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12 quorum (1) 3:7	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21; 69:24 reanalyzing (1) 75:20
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13 preparing (1) 19:17 pre-recession (1) 52:3 present (2)	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3 proceedings (1) 63:3 proceeds (1) 12:16 process (7)	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2) 36:8;37:12 provided (5) 5:6;10:22;26:4;	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12 quorum (1) 3:7 R	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21; 69:24 reanalyzing (1) 75:20 reason (2) 74:9,16
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13 preparing (1) 19:17 pre-recession (1) 52:3 present (2) 8:9;53:13	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3 proceedings (1) 63:3 proceedings (1) 12:16 process (7) 27:1;38:24;39:24;	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2) 36:8;37:12 provide (5) 5:6;10:22;26:4; 47:16;55:23 provides (2) 18:1;60:6	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12 quorum (1) 3:7	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21; 69:24 reanalyzing (1) 75:20 reason (2)
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13 preparing (1) 19:17 pre-recession (1) 52:3 present (2) 8:9;53:13 presentation (4)	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3 proceedings (1) 63:3 proceeds (1) 12:16 process (7)	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2) 36:8;37:12 provided (5) 5:6;10:22;26:4; 47:16;55:23 provides (2) 18:1;60:6 providing (2)	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12 quorum (1) 3:7 R radar (1) 66:22	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21; 69:24 reanalyzing (1) 75:20 reason (2) 74:9,16 reasons (2) 23:8;34:9
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13 preparing (1) 19:17 pre-recession (1) 52:3 present (2) 8:9;53:13 presentation (4) 18:24;29:14;46:25;	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3 proceedings (1) 63:3 proceedings (1) 12:16 process (7) 27:1;38:24;39:24; 41:11;44:16;54:18; 61:3	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2) 36:8;37:12 provided (5) 5:6;10:22;26:4; 47:16;55:23 provides (2) 18:1;60:6 providing (2) 47:11;65:4	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12 quorum (1) 3:7 R radar (1) 66:22 raise (2)	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21; 69:24 reanalyzing (1) 75:20 reason (2) 74:9,16 reasons (2) 23:8;34:9 recall (3)
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13 preparing (1) 19:17 pre-recession (1) 52:3 present (2) 8:9;53:13 presentation (4) 18:24;29:14;46:25; 58:23	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3 proceedings (1) 63:3 proceeds (1) 12:16 process (7) 27:1;38:24;39:24; 41:11;44:16;54:18; 61:3 processed (1)	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2) 36:8;37:12 provide (5) 5:6;10:22;26:4; 47:16;55:23 provides (2) 18:1;60:6 providing (2) 47:11;65:4 provision (3)	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12 quorum (1) 3:7 R radar (1) 66:22 raise (2) 38:12;58:7	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21; 69:24 reanalyzing (1) 75:20 reason (2) 74:9,16 reasons (2) 23:8;34:9 recall (3) 4:19;6:11;64:1
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13 preparing (1) 19:17 pre-recession (1) 52:3 present (2) 8:9;53:13 presentation (4) 18:24;29:14;46:25;	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3 proceedings (1) 63:3 proceedings (1) 12:16 process (7) 27:1;38:24;39:24; 41:11;44:16;54:18; 61:3 processed (1) 42:24	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2) 36:8;37:12 provided (5) 5:6;10:22;26:4; 47:16;55:23 provides (2) 18:1;60:6 providing (2) 47:11;65:4 provision (3) 12:13,15,19	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12 quorum (1) 3:7 R radar (1) 66:22 raise (2) 38:12;58:7 raised (2)	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21; 69:24 reanalyzing (1) 75:20 reason (2) 74:9,16 reasons (2) 23:8;34:9 recall (3)
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13 preparing (1) 19:17 pre-recession (1) 52:3 present (2) 8:9;53:13 presentation (4) 18:24;29:14;46:25; 58:23 presentations (1) 27:22	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3 proceedings (1) 63:3 proceeds (1) 12:16 process (7) 27:1;38:24;39:24; 41:11;44:16;54:18; 61:3 processed (1) 42:24 produce (2)	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2) 36:8;37:12 provided (5) 5:6;10:22;26:4; 47:16;55:23 provides (2) 18:1;60:6 providing (2) 47:11;65:4 provision (3) 12:13,15,19 public (34)	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12 quorum (1) 3:7 R radar (1) 66:22 raise (2) 38:12;58:7 raised (2) 46:20;64:11	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21; 69:24 reanalyzing (1) 75:20 reason (2) 74:9,16 reasons (2) 23:8;34:9 recall (3) 4:19;6:11;64:1 recapitulate (1) 15:17
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13 preparing (1) 19:17 pre-recession (1) 52:3 present (2) 8:9;53:13 presentation (4) 18:24;29:14;46:25; 58:23 presentations (1) 27:22 presented (2)	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3 proceedings (1) 63:3 proceedings (1) 12:16 process (7) 27:1;38:24;39:24; 41:11;44:16;54:18; 61:3 processed (1) 42:24 produce (2) 34:24;49:13	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2) 36:8;37:12 provide (5) 5:6;10:22;26:4; 47:16;55:23 provides (2) 18:1;60:6 providing (2) 47:11;65:4 provision (3) 12:13,15,19 public (34) 10:13;17:12,25;19:2;	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12 quorum (1) 3:7 R radar (1) 66:22 raise (2) 38:12;58:7 raised (2) 46:20;64:11 Ramona (7)	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21; 69:24 reanalyzing (1) 75:20 reason (2) 74:9,16 reasons (2) 23:8;34:9 recall (3) 4:19;6:11;64:1 recapitulate (1) 15:17 receivables (5)
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13 preparing (1) 19:17 pre-recession (1) 52:3 present (2) 8:9;53:13 presentation (4) 18:24;29:14;46:25; 58:23 presentations (1) 27:22 presented (2) 26:7;40:3	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3 proceedings (1) 63:3 proceedings (1) 12:16 process (7) 27:1;38:24;39:24; 41:11;44:16;54:18; 61:3 processed (1) 42:24 produce (2) 34:24;49:13 producers (1)	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2) 36:8;37:12 provided (5) 5:6;10:22;26:4; 47:16;55:23 provides (2) 18:1;60:6 providing (2) 47:11;65:4 provision (3) 12:13,15,19 public (34) 10:13;17:12,25;19:2; 22:1;27:11;29:11;32:1,	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12 quorum (1) 3:7 R radar (1) 66:22 raise (2) 38:12;58:7 raised (2) 46:20;64:11 Ramona (7) 4:5,10;9:10;29:20;	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21; 69:24 reanalyzing (1) 75:20 reason (2) 74:9,16 reasons (2) 23:8;34:9 recall (3) 4:19;6:11;64:1 recapitulate (1) 15:17 receivables (5) 15:25;16:4,10;17:4,
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13 preparing (1) 19:17 pre-recession (1) 52:3 present (2) 8:9;53:13 presentation (4) 18:24;29:14;46:25; 58:23 presentations (1) 27:22 presented (2) 26:7;40:3 president (1)	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3 proceedings (1) 63:3 proceeds (1) 12:16 process (7) 27:1;38:24;39:24; 41:11;44:16;54:18; 61:3 processed (1) 42:24 produce (2) 34:24;49:13 producers (1) 32:19	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2) 36:8;37:12 provided (5) 5:6;10:22;26:4; 47:16;55:23 provides (2) 18:1;60:6 providing (2) 47:11;65:4 provision (3) 12:13,15,19 public (34) 10:13;17:12,25;19:2; 22:1;27:11;29:11;32:1, 5;38:4;47:12,17,19,22;	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12 quorum (1) 3:7 R radar (1) 66:22 raise (2) 38:12;58:7 raised (2) 46:20;64:11 Ramona (7) 4:5,10;9:10;29:20; 54:14;63:5;73:12	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21; 69:24 reanalyzing (1) 75:20 reason (2) 74:9,16 reasons (2) 23:8;34:9 recall (3) 4:19;6:11;64:1 recapitulate (1) 15:17 receivables (5) 15:25;16:4,10;17:4, 24
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13 preparing (1) 19:17 pre-recession (1) 52:3 present (2) 8:9;53:13 presentation (4) 18:24;29:14;46:25; 58:23 presentations (1) 27:22 presented (2) 26:7;40:3 president (1) 67:20	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3 proceedings (1) 63:3 proceedings (1) 63:3 proceeds (1) 12:16 process (7) 27:1;38:24;39:24; 41:11;44:16;54:18; 61:3 processed (1) 42:24 produce (2) 34:24;49:13 producers (1) 32:19 profit (2)	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2) 36:8;37:12 provide (5) 5:6;10:22;26:4; 47:16;55:23 provides (2) 18:1;60:6 providing (2) 47:11;65:4 provision (3) 12:13,15,19 public (34) 10:13;17:12,25;19:2; 22:1;27:11;29:11;32:1, 5;38:4;47:12,17,19,22; 48:4;49:23;50:6,9,10;	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12 quorum (1) 3:7 R radar (1) 66:22 raise (2) 38:12;58:7 raised (2) 46:20;64:11 Ramona (7) 4:5,10;9:10;29:20; 54:14;63:5;73:12 range (1)	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21; 69:24 reanalyzing (1) 75:20 reason (2) 74:9,16 reasons (2) 23:8;34:9 recall (3) 4:19;6:11;64:1 recapitulate (1) 15:17 receivables (5) 15:25;16:4,10;17:4, 24 receive (4)
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13 preparing (1) 19:17 pre-recession (1) 52:3 present (2) 8:9;53:13 presentation (4) 18:24;29:14;46:25; 58:23 presentations (1) 27:22 presented (2) 26:7;40:3 president (1) 67:20 pretty (7)	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3 proceedings (1) 63:3 proceeds (1) 12:16 process (7) 27:1;38:24;39:24; 41:11;44:16;54:18; 61:3 processed (1) 42:24 produce (2) 34:24;49:13 producers (1) 32:19 profit (2) 48:24;59:13	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2) 36:8;37:12 provide (5) 5:6;10:22;26:4; 47:16;55:23 provides (2) 18:1;60:6 providing (2) 47:11;65:4 provision (3) 12:13,15,19 public (34) 10:13;17:12,25;19:2; 22:1;27:11;29:11;32:1, 5;38:4;47:12,17,19,22; 48:4;49:23;50:6,9,10; 51:23;52:6,7;53:6;	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12 quorum (1) 3:7 R radar (1) 66:22 raise (2) 38:12;58:7 raised (2) 46:20;64:11 Ramona (7) 4:5,10;9:10;29:20; 54:14;63:5;73:12 range (1) 47:23	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21; 69:24 reanalyzing (1) 75:20 reason (2) 74:9,16 reasons (2) 23:8;34:9 recall (3) 4:19;6:11;64:1 recapitulate (1) 15:17 receivables (5) 15:25;16:4,10;17:4, 24 receive (4) 35:18;53:9;54:19;
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13 preparing (1) 19:17 pre-recession (1) 52:3 present (2) 8:9;53:13 presentation (4) 18:24;29:14;46:25; 58:23 presentations (1) 27:22 presented (2) 26:7;40:3 president (1) 67:20 pretty (7) 11:1;14:7;25:6,11;	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3 proceedings (1) 63:3 proceedings (1) 63:3 proceeds (1) 12:16 process (7) 27:1;38:24;39:24; 41:11;44:16;54:18; 61:3 processed (1) 42:24 produce (2) 34:24;49:13 producers (1) 32:19 profit (2) 48:24;59:13 program (71)	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2) 36:8;37:12 provided (5) 5:6;10:22;26:4; 47:16;55:23 provides (2) 18:1;60:6 providing (2) 47:11;65:4 provision (3) 12:13,15,19 public (34) 10:13;17:12,25;19:2; 22:1;27:11;29:11;32:1, 5;38:4;47:12,17,19,22; 48:4;49:23;50:6,9,10; 51:23;52:6,7;53:6; 54:16;55:7,19;56:5,10;	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12 quorum (1) 3:7 R radar (1) 66:22 raise (2) 38:12;58:7 raised (2) 46:20;64:11 Ramona (7) 4:5,10;9:10;29:20; 54:14;63:5;73:12 range (1) 47:23 ranges (1)	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21; 69:24 reanalyzing (1) 75:20 reason (2) 74:9,16 reasons (2) 23:8;34:9 recall (3) 4:19;6:11;64:1 receivables (5) 15:25;16:4,10;17:4, 24 receive (4) 35:18;53:9;54:19; 56:24
pot (1) 25:14 potential (1) 29:9 pots (1) 55:10 predecessor (1) 5:15 preparation (2) 5:8;20:7 prepared (1) 4:13 preparing (1) 19:17 pre-recession (1) 52:3 present (2) 8:9;53:13 presentation (4) 18:24;29:14;46:25; 58:23 presentations (1) 27:22 presented (2) 26:7;40:3 president (1) 67:20 pretty (7)	privileges (1) 67:12 proactive (1) 63:21 probably (5) 42:5,12;54:1;66:16; 71:18 problem (7) 10:1;33:24;34:3; 36:8;38:2;40:20;71:8 problems (2) 27:20;62:3 proceedings (1) 63:3 proceeds (1) 12:16 process (7) 27:1;38:24;39:24; 41:11;44:16;54:18; 61:3 processed (1) 42:24 produce (2) 34:24;49:13 producers (1) 32:19 profit (2) 48:24;59:13	70:22 properly (1) 70:17 proposals (2) 43:14,15 proposed (1) 44:11 protected (1) 34:16 Protection (1) 8:19 provide (2) 36:8;37:12 provide (5) 5:6;10:22;26:4; 47:16;55:23 provides (2) 18:1;60:6 providing (2) 47:11;65:4 provision (3) 12:13,15,19 public (34) 10:13;17:12,25;19:2; 22:1;27:11;29:11;32:1, 5;38:4;47:12,17,19,22; 48:4;49:23;50:6,9,10; 51:23;52:6,7;53:6;	35:3 Quagga (3) 28:8,10;30:2 quality (2) 25:9;33:15 quick (1) 71:6 quickly (1) 26:17 quite (1) 72:12 quorum (1) 3:7 R radar (1) 66:22 raise (2) 38:12;58:7 raised (2) 46:20;64:11 Ramona (7) 4:5,10;9:10;29:20; 54:14;63:5;73:12 range (1) 47:23	45:14 realize (2) 29:9;75:24 realized (2) 30:14;50:1 really (27) 4:11;11:4,5;19:13, 25;23:7,19;26:22; 33:25;34:10;35:4; 42:25;45:19;46:12,18; 47:7;50:18;52:2,4,5, 14;53:8,13;61:1,10,21; 69:24 reanalyzing (1) 75:20 reason (2) 74:9,16 reasons (2) 23:8;34:9 recall (3) 4:19;6:11;64:1 recapitulate (1) 15:17 receivables (5) 15:25;16:4,10;17:4, 24 receive (4) 35:18;53:9;54:19;

recent (3)	14:21
7:3;11:10;13:17 recently (2)	regular 20:4;6
30:8;52:24	regulato
recession (3)	34:9;3
51:24,25;54:2 recipients (1)	rehabili 47:18
4 0 :15	reimbur
reclamation (1) 32:18	21:1;7
recognizable (1)	reiterate 27:21
24:10	related
recommend (1) 63:20	5:2;26 relation
recommendations (1)	64:10
22:2 recommending (1)	relation 74:24
42:9	relative
reconstruction (1)	28:17
57:12 reconvene (1)	re-looke 8:6
63:4	rely (8)
record (2) 9:6;12:25	69:13 6,14,1
recording (1)	remaine
3:16	14:3
recovered (1) 52:2	Remain 9:13
Recreation (17)	remedia
3:14;4:2,16;13:23; 15:5;40:22;46:1,2;	38:5 rememb
60:20;64:17;65:15;	3:21;2
68:7;69:21;75:8,10; 76:7,9	47:5
Recreational (7)	removal 71:15
36:21;46:6;53:21;	removed
55:13;66:7;69:22; 72:23	62:6 repaid (
redefined (1)	7:1;17
9:24 redeveloped (1)	repay (1 76:4
51:18	repaym
redevelopment (2) 50:10;51:14	18:2;4 59:15
reduce (2)	replace
36:11;72:15	50:5
referring (2) 17:14;67:22	replaced 51:20
refinement (1)	replacer
51:13 refit (1)	51:14 72:11
66:21	replacin
reflected (1) 18:13	48:17
reg (1)	replenis 6:13
25:9	re-powe
regarding (3) 63:22;65:16;71:19	72:11 represei
regardless (1)	65:20
51:1 Regional (1)	represen 76:15
11:18	request
registered (3)	3:10;7

,22;64:25 (3) 65:21:77:8 ory (2) 36:6 itate (1) rsement (2) 70:21 e (1) (4) 6:5;46:2;50:22 (1) ship (1) (3) ;37:18;45:12 ed (1) 3,15;70:20;71:3, 6;72:10 ed (1) ing (1) al (1) ber (4) 21:12;45:8; ls (1) d (1) (2) 7:7 1) ent (4) 48:25;49:2; (1) d (1) ment (4) ;53:8;57:13; ng (1) shment (1) er (1) ntatives (3) ;66:25;68:24 nts (1) (7) 7:3:10:18:

15:18:16:16:65:3.6 requested (3) 5:6:6:16:65:4 requesting (3) 10:21;20:17;22:11 requests (8) 4:12;7:18;9:10;20:5; 48:2;49:16;56:11,23 required (1) 32:24 requirements (1) 33:12 requiring (1) 54:25 research (1) 12:6 Reserve (1) 53:13 resource (3) 30:22;32:7;40:23 **Resources** (22) 8:21;9:1,4;25:8; 31:21;32:15;36:8; 37:13;40:2;43:5,21; 44:21;45:15;57:13; 66:25;67:15,21,22; 68:5,9,10,19 respect (1) 42:1 respective (1) 18:7 respects (1) 42:21respond (2) 14:9;41:8 response (2) 6:9:66:8 responses (1) 60:22 responsibilities (1) 66:4 responsibility (5) 38:1,5;40:10;41:19; 42:14 responsible (3) 5:23;38:9;69:18 restrained (1) 10:5 results (2) 46:18:54:20 retired (1) 70:25 retroactively (1) 12:16 revenue (10) 12:14;13:1;19:3; 22:8;27:23;28:17; 29:10;45:9;50:1;62:11 revenue-generating (1) 49:7 Revenues (5) 13:6,17:28:11:41:17; 42:2

review (1) 5:2 revolution (1) 21:18 Revolving (16) 5:4,16;7:6;13:10,21; 15:23;17:15;18:18; 48:11.16:49:5:56:4: 59:8,18:60:11:65:12 right (39) 8:20:14:14:15:7: 20:8;22:8;23:1,8,18; 24:13;27:5;29:16;39:1, 9;41:20;42:13,19;43:8, 12,15,20,24;44:11,15, 17;45:19;50:15;51:16; 54:6;55:3;57:19;58:5, 24;60:15;61:5,14; 62:19;63:19;65:8; 74:22 rings (1) 71:18 risk (1) 30:18 risky (1) 61:15 River (1) 31:5 Rivers (1) 33:17 road (1) 66:20 robust (1) 70:4 **ROC** (1) 60:4 role (4) 42:3,4,4;46:21 rolled (1) 9:3 room (5) 29:18:35:1:36:24; 37:3;44:25 round (1) 70:3 run (1) 36:16 runs (1) 29:1 rush (1) 9:22 RVUC (2) 67:21;72:23 S Sacramento-San (1) 30:5 Safety (2) 69:4,12 Sailing (1) 66:10 same (7)

14:3:22:5:24:16; 26:10;37:16;58:17; 71:13 San (5) 16:21;31:5;51:17; 69:5.14 Santa (2) 16:22;74:3 satisfied (1) 9:11 savvy (1) 56:9 saw (4) 7:22;8:3,17;43:2 saying (3) 22:4;24:16;41:6 **SB**(1) 14:16 scale (1) 10:8 Schaafsma (13) 4:6;26:1,8;27:18; 29:20;38:22;39:3,6,11, 20;41:8,12,23 school (1) 70:15 SCHRF(1) 5:18 Schwarzenegger (1) 6:25 scope (3) 30:3:31:1:59:5 scramble (1) 38:9 season (1) 34:2 seasonal (2) 69:20;70:5 seat (1) 27:2 second (4) 7:13;8:18;46:8; 68:22 Secretary (3) 67:10;68:2.3 Section (2) 13:7,19 seeing (2) 26:14;39:16 seek (2) 48:6:60:3 seeking (1) 65:16 seems (4) 14:2;28:23;59:23; 61:9 sees (1) 47:3 segue (1) 26:2 self-fund (1) 48:5

self-funded (1)

50:1 self-funding (3) 49:22:50:3:51:1 semblance (1) 36:13 Senate (1) 27:13 Send (4) 52:16,21;70:13,21 sending (1) 21:1 sense (1) 24:12 sent (4) 4:19;6:8;14:22; 73:11 separate (3) 8:24;17:23;55:13 September (1) 5:8 series (1) 30:8 serious (2) 40:19;73:6 serve (2) 57:10,10 Service (1) 33:8 set (1) 74:9 seven (3) 34:24:35:2:69:19 several (3) 70:9:72:3:75:21 shall (3) 13:11,22;76:7 share (12) 6:23;7:7;12:2;22:4, 5:42:12:44:14:46:7: 69:8:70:5:72:20:76:10 shared (1) 27:7 sharing (2) 21:17;58:17 sheriff (2) 69:5,5 Sheriffs (1) 69:4 shift (1) 71:23 shipped (1) 34:13 short (1) 71:7 shorter (1) 26:13 shortfall (1) 28:1 show (3) 14:6:18:6:34:1 showed (1) 35:23 shown (1)

18:19 shows (3) 34:1:35:13.22 sidebar (1) 4:9 signals (1) 41:16 significantly (1) 49:18 silence (2) 60:17;61:5 simply (1) 18:17 single (2) 43:10;67:15 sitting (1) 68:17 situation (1) 53:5 six (1) 70:2 size (4) 7:7;34:24;35:1,3 skills (1) 71:2 skis (1) 69:22 slide (4) 33:22;34:1;35:22; 36:4 slides (1) 33:23 slips (2) 54:3,4 slow (2)35:21;69:24 sludge (1) 46:16 Small (1) 5:16 smaller (1) 54:3 smart (3) 28:15;30:21;44:12 snapshot (2) 27:19;43:22 sole (1) 46:22 solution (1) 42:23 Somebody (1) 32:10 someone (3) 38:14;57:25;66:9 sometimes (3) 43:5;56:7;63:15 soon (2)40:24:54:19 sort (5) 7:1;16:11;22:12; 53:7:73:12 source (2) 16:6;51:1

sources (7) 38:10:49:20:50:8: 53:17:55:6:56:14; 60:12 south (3) 31:4;34:14;45:23 speak (3) 8:11;29:23;65:11 speaking (1) 63:17 special (3) 21:3;39:9:40:9 species (8) 28:5,25;29:25;30:11; 33:17;34:11,17;36:23 specific (4) 5:24;23:13;25:7; 30:9 specifically (4) 23:12;25:8;28:25; 69:11 specifies (2) 22:9;76:6 spending (5) 28:4,17,24;52:7; 76:13 spent (1) 54:7 spike (1) 28:7 spikes (1) 26:14 spinning (1) 53:10 split (1) 15:8 spot(1)45:18 spots (1) 31:13 spray (1) 37:17 spring (1) 27:11 SPURT (2) 14:16,23 staff (6) 4:1;10:16;22:13; 65:14;67:10;70:2 staffers (1) 44:12 stakeholders (6) 26:21;42:17;45:23, 24;58:7;75:18 stakeholders' (1) 21:14 stance (1) 63:21 stand (1) 77:7 start (11) 19:17,22;25:23;34:2. 8,19,20;35:25;37:10;

44:24:46:7 started (6) 10:4;28:12,21;34:5; 37:19.20 starting (2) 27:25;71:23 starts (2) 31:3:37:5 state (44) 3:21:5:23:8:22:9:25: 13:23,24;14:24;15:5; 20:8;21:3;23:1,17,24, 25;24:2;25:8;27:1; 28:15;31:9;32:14,22, 23;33:3,16;38:4;40:24; 42:3;43:7;44:1;45:1,6; 46:5,21;59:25;60:1; 69:3;71:4,6,16,21; 72:17;76:4,8,15 stated (1) 44:4 state-owned (2) 72:6,7 States (1) 33:7 statewide (1) 30:4 statute (5) 6:2;11:17;22:7;59:3, 4 statutorily (2) 61:9:62:7 statutory (4) 5:13;12:12;62:13; 76:2 staying (1) 74:9 Stellwag (6) 6:12;74:20;75:6; 76:5.10:77:1 stepped (1) 67:1 still (4) 20:13;33:4;45:7; 60:9 Stockton (3) 48:19,22;69:17 stop (1) 37:5 story (4) 24:13:27:16:43:3: 71:7 Street (1) 31:2 stress (2) 3:7;4:9 strictly (1) 57:7 structure (1) 67:24 study (1) 51:6 stuff (2)

19:21,21 sub (3) 13:11,15,18 Subdivision (1) 13:7subject (2) 5:11:39:24 submissions (1) 30:18 Subsection (1) 13:4 substantial (2) 38:23;39:16 successor (1) 5:19 suggest (2) 52:11;62:6 suggestions (1) 63:21 Suisun (1) 31:4 summary (1) 35:11 summertime (1) 69:23 super (2) 24:9;46:5 supervisor (1) 70:3 supplying (1) 9:12 support (4) 23:16:69:15:71:15. 17 sure (12) 10:16,18;14:10,11; 17:13:24:11:26:1:42:2; 51:18;62:5;66:23; 76:13 survey (1) 52:16 surveys (2) 54:24:64:16 suspect (2) 19:14,19 sustainability (1) 42:7 sustainable (1) 41:18 system (1) 44:15 Т

table (2) 20:5;27:3 Tahoe (1) 11:18 talk (13) 3:13;7:15;13:2; 23:18;26:17,21,23; 28:23;29:1,7;36:15; 47:8;50:21

Min-U-Script®

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING Schedule@ScribeReporting.com (12) self-funding - talk

talked (1) 26:19	71:5 thus (1)
talking (5)	63:12
16:19;30:2;44:24;	tick (2)
51:25;52:4 target (1)	28:12;2 ticking (1
37:13	19:16
tax (12)	tides (1)
11:10;12:7,11,15; 13:5,17;14:6,11,13,18;	35:7 tied (1)
21:2;65:3	34:16
Taxation (1)	tier (1)
13:2 taxes (6)	24:6 timely (1
10:10;11:10;13:7,8,	59:25
18;14:2 taxpayer (1)	times (1) 71:3
37:13	timing (2
technical (3)	36:6,7
22:8;24:7;33:21 techniques (1)	Tobias (1 29:22
49:13	today (20
teleconference (1) 7:4	3:25;7: 16:10,1
telling (3)	21:15;2
24:13;27:16;61:1	28:23;2
temperatures (2) 34:25;35:4	33:10;3 53:16;5
temporarily (1)	together
29:19 ten (7)	22:21;5 told (2)
34:25;35:2;41:3,14;	16:2;51
48:8;49:18;50:11	took (2)
tens (1) 72:2	76:5,21 top (1)
tenure (1)	27:24
57:5 term (1)	total (9) 6:4;8:1
59:19	35:13;4
terms (11) 15:25;16:4,10;17:5;	totality (1 40:10
21:21;22:1;36:6;43:24;	touched
49:6;51:13;63:22 Thanks (2)	52:19
6:6;27:18	tough (1) 23:21
therefore (3)	towards
16:23;41:3;61:20 thinking (3)	75:13 trace (1)
16:18;22:23;30:22	16:15
third (2) 16:3;69:15	track (1) 18:12
though (3)	tracking
17:8;25:19;55:5	10:20
thought (1) 74:18	tracks (1) 17:24
thousands (1)	train (1)
72:2 three (10)	70:16 training
14:13;15:8;19:8;	69:12;7
30:19;31:23,25;32:15; 48:20;56:25;69:12	20,22;7 trainings
throughout (4)	70:11
24:2;27:10;70:11;	trans (1)

5 1) 12 2) 12:29:4 **ig** (1) 16 (1) 7 1) 16) 6 y (1) 25 (1) 3 g (2) 6,7 ıs (1) 22 (20)5;7:7;15:12,19; 10,19;18:25;19:1; 15;24:22;27:20; 23;29:23;30:2; 10;38:6;43:23; 16;58:20;69:7 her (3) 21:54:22:65:18 2) 2:51:16 try (3) (2) 5.21) 24 9) turn (4) 8:1,10,13;11:6; 13:48:22,24:59:13 ty (1) 10 ed (1) 19 (1) 21 ds (1) 13 (1) 15 9:3 (1)12 ing (1) 20 s (1) 24 (1) 16 ng (9) type (4) 12;70:7,9,14,14, 22;71:2;72:25 ngs (1) 11

76:22 transcribed (1) 3:16transcript (2) 3:22;77:9 transfer (2) 13:3:76:3 transferred (2) 13:12,23 translatable (1) 22:16 treat (2) 36:2.23 treated (2) 35:12,13 treating (3) 35:24,24;37:15 treatment (1) 28:9 tremendously (2) 48:3:56:11 tried (2) 36:5,15 tripled (1) 35:2 Trivial (1) 33:11 truest (1) 23:20 trust (2) 20:15:22:1 19:1;66:1;67:7 trying (9) 7:22;11:2;24:21; 28:15;41:6;42:6;43:19; 65:24:74:23 20:3;25:20;32:21; 71:22 turned (1) 72:1 turn-in (2) 71:20,24 turn-ins (1) 71:21 turnover (2) 70:23;71:1 TURPA (1) twice (2) 34:24:35:7 two (17) 5:17;6:20;15:18; 26:4;27:24,24;28:10, 22;33:6,8;37:9;56:16, 18,19,21;69:12;72:19 3:12;7:11;34:19; 62:11 typically (2) 39:25;49:14

U ultimate (2) 10:4;74:10 Ultimately (3) 9:22;42:13;66:5 umbrella (1) 68:4 unclear (1) 22:19 under (10) 9:3:11:16:34:16; 47:17;55:25;68:4,7,8; 76:5,17 underfund (1) 28:1underwater (1) 37:15 unfortunately (2) 42:5:51:5 unique (1) 35:7 unit (1) 70:24 United (1) 33:7 unless (1) 50:25 unlike (1) 59:21 unlimited (1) 44:21 unwilling (1) 49:23 up (17) 9:3;19:22;20:9; 28:12;29:4;36:9;44:3; 50:13;53:9;63:9;69:20; 70:18:71:8:72:9,9,10: 74:3 update (2) 9:15;18:4 updated (1) 54:21 upon (2) 10:5;27:15 Uriza (4) 67:20,20;68:21; 72:22 Urman (1) 73:18 usage (2) 14:22;15:9 use (11) 5:22,24;15:8;26:20; 31:15;46:15;57:6; 61:25:62:1:75:19.23 used (14) 13:24;15:20;16:20; 22:10;23:12,20,23,25; 24:3;25:6;47:18;61:25; 62:1:76:7

users (1) 34:6 using (2) 33:2;45:25 utilize (1) 54:10 utilizing (1) 33:18

vacation (1) 71:7 valleys (1) 26:15 variety (2) 30:11;53:17 various (8) 3:12;40:11;41:15; 42:17;51:9;63:22;68:1, vector (1) 32:18 vegetation (2) 37:15;39:19 vehicle (6) 10:10;12:7,15;13:4, 22,24 vein (1) 26:10 versus (5) 11:12:35:12.24; 36:25:54:3 vessel (5) 71:8,20,20,24;72:11 vessels (3) 14:22;71:12;73:1 vetting (1) 42:16 viable (1) 29:2 **VICE (69)** 3:3;4:5;6:11;7:17; 8:15;9:8,16,19;11:23; 12:21,24;15:16;17:16, 20;19:12;20:3,19,23; 24:15;25:16,20;26:7; 29:17;36:18;37:25; 40:8;41:10,22;44:4; 46:23,25:47:2:50:15, 17;51:8;52:11;53:4,20; 54:12;56:18;57:2,16; 58:19;60:15;62:20,23; 63:1,4,7,11;64:6,9,13, 25;65:6,9,13,23;66:1, 24;67:3,12;73:9,17,22; 74:2,7,16;77:6 view (1) 23:15 views (1) 44:14 violation (2) 37:21;67:5

virtually (1)	Waterworks (1)	55:5;68:25		- 11:19
40:17	6:20	word (1)	1	1st (3)
ision (2)	way (5)	37:19		13:6,16;37:11
23:11;53:8	51:11;52:25;53:23;	words (1)	1 (2)	2
isit (1)	65:19;66:6	12:9	14:16;37:5	<u> </u>
44:13	ways (5)	work (8)	1.2 (1)	
isuals (1)	15:8;19:4;52:11;	27:3;34:19;39:25;	53:12	2.5 (1)
46:15	54:14,24	51:19;66:4;67:7;72:24;	1:23:00 (1)	76:4
ital (2)	weeds (2)	73:7	63:3	20 (3)
54:23,23	33:19;34:15	working (5)	1:36:28 (1)	40:13;53:25;59:19
oice (1)	week (2)	35:21;67:13;68:20;	63:3	2001 (2)
44:15	69:19;71:18	71:24;76:11	1:54:42 (1)	32:21;33:10
oluntarily (1)	weighs (1)	works (1)	77:9	2006 (2)
71:22	40:3	44:15	10 (4)	15:21,22
oters (1)	welcome (2)	workshop (7)	40:13;49:19;51:12;	2008 (2)
20:25	46:24;47:6	3:6,7,16,20;19:1;	77:4	35:13;52:2
	welfare (3)	52:12;63:14	101,000 (1)	2011/'12 (2)
\mathbf{W}	19:11;20:2;57:9	workshops (2)	31:5	12:6,11
	weren't (2)	54:24;64:16	10th (1)	2012 (2)
Vade (1)	8:4;21:12	world (3)	27:7	14:18,25
68:3	West (2)	32:7;44:21;67:17	119 (2)	2013 (1)
vait (1)	16:21;31:3	worried (1)	48:15,18	30:14
8:18	What's (11)	19:15		2014 (1)
valk (1)	11:8,20;22:20;24:14;	worse (1)	12 (2) 13:6;14:15	27:25
31:1	26:24;27:16;30:21;	71:12		2014/'15 (1)
vants (3)	33:22;43:3;67:16;	writing (1)	12th (2)	36:10
11:14;14:4;65:11	75:15	14:9	13:5;20:10	2017 (1)
varming (1)	whereby (1)	wwwparkscagov (1)	13 (2)	13:17
35:3	53:5	3:18	38:14,15	2018 (2)
		5.16	13/'14 (5)	
vaste (1)	whole (3)	Y	28:6,10,10,13;76:20	30:8;31:24
33:1	12:3;64:22,23	I	13th (1)	2019 (1)
vasting (1)	who's (1)		20:10	5:8
37:13	34:19	yachters (1)	14 (3)	2020 (1)
vatch (1)	Whose (3)	51:3	5:8;38:15;56:16	28:2
27:1	38:1,5;41:19	year (28)	14/'15 (2)	2028 (1)
Vater (24)	wiggle (4)	7:25;8:12,12;11:21;	35:17;38:24	19:20
25:8,9;30:10;32:14,	36:24;37:2,19,20	13:8;18:3,4,6,11,12,22,	140 (1)	24 (1)
23;33:5;34:13,14,17;	Wildlife (3)	22;28:8;30:6,7;35:14;	35:8	49:3
37:11;39:19;40:23;	33:9;68:6;76:8	38:14;39:7;42:3;43:10;	14th (1)	25 (1)
45:24,25,25;46:8,15;	willing (2)	49:4,10;59:18;69:19,	7:14	4:19
51:4;67:22;68:8,9;	52:8;57:20	21;70:2;71:17;76:3	15 (2)	25th (3)
72:2;75:14;76:4	willingness (2)	years (32)	27:25;51:12	15:18;42:5,21
Vatercraft (22)	48:5;56:14	11:7,13,15;19:8;	17 (1)	26 (1)
5:4,15;9:24;13:10,	window (1)	30:6;37:10;38:25;41:3,	36:16	14:24
21;14:23;15:8,23;	26:13	14;47:15,25;48:13,24;	18 (1)	
17:15;18:18;35:16;	windy (1)	49:7,8,14,18;50:11;	13:9	3
48:11,16;49:4;56:4;	37:16	51:12;53:25;55:23;	1920 (2)	
59:8,17;60:3,11;65:6,	wisdom (1)	56:6,16;59:6,14,19;	9:2;18:6	3.993 (1)
12;69:4	41:4	60:4;66:19;67:13;70:9;		39:5
14.07.4	41.4		1955 (3)	30 (4)
		73.18.75.21		
vatercrafts (1)	wise (1)	73:18;75:21 year's (1)	7:5;20:25;26:12	
v atercrafts (1) 71:11	wise (1) 22:1	year's (1)	1980 (1)	14:14;49:7,14;59:6
vatercrafts (1) 71:11 Vaterfront (1)	wise (1) 22:1 wish (1)	year's (1) 8:3	1980 (1) 55:22	14:14;49:7,14;59:6 30th (1)
atercrafts (1) 71:11 Vaterfront (1) 58:4	wise (1) 22:1 wish (1) 14:5	year's (1) 8:3 yellow (3)	1980 (1) 55:22 1986 (1)	14:14;49:7,14;59:6 30th (1) 37:6
atercrafts (1) 71:11 Vaterfront (1) 58:4 aterskis (1)	wise (1) 22:1 wish (1) 14:5 within (6)	year's (1) 8:3	1980 (1) 55:22 1986 (1) 30:9	14:14;49:7,14;59:6 30th (1) 37:6 31 (3)
atercrafts (1) 71:11 Vaterfront (1) 58:4 vaterskis (1) 69:22	<pre>wise (1) 22:1 wish (1) 14:5 within (6) 3:13;26:18;35:2;</pre>	year's (1) 8:3 yellow (3) 28:4;35:12;76:18	1980 (1) 55:22 1986 (1) 30:9 1988 (1)	14:14;49:7,14;59:6 30th (1) 37:6 31 (3) 48:13,24;59:13
atercrafts (1) 71:11 Vaterfront (1) 58:4 vaterskis (1) 69:22 Vaterway (4)	<pre>wise (1) 22:1 wish (1) 14:5 within (6) 3:13;26:18;35:2; 54:19;68:10;70:19</pre>	year's (1) 8:3 yellow (3)	1980 (1) 55:22 1986 (1) 30:9	14:14;49:7,14;59:6 30th (1) 37:6 31 (3) 48:13,24;59:13 360 (1)
vatercrafts (1) 71:11 Vaterfront (1) 58:4 vaterskis (1) 69:22 Vaterway (4) 3:4;4:23;43:22;	<pre>wise (1) 22:1 wish (1) 14:5 within (6) 3:13;26:18;35:2; 54:19;68:10;70:19 without (1)</pre>	year's (1) 8:3 yellow (3) 28:4;35:12;76:18 Z	1980 (1) 55:22 1986 (1) 30:9 1988 (1)	14:14;49:7,14;59:6 30th (1) 37:6 31 (3) 48:13,24;59:13 360 (1) 47:16
vatercrafts (1) 71:11 Vaterfront (1) 58:4 vaterskis (1) 69:22 Vaterway (4) 3:4;4:23;43:22; 69:18	<pre>wise (1) 22:1 wish (1) 14:5 within (6) 3:13;26:18;35:2; 54:19;68:10;70:19 without (1) 22:12</pre>	year's (1) 8:3 yellow (3) 28:4;35:12;76:18 Z Zebra (2)	1980 (1) 55:22 1986 (1) 30:9 1988 (1) 8:9 1990 (1)	14:14;49:7,14;59:6 30th (1) 37:6 31 (3) 48:13,24;59:13 360 (1) 47:16 365 (1)
vatercrafts (1) 71:11 Vaterfront (1) 58:4 vaterskis (1) 69:22 Vaterway (4) 3:4;4:23;43:22; 69:18 Vaterways (15)	<pre>wise (1) 22:1 wish (1) 14:5 within (6) 3:13;26:18;35:2; 54:19;68:10;70:19 without (1) 22:12 woman (1)</pre>	year's (1) 8:3 yellow (3) 28:4;35:12;76:18 Z	1980 (1) 55:22 1986 (1) 30:9 1988 (1) 8:9 1990 (1) 7:23	14:14;49:7,14;59:6 30th (1) 37:6 31 (3) 48:13,24;59:13 360 (1) 47:16 365 (1) 69:19
vatercrafts (1) 71:11 Vaterfront (1) 58:4 vaterskis (1) 69:22 Vaterway (4) 3:4;4:23;43:22; 69:18	<pre>wise (1) 22:1 wish (1) 14:5 within (6) 3:13;26:18;35:2; 54:19;68:10;70:19 without (1) 22:12 woman (1) 68:16</pre>	year's (1) 8:3 yellow (3) 28:4;35:12;76:18 Z Zebra (2)	1980 (1) 55:22 1986 (1) 30:9 1988 (1) 8:9 1990 (1) 7:23 1991 (1)	14:14;49:7,14;59:6 30th (1) 37:6 31 (3) 48:13,24;59:13 360 (1) 47:16 365 (1)
vatercrafts (1) 71:11 Vaterfront (1) 58:4 vaterskis (1) 69:22 Vaterway (4) 3:4;4:23;43:22; 69:18 Vaterways (15)	<pre>wise (1) 22:1 wish (1) 14:5 within (6) 3:13;26:18;35:2; 54:19;68:10;70:19 without (1) 22:12 woman (1)</pre>	year's (1) 8:3 yellow (3) 28:4;35:12;76:18 Z Zebra (2) 28:8,10	1980 (1) 55:22 1986 (1) 30:9 1988 (1) 8:9 1990 (1) 7:23 1991 (1) 48:12	14:14;49:7,14;59:6 30th (1) 37:6 31 (3) 48:13,24;59:13 360 (1) 47:16 365 (1) 69:19
vatercrafts (1) 71:11 Vaterfront (1) 58:4 vaterskis (1) 69:22 Vaterway (4) 3:4;4:23;43:22; 69:18 Vaterways (15) 7:5;21:6;24:1;26:19; 29:24;33:1,20;34:7;	<pre>wise (1) 22:1 wish (1) 14:5 within (6) 3:13;26:18;35:2; 54:19;68:10;70:19 without (1) 22:12 woman (1) 68:16</pre>	year's (1) 8:3 yellow (3) 28:4;35:12;76:18 Z Zebra (2) 28:8,10 zero (1) 48:8	1980 (1) 55:22 1986 (1) 30:9 1988 (1) 8:9 1990 (1) 7:23 1991 (1) 48:12 1998 (3)	14:14;49:7,14;59:6 30th (1) 37:6 31 (3) 48:13,24;59:13 360 (1) 47:16 365 (1) 69:19 38 (3) 30:6;34:13;40:24
vatercrafts (1) 71:11 Waterfront (1) 58:4 vaterskis (1) 69:22 Waterway (4) 3:4;4:23;43:22; 69:18 Waterways (15) 7:5;21:6;24:1;26:19;	<pre>wise (1) 22:1 wish (1) 14:5 within (6) 3:13;26:18;35:2; 54:19;68:10;70:19 without (1) 22:12 woman (1) 68:16 wonder (1)</pre>	year's (1) 8:3 yellow (3) 28:4;35:12;76:18 Z Zebra (2) 28:8,10 zero (1)	1980 (1) 55:22 1986 (1) 30:9 1988 (1) 8:9 1990 (1) 7:23 1991 (1) 48:12	14:14;49:7,14;59:6 30th (1) 37:6 31 (3) 48:13,24;59:13 360 (1) 47:16 365 (1) 69:19 38 (3)

39 (1) 55:22		
4	-	
4.5 (4) 49:6;50:11;53:11,15 40 (2) 40:24;49:14	-	
5	-	
50 (2) 49:8;73:17 55 (3) 6:18;21:12;49:2 56 (2) 6:18;20:25		
6		
6.25 (2) 58:24;59:19 650 (1) 37:4 67 (1) 47:15 67-year (1) 48:19		
7		
7360 (3) 13:8,19,19 7361.2 (1) 13:20 763 (2) 30:14,16 78 (1) 55:24		
8	_	
8352.4 (1) 13:2 86 (1) 32:20 88 (1) 8:11		
9		
90 (1) 34:25 99 (1) 25:13		